

ERRATA

Introduction:

This errata sheet lists changes made to the Final General Re-evaluation Report and Environmental Impact Statement (GRR/EIS) for the Centralia Flood Damage Reduction Study. The information presented in this errata sheet supplements information presented in the Final GRR/EIS as modified by the errata sheet dated January 28 2004.

Errata:

1. **GRR Section 6.1.2 – Non-Federal Responsibilities (Pg 155-156)** : Delete sentence five that begins with “The local sponsor will receive credit....”
2. **GRR Section 6.2.1 - PED Procedural Overview (Pg 156)**: The following text replaces paragraph 3 of Section 6.2.1: “With the identification and selection of a new plan in the GRR, the Centralia project is no longer the same project authorized in WRDA 86. Therefore, the new project will include the development and execution of a design agreement. “
3. **GRR Section 6.2.2.– In-kind crediting background and future analysis (Pg 162)**: Add the following section and text after Section 6.2.2.2 of the GRR:

6.2.2.3 In-kind crediting background and future analysis

The Centralia Flood Damage Reduction project was originally authorized in WRDA 1986. The Corps terminated the study in 1991 when studies determined that it was not economically justified. Because of severe flooding concerns, Lewis County independently initiated a reconnaissance-level analysis in 1996 that identified a plan that appeared to be economically justified and warranted additional consideration. On 9 October 1998, The U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure adopted Resolution 2581, requesting a review of past Corps report recommendations. This resolution provided the authority for the Corps to initiate a general reevaluation, which began in July 1998.

Although cost-sharing was not required, the sponsor wanted to remain actively engaged in the project and to accelerate the planning process, so they agreed to contribute in-kind services. The Corps and Lewis County collaboratively developed a Project Study Plan (PSP) dated 28 November 1999 to manage the general reevaluation phase of the project. The PSP stated that the Corps would spend an estimated \$7,602,000 and the sponsor would contribute an estimated \$4,663,000 in work for a total of \$12,265,000 toward the planning of the project. This included Federal costs since initiation of the general reevaluation study and non-Federal costs since the initiation of their local effort in 1996. When the PSP was prepared, the Corps and Lewis County anticipated that the sponsor’s work in-kind could be authorized for crediting toward the cost of project.

Congressman Norm Dicks and Brian Baird contacted the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works, Dr. Joseph Westphal, via letter correspondence on 21 September 1999. The letter requested assistance and direction in determining whether and how to document an

understanding on the crediting of the non-Federal expenditures on this project. A response was provided in a letter dated 17 March 2000 from Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works, Joseph W. Westphal, to the Congressmen. The letter stated that Dr. Westphal was willing to include a crediting provision for work conducted that contributed to the construction of the project in his recommendation to Congress for authorization. Dr. Westphal also outlined that "actual credit would be subject to the Congressional authorization, execution of a Project Cooperation Agreement which would describe how the credit would be afforded to the non-Federal sponsor, and an audit of the sponsor's actual expenses."

Section 118 of the Omnibus Appropriation Act of 2001 (P.L. 106-554) modified Centralia's 1986 authority to authorize the Secretary of the Army to provide the non-Federal sponsor (Lewis County) credit for work carried out before signing a Project Cooperation Agreement (PCA), subject to a determination by the Secretary of the Army that the work was integral to the project.

Section 118. The project for flood control, Chehalis River and Tributaries, Washington, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4126), is modified to authorize the Secretary of the Army to provide the non-Federal interest credit toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of planning, design, and construction work carried out by the non-Federal interest before the date of execution of a cooperation agreement for the project if the Secretary determines that the work is integral to the project.

Although the Project Study Plan outlined estimated study costs of \$12,265,000, the study has taken an additional two years to complete, and additional funds were required to complete the General Reevaluation Report and Environmental Impact Statement (GRR/EIS). The Corps lacked funds necessary to complete the additional work. Without the funds from the Federal side, the project ran the risk of falling even further behind schedule. The non-Federal sponsor had funds available to conduct the additional work, and paid for this work with the expectation that they would receive credit for their in-kind services in construction as authorized by Section 118. The Corps' expenditures to-date are \$6,337,000 and the sponsor's are estimated at \$6,500,000 for a total of \$12,837,000. Seattle District continues to expend funds on this project, and estimates that a total of \$6,500,000 is likely to be expended before this phase of the project is complete. Total costs for the reevaluation of the project are estimated at \$13,000,000.

If in-kind crediting is authorized, the costs proposed for crediting by the sponsor will require additional analysis. Non-Federal expenditures for work conducted in planning the project will be evaluated to determine if the work the sponsor conducted is creditable. If the work is creditable, the credit will be addressed in the Project Cooperation Agreement. In addition, if authorized, in-kind services conducted by the sponsor in design and construction will be documented in the design agreement and the Project Cooperation Agreement as appropriate. The agreement will not have to address approximately \$1,543,000 in Federal funds that were expended between 1988 and 1993 in pursuit of the project authorized in 1986.

4. **GRR Section 6.6 – Cost Apportionment (Pg 168-169):** Remove last paragraph in section and replace with the following.

Table 6-3 provides a summary of the estimated cost apportionment between the Federal and non-Federal interests for the recommended plan. The table shows the total project cost of the recommended project as \$107,356,000 of which \$64,916,800 is Federal cost and \$42,439,200 is non-Federal cost. The total project cost includes the construction costs of \$94,356,000 (including \$86,872,000 for the National Economic Development plan and \$7,484,000 for the additional costs of the recommended Locally Preferred Plan), plus \$13,000,000 for work performed by USACE and the non-Federal sponsor during the planning of the recommended project. The non-Federal cost includes the sponsor’s LERRD value of \$14,810,000 and buy up from the NED Plan to the Locally Preferred Plan of \$7,484,000. Any work conducted by the non-Federal sponsor before the date of execution of a Design or Project Cooperation Agreement that is deemed by the Secretary to be integral to the project will be subtracted from the non-Federal sponsor cash contribution and documented in the Project Cooperation Agreement.

5. **GRR Table 6-3 (Pg 169):** The following table replaces Table 6-3:

Deleted: ¶
¶
¶

TABLE 6-3 CHEHALIS RIVER FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION COST APPORTIONMENT (\$)

	Federal Cost	Non-Federal Cost	Total
Estimated expenditures for planning new project (non-Federal expenditures subject to audit by Corps)	8,450,000	4,550,000	13,000,000
NED Flood Damage Reduction and Mitigation	56,466,800	30,405,200	86,872,000
Plus Increment Flood damage Reduction and Buy-up to Locally Preferred Plan		7,484,000	7,484,000
Recommended Project (Locally Preferred Plan)	64,916,800	42,439,200	107,356,000
Less LERRD Value		14,810,000	14,810,000
LP Plan Cash Contribution	64,916,800	27,629,200	92,546,000