APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 5/22/2008.

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Seattle District, Roslyn, City of, NWS-2007-588-CRC.
Name of water being evaluated on this JD form: Wetlands A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, and K

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
State: Washington County: Kittitas City: Roslyn
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat: 120.9871 **N**, Long: 47.2239 **W**
Universal Transverse Mercator: .
Name of nearest waterbody: Crystal Creek.
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: lower Yakima River.
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 17030001.
☒ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. List other JDs: _____

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 4/8/08.
☒ Field Determination. Date(s): 9/17/08.

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "*navigable waters of the U.S.*" within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [*Required*]
☐ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
☐ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
Explain: _____.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are** "*waters of the U.S.*" within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [*Required*]

1. **Waters of the U.S.**
   a. **Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):** [1]
      ☐ TNWs, including territorial seas
      ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
      ☒ Relatively permanent waters[2] (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☐ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☒ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☒ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☐ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☐ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
      ☐ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

   b. **Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**
      Non-wetland waters: **5,000** linear feet _____ width (ft) and/or _____ acres.
      Wetlands: **3.3 (total for Wetlands A, B, C, F, and H) acres.**

   c. **Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction** based on: **1987 Delineation Manual.** and **OHWM**
      Elevation of established OHWM (if known): _____.

2. **Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):**[3]
   ☒ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.
   Explain: **Wetlands D, E, G , I, J, and K are small isolated wetlands, each having no inlet or outlet. The entire site was a former coal mine operation to supply fuel for Northern Pacific Railroad locomotives, beginning in the 1880s. The site's soils are mapped by the NRCS as Dumps, a stony, sandy loam resulting from mine tailings. These six small pockets of**

[1] Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
[2] For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).
[3] Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

wetland appear to have developed as a result of coal mining, processing, and transport activities. Most of these isolated wetlands are small linear or rounded depressions in the middle of the site, separated from the larger wetland areas which have surface connections to an RPW. Some of the wetlands, particularly those linear in shape, appear to have been constructed as borrow or drainage areas from railroad grade or road construction. Others are likely depressions left over from constructed ponds or building foundations. Wetland G is located near Wetlands F and H, which are jurisdictional wetlands, but Wetland G has no surface connection to either of the jurisdictional wetlands nor does it have a surface connection to an RPW. These six wetland areas do not contain significant habitat features nor are they representative of a rare or unique wetland type that would likely draw attention from out of state tourists. The wetlands are not used for agricultural production that would support interstate commerce, nor do they have any surface water that could be used in commercial production. These six wetlands do not provide any opportunity for interstate commerce. These six isolated, non-jurisdictional wetlands total 0.18 acres.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. **TNW**
   Identify TNW: _____.
   Summarize rationale supporting determination: **_____**.

2. **Wetland adjacent to TNW**
   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": **_____**.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. If the waterbody[4] is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both.

If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. **Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

   (i) General Area Conditions:
   Watershed size: **3 square miles**
   Drainage area: **_____** **Pick List**
   Average annual rainfall: **_____** inches
   Average annual snowfall: **_____** inches

   (ii) Physical Characteristics:
   (a) Relationship with TNW:
   ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
   ☒ Tributary flows through **1** tributaries before entering TNW.

   Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.
   Project waters are **1 (or less)** river miles from RPW.
   Project waters are **115** (straight) miles from TNW.
   Project waters are **0.02** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
   Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: **_____**.

[4] Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

Identify flow route to TNW[5]: Wetlands A, B, C and F discharge into a storm sewer pipe and an RPW storm drainage channel which flows to Crystal Creek.. Crystal Creek flows into the Yakima River. The lower 8 miles of the Yakima River are on Seattle District's list of navigable waters .
Tributary stream order, if known: _____.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):
Tributary is: ☒ Natural (Crystal Creek)
☐ Artificial (man-made). Explain: _____.
☒ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: The storm drainage channel that carries water from wetlands A, B, C and F to Crystal Creek appears to have been constructed in a low-lying area along and under roads. It may have been a natural drainage swale converted to a storm drainage channel. It is unknown whether Crystal Creek is entirely natural or if it has been manipulated in some way.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
Average width: 5 feet
Average depth: 3 feet
Average side slopes: **Pick List.**

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

☒ Silts	☒ Sands	☐ Concrete
☒ Cobbles	☒ Gravel	☐ Muck
☐ Bedrock	☐ Vegetation. Type/% cover: _____	
☐ Other. Explain: _____.		

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: _____.
Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: _____.
Tributary geometry: **Pick List**
Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): _____ %

(c) Flow:
Tributary provides for: Seasonal Flow
Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **Pick List**
Describe flow regime: The storm drain channel and Crystal Creek are presumed to flow for several months of the year, likely perennially. The drainage channel which carries water from wetlands A, B, C, and F to Crystal Creek was observed to be flowing during the September 2007 site visit. Crystal Creek was also observed to be flowing at this time. The City of Roslyn's consultant performed a field wetland delineation in April 2006 and observed ponded water or water at or near the surface of the wetlands that discharge into the drainage system that flows to Crystal Creek. Crystal Creek was observed to be flowing downstream of the project site near Cle Elum on April 15, 2008 during a site visit to other projects in the area.

Other information on duration and volume: _____.

Surface flow is: **Pick List.** Characteristics: _____.

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings: _____.
☐ Dye (or other) test performed: _____.

Tributary has (check all that apply):
☒ Bed and banks
☒ OHWM[6] (check all indicators that apply):

☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank	☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil	☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shelving	☐ the presence of wrack line
☐ vegetation matted down, bent, or absent	☐ sediment sorting
☐ leaf litter disturbed or washed away	☐ scour
☐ sediment deposition	☐ multiple observed or predicted flow events
☐ water staining	☐ abrupt change in plant community
☐ other (list): _____	

☐ Discontinuous OHWM.[7] Explain:_____.

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

[5] Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
[6] A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
[7] Ibid.

☐ High Tide Line indicated by:
- ☐ oil or scum line along shore objects
- ☐ fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
- ☐ physical markings/characteristics
- ☐ tidal gauges
- ☐ other (list):

☐ Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
- ☐ survey to available datum;
- ☐ physical markings;
- ☐ vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).
Explain: _____.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: _____.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- ☐ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): _____.
- ☐ Wetland fringe. Characteristics: _____.
- ☐ Habitat for:
  - ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: _____.
  - ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: _____.
  - ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: _____.
  - ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: _____.

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size: **2.18 acres (Wetlands A, B, C, and F)**

Wetland type. Explain: _____.

Wetland quality. Explain: _____.

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: _____.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Perennial or near-perennial**. Explain: _____.

Surface flow is**: Discrete and confined**

Characteristics: _____.

Subsurface flow:. Explain findings: _____.

☐ Dye (or other) test performed: _____.

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

☐ Directly abutting

☒ Not directly abutting

☒ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Wetlands A, B, C and F drain into a storm sewer pipe and open channel that empties into Crystal Creek.

☐ Ecological connection. Explain: _____.

☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: _____.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **115** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: **Wetland to navigable waters.**

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: _____.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: _____.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- ☐ Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): _____.
- ☐ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: _____.
- ☐ Habitat for:
  - ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: _____.
  - ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: _____.
  - ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: _____.

☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: _____.

3. **Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)**
   All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **5**
   Approximately (3.3) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

   For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
A-N	1.15	B-N	0.60
C-N	0.16	F-N	0.27
H-Y	1.12		

   Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Wetlands A, B, C, F, and H provide snowmelt and rainfall retention and release to Crystal Creek, and can contribute nutrients and pollutants to Crystal Creek.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: _____.

2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: _____.

3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Wetlands A, B, C and F discharge into a storm sewer pipe and an RPW storm drainage channel which flows to Crystal Creek.. As documented above, these wetlands have a significant nexus to the RPW.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   ☐ TNWs: _____ linear feet _____ width (ft), or _____ acres.
   ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: _____ acres.

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
   ☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows perennial: _____.
   ☒ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: The drainage channel which carries water from wetlands A, B, C, and F to Crystal Creek was observed to be flowing during the September 2007 site visit. Crystal Creek was also observed to be flowing at this time. The City of Roslyn's consultant performed a field wetland delineation in April 2006 and observed ponded water or water at or near the surface of the wetlands that discharge into the drainage system that flows to Crystal Creek. The drainage channel into which the wetlands flow, and Crystal Creek, are both presumed to flow for several months of the year at a minimum. Both the drainage channel and Crystal Creek are determined to be RPWs.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   ☒ Tributary waters: **5,000** linear feet _____width (ft).
   ☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres.
   Identify type(s) of waters: _____.

3. **Non-RPWs[8] that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
   ☐ Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
   ☐ Tributary waters: _____ linear feet _____ width (ft).
   ☐ Other non-wetland waters: _____ acres.
   Identify type(s) of waters: _____.

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

[8]See Footnote # 3.

☒ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

☒ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetland H abuts Crystal Creek, which flows just off-site and to the southeast of the project site. Crystal Creek was observed to be flowing during the September 2007 site visit, and has been observed to be flowing during visits to other sites in the project vicinity.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: **1.12 acres (Wetland H).**

5. **Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
☒ Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: **2.18 acres (Wetlands A, B, C, and F)**

6. **Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
☐ Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: _____ acres.

7. **Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.**[9]
As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
☐ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
☐ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
☐ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):[10]
☐ which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
☐ from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
☐ which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
☐ Interstate isolated waters. Explain: _____.
☐ Other factors. Explain: _____.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: _____

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
☐ Tributary waters: _____ linear feet _____ width (ft).
☐ Other non-wetland waters: ____ acres.
Identify type(s) of waters: _____.
☐ Wetlands: ____ acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
☒ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
☒ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "*SWANCC*," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above): _____.

[9] To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
[10] **Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.***

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): _____ linear feet _____ width (ft).
☐ Lakes/ponds: _____ acres.
☐ Other non-wetland waters: _____ acres. List type of aquatic resource: _____.
☒ Wetlands: **0.18 acres (Wetlands D, E, G, I, J, and K).**

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): _____ linear feet _____ width (ft).
☐ Lakes/ponds: _____ acres.
☐ Other non-wetland waters: _____ acres. List type of aquatic resource: _____.
☐ Wetlands: _____ acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Delineation, Suncadia Section 17 Property, Roslyn, Kittitas County, Washington, June 1, 2006.
☒ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  ☒ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: _____.
☐ Corps navigable waters' study: The waterbody is on the Section 10 Navigable Waterway List for Seattle District. The list is available at www.nws.usace.army.mil click on Regulatory – Regulatory/Permits – Wetlands and Waters of the US – Navigable Waters.

☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
  ☐ USGS NHD data.
  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
☒ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Cle Elum, WA
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Kittitas County, draft, 2005, as cited in applicant's wetlands delineation.
☒ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: On-line Mapper.
☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): _____
☐ FEMA/FIRM maps: _____.
☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: _____ (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
☒ Photographs: ☒ Aerial (Name & Date):): Kittitas County Mapsifter
  or ☒ Other (Name & Date): Corps of Engineers site visit, 9/17/08.
☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: _____.
☐ Applicable/supporting case law: _____.
☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature: _____.
☐ Other information (please specify): _____.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Refer to the site map and photos in the project file. Summary of determination:
Wetland A (1.15 acres): Jurisdictional, adjacent to an RPW. Flows to a TNW via 2 RPW tributaries.
Wetland B (0.60 acres): Jurisdictional, adjacent to an RPW. Flows to a TNW via 2 RPW tributaries.
Wetland C (0.16 acres): Jurisdictional, adjacent to an RPW. Flows to a TNW via 2 RPW tributaries. Wetlands B and C appear to be one wetland complex with water flowing over and under a narrow gravel road between the two wetlands.
Wetland D (0.02 acres): Non-jurisdictional. Isolated. No inlet or outlet.
Wetland E (0.01 acres): Non-jurisdictional. Isolated. No inlet or outlet.
Wetland F (0.27 acres): Jurisdictional, adjacent to an RPW. Flows to a TNW via 2 RPW tributaries.
Wetland G (0.06 acres): Non-jurisdictional. Isolated. No inlet or outlet.
Wetland H (1.12 acres): Jurisdictional. Abuts an RPW which flows to a TNW.
Wetland I (0.02 acres): Non-jurisdictional. Isolated. No inlet or outlet.
Wetland J (0.06 acres): Non-jurisdictional. Isolated. No inlet or outlet.
Wetland K (0.01 acres): Non-jurisdictional. Isolated. No inlet or outlet.
Under the coordination procedures associated with the Rapanos Guidance, the draft JD findings of isolated for Wetlands D, E, G, I, J, and K and presence of significant nexus for Wetlands A, B, C and F were coordinated with EPA Region 10 and Corps HQ on April 22, 2008. No comments were received within the required timeframe.