

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>				1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1   2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 05-Feb-2002	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. W68MD9-1228-1347		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY USA ENGINEER DISTRICT, SEATTLE ATTN: CENWS-CT P.O. BOX 3755 SEATTLE WA 98124-3755		CODE DACA67	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) CODE  <b>See Item 6</b>		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. DACA67-02-R-0204
				X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 23-Jan-2002
					10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
					10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE		FACILITY CODE			
<b>11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS</b>					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
<b>13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.</b>					
A.THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B.THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).					
C.THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D.OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Solicitation Number DACA67-02-R-0204  Project Title: Capehart Family Housing PHase 3, Malmstrom AFB, MT					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED  05-Feb-2002

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

1. The amendment is issued to incorporate the Customer Satisfaction Survey.
2. **The Proposal Due Time and Date are unchanged and remains at 3:00 P.M. Pacific Standard Time on 26 February 2002**
3. Offerors must acknowledge receipt of this amendment by number and date on the Standard Form 1442 Back, in Block 19, or by telegram.
4. All amendments are available for download on the Seattle District US Army Corps of Engineers website:  
<http://www/nws.usace.army.mil/ct>

Enclosure:

Customer Satisfaction Survey

## CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY (PAGE 1 OF 2)

DACA67-02-R-0204 - Improve Capehart Family Housing Phase 3, Malmstrom, AFB, MT

### SECTION 1 -- TO BE COMPLETED BY THE OFFEROR AND PROVIDED TO THE CUSTOMER REFERENCE

Name of Firm Being Evaluated: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Title & Location: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Dollar Value: \_\_\_\_\_

Year Completed: \_\_\_\_\_ Project Manager: \_\_\_\_\_

### SECTION 2 -- TO BE COMPLETED BY THE CUSTOMER REFERENCE AND MAILED, HAND-DELIVERED OR FAXED DIRECTLY TO:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District  
 Attn: CENWS-CT-CB-MU Nancy Gary  
 P.O. Box 3755  
 Seattle, WA 98124-3755

FAX: (206) 764-6817  
 Street Address:  
 4735 E. Marginal Way S.  
 Seattle WA 98134-2385

Forms submitted by other than the customer (i.e., by the offeror), will not be considered.

**OVERVIEW:** The firm shown above has submitted a proposal on a Seattle District Corps of Engineers project and provided your name as a customer reference. Part of our evaluation process requires information on the firm's past performance. Your input is important to us and responses are required no later than the time and date proposals are due for inclusion in our evaluation.

Name of Individual completing survey: \_\_\_\_\_

Firm Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Relationship to this Project: \_\_\_\_\_

The Following Chart depicts the rating that are to be used to evaluate the contractor's performance:

E	VG	S	M	U
Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
Performance met all contract requirements and exceeded expectations. Problems, if any, were negligible, and were resolved in a timely and highly effective manner.	Performance met all contract requirements and exceeded some. There were a few minor problems which the contractor resolved in a timely, effective manner.	Performance met contract requirements there were some minor problems, and corrective actions taken by the contractor were satisfactory.	Performance did not meet some contractual requirements. There were problems, some of a serious nature, for which corrective action was only marginally effective.	Performance did not meet contractual requirements. There were serious problems, and the contractor's corrective actions were ineffective.

**CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY (PAGE 2 OF 2)**

DACA67-02-R-0204 - Improve Capehart Family Housing Phase 3, Malmstrom, AFB, MT

In the following blocks, please indicate your overall level of satisfaction with the work performed by the firm shown in Section 1.

Reference the chart outlined on page 1 of this survey.

For any marginal or unsatisfactory rating, please provide explanatory narratives in the remarks block. These narratives need not be lengthy, just detailed. If a question is not applicable, circle N/A. If more space is needed, then go to the end of the questionnaire or attach additional pages. Be sure to identify your continued narration with the respect line number, your name and project name.

	<b>Quality of Work</b>	<b>Circle the appropriate rating using the chart on page 1</b>
A	Quality of Workmanship	E V S M U N/A
B.	Adequacy of the Quality Control	E V S M U N/A
C.	Adequacy of Materials	E V S M U N/A
D.	Adequacy of Submittals	E V S M U N/A
E.	Adequacy of As -Builds	E V S M U N/A
F.	Use of specified materials	E V S M U N/A
G.	Identification/correction of deficient work in a timely manner.	E V S M U N/A
H.	Suggested solutions and initiative to implement solutions.	E V S M U N/A

**REMARKS: (Discuss strengths and weaknesses of the firm)**

---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---

Your assistance in providing this past performance information is appreciated.