

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES	
			J	1	2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 30-Oct-2002	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. W68MD9-2219-3907		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY USA ENGINEER DISTRICT, SEATTLE ATTN: CENWS-CT P.O. BOX 3755 SEATTLE WA 98124-3755	CODE DACA67	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. DACA67-03-R-0201	
			X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 09-Oct-2002	
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE		11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended.					
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>0</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).					
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Combined Arms Collective Training Facility, Fort Lewis, WA -- See Attached. Address Technical Questions to: techbid@nws02.usace.army.mil					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
			TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED	
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		30-Oct-2002	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following items are applicable to this modification:CONTINUATION

This amendment is issued to provide for the following revisions to the solicitation. The attached revised sections are to be replaced in their entirety. All changes are generally identified, for convenience, either by strikeout for deletions, and underlining of text for additions, or a single dark line in the right hand margin. All portions of the revised or new pages shall apply whether or not changes have been indicated.

A. Replace, in its entirety, the front page of Standard Form 1442:

1. In Block 13A, the time and date set for receipt of offers are extended to **2:00 p.m., local time, on November 22, 2002.**

2. In Block 13D, the offer expiration date is extended: Offers providing less than **150 calendar days** for Government acceptance after the date offers are due will not be considered and will be rejected.

B. In Section 00110, Submittal and Evaluation Procedures, Page 00110-4, paragraph 4.1(e) is revised to delete the phrase "(see also (f) below)."

C. Please note that this is Amendment No. 0003; Amendment No. 0002 will be issued at a later date.

D. Offerors are to acknowledge receipt of this amendment by number and date on the Standard Form 1442 **BACK**, in Block 19.

ENCLOSURES:

Rev. Standard Form 1442

Rev. 00110

SOLICITATION, OFFER, AND AWARD <i>(Construction, Alteration, or Repair)</i>	1. SOLICITATION NUMBER DACA67-03-R-0201	2. TYPE OF SOLICITATION <input type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID (IFB) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED (RFP)	3. DATE ISSUED 9 October 2002	PAGE OF PAGES 1
---	--	--	--------------------------------------	------------------------

IMPORTANT - The "offer" section on the reverse must be fully completed by the offeror.

4. CONTRACT NUMBER	5. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUEST NUMBER W68MD9-2219-3907	6. PROJECT NUMBER
7. ISSUED BY Seattle District, Corps of Engineers ATTN: CENWS-CT-CB-MU P.O. BOX 3755 Seattle, WA 98124-3755	CODE W68MD9 Tel: 206-764-6588 Fax: 206-764-6817	8. ADDRESS OFFER TO Seattle District Corp of Engineers PO Box 3755 ATTN: CENWS-CT-CB-MU/Elson Seattle, WA 98134 HAND CARRY: Peston Conference Room 4735 East Marginal Way South Seattle, WA 98134-2329
9. FOR INFORMATION CALL	A. NAME See Information Page inside Front Cover	B. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (NO COLLECT CALLS) See Information Page inside Front Cover

SOLICITATION

NOTE: In sealed bid solicitations "offer" and "offeror" mean "bid" and "bidder".

10. THE GOVERNMENT REQUIRES PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK DESCRIBED IN THESE DOCUMENTS (Title, identifying number, date):

Furnish all labor, materials, labor and equipment and perform all work for Combined Arms Collective Training Facility (CACTF), Fort Lewis, WA. in accordance with the attached Contract Clauses, Special Clauses, Technical Specification and Drawings.

NOTE: Award will be made pursuant to the Small Business Competitive Development Program

11. The Contractor shall begin performance within 10 calendar days and complete it within _____ calendar days after receiving
 award, notice to proceed. This performance period is mandatory, negotiable. (See Paragraph SC-1, 00800 .)

12A. THE CONTRACTOR MUST FURNISH ANY REQUIRED PERFORMANCE PAYMENT BONDS? <i>(If "YES," indicate within how many calendar days after award in Item 12B.)</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	12B. CALENDAR DAYS 10
---	------------------------------

13. ADDITIONAL SOLICITATION REQUIREMENTS:

A. Sealed offers in original and 0 copies to perform the work required are due at the place specified in Item 8 by 2:00 p.m. (hour) local time November 22, 2002 (date). If this is a sealed bid solicitation, offers will be publicly opened at that time. Sealed envelopes containing offers shall be marked to show the offeror's name and address, the solicitation number, and the date and time offers are due.

B. An offer guarantee is, is not required.

C. All offers are subject to the (1) work requirements, and (2) other provisions and clauses incorporated in the solicitation in full text or by reference.

D. Offers providing less than _____ calendar days for Government acceptance after the date offers are due will not be considered and will be rejected.

This page was intentionally left blank for duplex printing.

SECTION 00110 SUBMITTAL AND EVALUATION PROCEDURES

1. INTRODUCTION:

1.1 Offerors are invited to submit a proposal in response to Request for Proposals (RFP) No. DACA67-03-R-0201 entitled, “Combined Arms Collective Training Facility (CTCTF), Fort Lewis, WA.” This RFP establishes project construction criteria and provides procedures, requirements, format, and other data to assist offerors in preparing their proposals. **It is the intent of the Government to make award based upon initial offers, without further discussions or additional information.** A contract will be awarded to the firm submitting the proposal that conforms to the RFP, is considered to provide the most advantageous offer in terms of the evaluation factors, including price, and is determined to be in the best interest of the Government.

1.2 The successful contractor shall construct a Combined Arms Collective Training Facility (CACTF), to include improvements to an existing shoot house, new construction of a breach house, and a live-fire CACTF complex with associated After Action Review Facility. A total of 45 small buildings, mostly one-and two-story, will be constructed, including electrical utilities. Approximately 100 acres of grading will be necessary. On a routine basis, the facility is planned for simultaneous use by up to four company or squad-sized elements, with periodic scheduling for larger training events. The CACTF is designed to support battalion through brigade-sized training events.

2. EVALUATION FACTORS:

2.1 Proposals will be evaluated on the basis of two criteria, **TECHNICAL** and **PRICE**. Award will be based upon evaluation of the technical criteria and sub-criteria listed below, and price proposals.

2.2 **TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The evaluation is an assessment of the offeror's ability to successfully accomplish the prospective contract as conveyed by the proposal. The proposals will be evaluated solely on the basis of the selection factors. Potential offerors will be evaluated for the following criteria listed in descending order of importance:

Criterion 1. Experience

- 1.1 Experience of the prime firm for this project in performing projects similar in nature to the following: military operations in urban terrain training facilities; new facilities construction on current or former training ranges; roads and facilities in remote and sensitive areas; and/or large mixed-use projects incorporating commercial, light industrial, and residential elements.

- 1.2 Experience of the major subcontractors proposed for the team in performing projects similar in nature to the following: military operations in urban terrain training facilities; new facilities construction on current or former training ranges; roads and facilities in remote and sensitive areas; and/or large mixed-use projects incorporating both modular prefabricated building structures and concrete masonry unit building structures.

Criterion 2. Project Management

- 2.1 Project Management Plan. Includes organizational structure and functional relationships of the team (including sub-contractors) proposed for this project, lines of communication and authority, and individual roles and responsibilities.
- 2.2 Project Schedule
- 2.3 Safety Plan and Quality Control Plan
- 2.4 Environmental Protection Plan

Criterion 3. Qualifications of the proposed team members, including experience with projects of similar nature to those listed for Criterion 1.

Criterion 4. Past performance, including customer satisfaction surveys, quality, and timely performance.

Criterion 5. Extent of Small Business Participation.

2.3 RELATIVE IMPORTANCE DEFINITIONS: For this evaluation, the following terms will be used to establish the relative importance of the technical criteria and sub-criteria:

- **Significantly More Important:** The criterion is five times more important in value to the Government than another criterion.
- **More Important:** The criterion is two times more important in value to the Government than another criterion.
- **Equal:** The criterion is of the same value to the Government as another criterion.

2.4 SUMMARY OF ORDER OF IMPORTANCE for Technical Criteria:

- Criterion 1 is equal to criterion 2.
- Criteria 1 and 2 are more important than criterion 3.
- Criterion 3 is more important than criterion 4.
- Criterion 4 is significantly more important than criterion 5.
- Sub-criterion 1.1 is more important than sub-criterion 1.2.
- Sub-criteria 2.1 and 2.2 are equal.
- Sub-criteria 2.1 and 2.2 are more important than sub-criterion 2.3.
- Sub-criterion 2.3 is significantly more important than sub-criterion 2.4.

2.5 EVALUATION STANDARDS. Evaluation criteria (criteria and sub-criteria) will be rated using the following adjectival descriptions.

OUTSTANDING - Information submitted demonstrates offeror's potential to significantly exceed performance or capability standards. The offeror has clearly demonstrated an understanding of all aspects of the requirements to the extent that timely and the highest quality performance are anticipated. Have exceptional strengths that will significantly benefit the Government. The offeror has convincingly demonstrated that the RFP requirements have been analyzed, evaluated, and synthesized into approaches, plans, and techniques that, when implemented, should result in outstanding, effective, efficient, and economical performance under the contract. Significantly exceeds most or all solicitation requirements. **Very high probability of success.**

ABOVE AVERAGE - Information submitted demonstrates offeror's potential to exceed performance or capability standards. Have one or more strengths that will benefit the Government. The areas in which the offeror exceeds the requirements are anticipated to result in a high level of efficiency or productivity or quality. The submittal contains excellent features that will likely produce results very beneficial to the Government. Fully meets all RFP requirements and significantly exceeds many of the RFP requirements. Disadvantages are minimal. **High probability of success.**

SATISFACTORY (Neutral) - Information submitted demonstrates offeror's potential to meet performance or capability standards. An acceptable solution is provided. Either meets all RFP requirements for the criterion (or sub-criterion) or contains weaknesses in some areas that are offset by strengths in other areas. A rating of "Satisfactory" indicates that, in terms of the specific criterion (or sub-criterion), the offeror has a good probability of success, as there is sufficient confidence that a fully compliant level of performance will be achieved. The proposal demonstrates an adequate understanding of the scope and depth of the RFP requirements. No significant advantages or disadvantages. Equates to neutral. **Good probability of success.**

MARGINAL – The submittal is not adequately responsive or does not address the specific criterion (or sub-criterion). The offeror's interpretation of the Government's requirements is so superficial, incomplete, vague, incompatible, incomprehensible, or incorrect as to be considered deficient. Proposal does not meet some of the minimum requirements. The assignment of a rating within the bounds of "Marginal" indicates that mandatory corrective action would be required to prevent significant deficiencies from affecting the overall project. The offeror's plans or approach will likely result in questionable quality of performance, which represents a moderate level of risk to the Government. Low probability of success although the submittal has a reasonable chance of becoming at least acceptable. **Significant disadvantages.**

UNSATISFACTORY – Fails to meet performance or capability standards. Unacceptable. Requirements can only be met with major changes to the submittal. There is no reasonable expectation that acceptable performance would be achieved. The proposal contains many deficiencies and/or gross omissions; fails to provide a reasonable, logical approach to fulfilling much of the Government's requirements; and/or fails to meet most or all of the minimum requirements. **Very significant disadvantages.**

H. Definitions of Strength, Weakness and Deficiency.

- **Strength.** A substantive aspect, attribute, or specific item in the proposal that exceeds the solicitation requirements and enhances the probability of successful contract performance.
- **Weakness.** A flaw in the proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance. A significant weakness in the proposal is a flaw that appreciably increases the risk of successful contract performance.
- **Deficiency.** A material failure of a proposal to meet a Government requirement or a combination of significant weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level.

3. PROPOSAL CONTENTS: Proposals shall be submitted in two parts: (a) Technical proposal and (b) Price proposal. Each part shall be submitted in a separate envelope/package, with the type of proposal (i.e., Technical or Price) clearly printed on the outside of the envelope/package. **NOTICE TO ALL FIRMS: The information provided regarding experience and qualifications is considered part of the firm's technical proposal.**

4. TECHNICAL PROPOSAL:

4.1 A **COVER LETTER** should be the **first page** of the technical proposal and should include **(do not put this in the price proposal):**

- (a) Solicitation number.
- (b) Name, address, and telephone and facsimile numbers of the firm signing the SF 1442 (and electronic address).
- (c) Names, titles and telephone and facsimile numbers (and electronic addresses) of persons authorized to negotiate on the firm's behalf with the Government in connection with this solicitation.
- (d) Name, title, and signature of the person authorized to sign the proposal.
- (e) A statement specifying agreement ~~(see also (f) below)~~ with all terms,

conditions provisions included in the solicitation and agreement to furnish any and all items upon which prices are offered at the proposed item prices.

4.2 **TECHNICAL PROPOSALS** shall be submitted in standard letter, hardback loose-leaf binders with a Table of Contents. Contents of the binders shall be tabbed and labeled to afford easy identification. Contents shall follow the order of the evaluation criteria and pages shall be numbered so that each page has a unique number. Numbering may either be consecutive throughout the technical proposal or you may number pages consecutively within each tabbed section (e.g., structural, mechanical, etc.). No material shall be incorporated by reference or reiteration of the RFP. Any such material will not be considered for evaluation. The technical proposal shall be presented in a manner which allows it to "STAND ALONE" without the need to reference other documents.

4.3 **TECHNICAL PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS**: Firms submitting proposals should limit submission to data essential for evaluation of proposals so that a minimum of time and monies are expended in preparing information required by the RFP.

4.3.1 Unnecessarily elaborate or voluminous brochures or other presentations, beyond those sufficient to present a complete and effective response, are not desired and may be construed as an indication of the firm's lack of cost-consciousness.

4.4 **MINIMUM SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TECHNICAL PROPOSAL**

Criterion 1. Experience.

- 1.1 **Experience of the prime firm for this project in performing projects similar in nature to the following: military operations in urban terrain training facilities; new facilities construction on current or former training ranges; roads and facilities in remote and sensitive areas; and/or large mixed-use projects incorporating commercial, light industrial, and residential elements.**

Submittal Requirements:

Provide a **list** of specific projects, using the format below, for the prime firm that are either currently under construction or were completed within the last five (5) years. List no more than five (5) projects for this criterion. Start with the most recent and relevant projects and work backwards in time.

Project Title and Location
Project Type (e.g., design-build (DB), construction (C))
Dollar Value of the project
Start and Completion Dates (Month/Year)
Role of Firm(s) (e.g., sub) (address type of work performed and percentage of work, as applicable)
Brief Description of Project (address how this relates to solicitation project)
Brief Discussion. How does this project illustrate superior problem solving skills in a unique military construction setting.
Brief Discussion. How does this project illustrate the capability to work cooperatively with a military installation to deliver timely construction in the context of ongoing installation operations.
Customer Point of Contact (i.e., name, relationship to project, agency/firm affiliation, city state, current phone number)
Awards or recognition received (if applicable)

Evaluation:

Prime firms will be evaluated on the quantity and quality of their experience. The greater the relevance and recency of prior project experience, the higher the rating assigned during evaluations. Projects that are more in line with the areas listed for this criterion will be considered more relevant than other projects.

- 1.2 Experience of the major subcontractors proposed for the team in performing projects similar in nature to the following: military operations in urban terrain training facilities; new facilities construction on current or former training ranges; roads and facilities in remote and sensitive areas; and/or large mixed-use projects incorporating both modular prefabricated building structures and concrete masonry unit building structures.**

Submittal Requirements:

Provide a **list** of specific projects, using the format below, including projects for the major subcontractors that are either currently under construction or were completed within the last five (5) years. Major subcontractors are identified, at least, to be the masonry contractor, prefabricated building contractor, and electrical and communications contractor. List no more than three (3) projects for each subcontractor. Start with the most recent and relevant projects and work backwards in time.

Project Title and Location
Project Type (e.g., design-build (DB), construction (C))
Dollar Value of the project
Start and Completion Dates (Month/Year)
Role of firm(s) (e.g., prime, sub) (address type of work performed and percentage of work, as applicable)
Brief Description of Project (address how this relates to solicitation project.
Customer Point of Contact (i.e., name, relationship to project, agency/firm affiliation, city, state, current phone number)
Awards or recognition received (if applicable)

Evaluation:

Subcontractor firms will be evaluated on the quantity and quality of their experience. The greater the relevance and recency of prior project experience, the higher the rating assigned during evaluations. Projects that are more in line with the areas for this criterion will be considered more relevant than other projects.

Criterion 2. Project Management

2.1 Project Management Plan. Includes organizational structure and functional relationships of the team proposed for this project, lines of communication and authority, and individual roles and roles and responsibilities.

Submittal Requirements:

Submit a project management plan that describes how the offeror intends to manage the project throughout construction with emphasis on how a quality product will be produced on schedule and to cost. In particular, describe how the project will be managed to achieve the requirements of the Construction Phasing Plan described in Section 01005, Site Specific Supplementary Requirements. State how construction management will be integrated with quality management. Provide an **organizational chart** showing the functional relationships of the firms as well as linkage and functions of proposed team members. Identify the following individuals: Project Manager, Contractor Quality Control (CQC Systems Manager), Project On-site Superintendent, and Safety Officer (must be a separate individual from the CQC System Manager). A brief **narrative** shall be included, describing the lines of communication and authority, and individual roles and responsibilities shall also be submitted. Ensure your chart and narrative reflect the integration of the proposed organization (including construction management and quality control).

Evaluation:

The project management plan will be evaluated to determine the likelihood of success. Consideration will be given to the degree the offeror's plan will assure the project will be constructed to meet RFP requirements. The proposed organizational structure and functional relationships will be evaluated for completeness and reasonableness and the degree to which they demonstrate the offeror's understanding of the aspects required for successfully accomplishing the work described in the solicitation.

2.2 Project Schedule.

Submittal Requirements:

Provide an outline of the plan for construction of the project. The schedule shall be prepared in the form of time-scaled (Gantt Chart) summary network diagram and shall graphically indicate sequences proposed to accomplish each work operation and appropriate interdependencies between various activities. The offeror must state the total number of calendar days from receipt of initial Notice To Proceed to completion of each phase of the work as detailed in the Construction Phasing Plan in Section 01005. The schedule shall also include the number of calendar days specified for Government review of submittals.

Evaluation:

The firm's planning and scheduling of the work will be evaluated. Consideration is given to the completeness and reasonableness of the proposed schedule, and identification of critical elements of construction that can delay the entire project. This criterion will be evaluated to determine the firm's understanding of project requirements, the reasonableness and the completeness of the schedule and the likelihood of successful performance.

2.3 Safety Plan and Quality Control Plan

Submittal Requirements:

Provide a site safety plan that will describe your method for monitoring safety during construction. The plan should focus on safety issues particular to training ranges, either currently constructed or those completed as part of past projects. Provide a quality control plan that addresses how your quality control system will be used during construction. State the method by which the offeror will assure that the construction methods and materials meet the contract requirements. This should include discussion of standard operating procedures for quality management, construction inspections by prime contractor representatives as well as subcontractors, identification of deficiencies and tracking of corrective action. State how quality of work performed by subcontractors will be controlled and assessed by the prime contractor. This should be provided in a separate section on sub-contractor quality control. In particular, provide a

discussion detailing how the contractor will provide for quality construction of CMU buildings associated with this project. Also provide a discussion of how issues associated with the potential use of concrete masonry unit (CMU) blocks provided in english units of measure will be handled relative to the metric design for this project. The text of the quality control plan should also detail the contractor's approach to delivering quality products for specific aspects of this project such as prefabricated metal buildings, HVAC systems, the programmable low voltage networked lighting control system, and other issues as considered relevant by the contractor.

Evaluation:

The Safety and Quality Control Plans will be evaluated to determine the likelihood of success. Consideration will be given to the completeness, organization, and detail in conformance with the RFP requirements.

- The Safety Plan should detail how the firm will approach site safety and should focus on issues pertinent to training ranges, either currently constructed or those completed as part of past projects. Past safety awards and a record of no safety violations on past projects may receive additional consideration.
- Quality Control Plan. The quality control plan will be evaluated to determine how the contractor plans to control the quality of the work as specifically applicable to this project. If the contractor's generic quality control plan contains material that is responsive to the requirements of this RFP, then it may be included with the submittal and referenced in a separate discussion of site-specific issues. Offerors who provide a quality control plan that clearly addresses project specific requirements associated with this contract will be rated more highly.

2.4 Environmental Protection Plan

Submittal Requirements:

Provide an environmental protection plan to include protection of existing vegetation and other environmental resources. Requirements for the environmental protection plan that will be required after award are detailed in Sec. 01410 – Environmental Protection. The complete plan is not required as a part of the offeror's response to this RFP. However, an abbreviated version that clearly highlights issues such as protection of the ephemeral wetland at the western perimeter of the Leschi Town site, protection of existing trees not to be removed as a part of the construction effort, and other issues at the contractor's discretion should be provided.

Evaluation:

The Environmental Protection Plan will be evaluated to determine the likelihood of success. Consideration will be given to the completeness, organization, and detail in conformance with the RFP requirements. Submittals that clearly address site specific issues will be rated more highly.

Criterion 3. Qualifications of proposed team members, including experience with projects of similar nature to those listed for Criterion 1.

Submittal Requirements:

Provide the qualifications of the KEY individual team members proposed for this project in the form of **resumes**. Resumes should be no longer than three pages per individual and submitted in a format similar to the one shown below.

As a minimum, provide resumes for the following individuals: Project Manager, Contractor Quality Control (CQC) Systems Manager, Project On-Site Superintendent, and Safety Officer.

Name/Title
Proposed Duties/Functions (for this project)
Firm Affiliation/Years Affiliated
Years of Experience (performing duties/functions as proposed for this project)
Education (Degree, Year, Specialization)
Active Registrations (and/or Professional/Technical Licenses/Certifications)
Specific Qualifications (for this project, if any)
List of Relevant Projects Including:
Project Title and Location
Project Type (e.g., design-build (DB), design (d), construction (C))
Dollar Value (design\$; construction \$)
Name of employing firm
Start and Completion Dates (Month/Year)
Duties/Functions: (address how this relates to role for solicitation project)
Brief Description of Project (address how this relates to solicitation project)
Customer Point of Contact (i.e., name, relationship to project, agency/firm affiliation, city, state, current phone number)
Awards or recognition received (if applicable)

Evaluation:

The more recent and the greater the relevancy of the team members' qualifications, prior project experience, and active registrations, the higher the rating assigned for this criterion during evaluations.

Criterion 4. Past Performance, including customer satisfaction surveys, quality and timely performance.

Submittal Requirements:

A reproducible Customer Satisfaction Survey form is provided at the end of this notice. To be considered, the surveys must be completed by the customers and mailed, e-mailed, hand-delivered, or faxed by the customer directly to the Contracting Office for receipt no later than the time and date the submittals are due.

Surveys submitted directly by offerors may not be considered. Please ensure envelopes containing surveys submitted to this office do not contain the offeror's return address.

As a minimum, three (3) Customer Satisfaction Surveys should be received for the prime firm (i.e., the firm that will sign the contract).

Also, submit a list of customers (including current point of contact, phone number, and electronic address) who sent Customer Satisfaction Surveys.

Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the relative merits of each offeror's past performance. The Government reserves the right to consider all aspects of an offeror's performance history, but will attribute more significance to work that was similar in nature, magnitude, and complexity to this project. A lack of past performance information will receive a neutral rating during evaluation. Government databases will be checked and previous customers may be contacted as references.

Criterion 5. Extent of Small Business Participation.

Submittal Requirements:

All offerors are to provide a **table** listing all firms (including the prime) or trades that will be involved in this project, the percent of work that will be performed (adding up to 100%) and the size of the business, either large or small performing the work. Small businesses are defined in contract clause 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns in Section 00700 of the solicitation, and include small, small veteran-owned,

small service-disabled veteran-owned, HUBZone, small disadvantaged, and small women-owned business concerns. A table similar to the format shown below should be used:

Small Business Participation

** DATA IS FOR EXAMPLE ONLY**			
Firm Name or Designation	Project Responsibility	Size (LB or SB)	% To be Performed
Prime		LB	30%
Design Firm	Designer	SB	5%
Sub A	Site Work	SB	25%
Sub B	Electrical	LB	10%
Sub C	Structural Steel	SB	15%
Sub D	Mechanical	SB	20%
		TOTAL	100%

Evaluation: Firms will be evaluated based on the degree they meet, exceed or fail to meet the recommended small business subcontracting participation goal of **70%** for this project.

NOTE: This criterion is not to be confused with the separate regulatory requirement of large businesses, whose proposals exceed \$1,000,000, to submit an acceptable Small Business Subcontracting Plan with the price proposal as described in the letter at the beginning of the solicitation. In order to receive a contract award, a large business must provide an acceptable Subcontracting Plan.

5. TECHNICAL PROPOSAL FORMAT: As a minimum, each copy of the technical proposal should contain the following general format for the volumes specified in the following table. Pages should be numbered consecutively.

<p>Technical Proposal (original and five (5) copies required). Offerors may separate this information into two volumes if binders become too thick.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Table of Contents. (List all sections of the technical proposal) • Experience – Prime Firm and Major Subcontractors • Project Management: Project Management Plan, including organizational structure and functional relationship data; Safety Plan and Quality Control Plan; Environmental Protection Plan. • Qualifications of Proposed Team Members • Past Performance • Small Business participation data

6. PRICE PROPOSAL FORMAT: The price proposal shall be submitted in ORIGINAL, plus one copy, and must be signed by an official authorized to bind your organization. Note that the Standard Form 1442, Block 13D states the minimum number of calendar days after the date offers are due for Government acceptance of the offer.

PRICE PROPOSAL FORMAT: A single volume (original and (1) copy):

- Standard Form 1442 front and back with corporate certificate (use the one for joint venture if applicable)
- Pricing Schedule (all schedule pages) (prices must be provided for all line items in the schedule)
- Small and Small Disadvantaged Business Subcontracting Plan (Large businesses only, see letter at front of RFP)
- Section 00600, Representations and Certifications
- 20% Bid Bond

NOTE: Price proposal and bonds are DUE AT SAME TIME as technical proposals.

7. FUNDING. See Section 00100 (52.236-4902) for magnitude of construction for this project.

8. EVALUATION AND AWARD PROCEDURES

8.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION:

8.1.1 All technical proposals will be evaluated by a Technical Evaluation Team (TET). Pricing data will not be considered during this evaluation. Criteria for the technical evaluation are set forth elsewhere in the solicitation and will be the sole basis for determining the technical merit of proposals.

8.1.2 The TET shall utilize the relative importance definitions and technical merit ratings described earlier in this section of the solicitation to perform their technical evaluation.

8.1.3 To be considered for award, proposals must conform to the terms and conditions contained in the RFP. No proposal will be accepted that does not address all criteria specified in this solicitation or which includes stipulations or qualifying conditions unacceptable to the Government.

8.2 PRICE EVALUATION:

Price is of secondary importance to the technical criteria. Pricing will be independently evaluated to determine reasonableness and to aid in the determination of the firm's understanding of the work and ability to perform the contract. Financial capacity and bonding ability will be verified.

8.3 SELECTION AND AWARD: Subject to provisions contained herein, award shall be made to a single firm. The Government will select the best value offer based on technical merit and price.

8.3.1 BEST VALUE ANALYSIS. The Government is more concerned with obtaining superior technical features than with making award at the lowest overall cost to the Government. In determining the best value to the Government, the tradeoff process of evaluation will be utilized. The tradeoff process permits tradeoffs among price and technical criteria, and allows the Government to consider award to other than the lowest priced offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror. You are advised that greater consideration will be given to the evaluation of technical proposals rather than price. It is pointed out, however, that should technical competence between offerors be considered approximately the same, the cost or price could become more important in determining award.

8.3.2 SELECTION AND AWARD WITHOUT DISCUSSIONS: *It is the intent of the Government to make award based upon initial offers, without further discussions or additional information.* Therefore, initial proposals should be submitted based on the most favorable terms from a price and technical standpoint. Do not assume there will be an opportunity to clarify, discuss or revise proposals. If award is not made on initial offers, a competitive range will be established and discussions conducted as described below.

8.3.3 COMPETITIVE RANGE: The Government shall evaluate all proposals in accordance with FAR 15.305(a) and, if discussions are to be conducted, establish a competitive range. Based on the ratings of each proposal against all evaluation criteria, the Contracting Officer shall establish a competitive range comprised of all of the most highly rated proposals, unless the range is further reduced for purposes of efficiency pursuant to FAR 15.306(c)(2).

8.3.4 DISCUSSIONS: Discussions are usually conducted in writing, but may also be by telephone or in person. Discussions are tailored to each offeror's proposal and are only conducted with offeror(s) in the competitive range. The primary objective of discussions is to maximize the Government's ability to obtain the best value, based on the requirement and the evaluation criteria set forth in this solicitation. If a firm's proposal is eliminated or otherwise removed from consideration for award during discussions, no further revisions to that firm's proposal will be accepted or considered. Discussions will culminate in a request for Final Proposal Revision the date and time of which will be common to all remaining firms.

8.3.5 AFTER DISCUSSIONS: Revisions to the proposals submitted during discussions, if any, will be evaluated by the TET and, if warranted, an adjustment made to the rating previously assigned. The Contracting Officer will then perform a best value analysis based on the final prices and technical proposals. Selection will be made on

the basis of the responsive, responsible firm whose proposal conforms to the RFP and represents the most advantageous offer to the Government, subject to availability of funds.

8.3.6 DEBRIEFINGS: Upon written request, unsuccessful firms will be debriefed and furnished the basis for the selection decision and contract award in accordance with FAR 15.505 and FAR 15.506.

8.3.7 PROPOSAL EXPENSES AND PRECONTRACT COSTS: This solicitation does not commit the Government to pay costs incurred in preparation and submission of initial and subsequent proposals or for other costs incurred prior to award of a formal contract.

8.3.8 RELEASE OF INFORMATION: After receipt of proposals and until contract award, source selection information will not be furnished to any firm.

END OF SECTION 00110

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY (PAGE 1 OF 2)

DACA67-03-R-0201, COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY (CACTF), FT. LEWIS, WA

SECTION 1 -- TO BE COMPLETED BY OFFEROR AND PROVIDED TO CUSTOMER REFERENCE

Name of Firm Being Evaluated: _____

Project Title & Location: _____

Project Dollar Value (construction amounts)

Designer's Role on Project (if applicable): _____

Year Completed: _____ **Project Manager:** _____

SECTION 2 -- TO BE COMPLETED BY THE CUSTOMER REFERENCE AND MAILED, HAND-DELIVERED OR FAXED DIRECTLY TO: Forms submitted by other than the customer (i.e., by the offeror), will not be considered.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District
Attn: CENWS-CT-CB-MU (Esther Elson)
P.O. Box 3755
Seattle, WA 98124-3755

FAX: (206) 764-6817
Street Address:
4735 E. Marginal Way S.
Seattle WA 98134-2385

OVERVIEW: The firm shown above has submitted a proposal on a Seattle District Corps of Engineers project and provided your name as a customer reference. Part of our evaluation process requires information on the firm's past performance. Your input is important to us and responses are required by November 8, 2002 at 2:00 p.m. for inclusion in this evaluation. Your assistance is greatly appreciated.

In blocks below, please indicate your overall level of satisfaction with work performed by firm shown in Section 1. Mark *Not Applicable* (N/A) for any areas that do not apply. Provide comments on page 2.

	On this project, the firm:	Satisfaction				
		Low	High	N/A		
1.	Kept You Informed & Treated You as Important Member of the Team	1	2	3	4	5 N/A
2.	Displayed Flexibility in Responding to Your Needs	1	2	3	4	5 N/A
3.	Displayed Initiative in Problem Solving	1	2	3	4	5 N/A
4.	Resolved Your Concerns	1	2	3	4	5 N/A
5.	Completed Your Major Project Milestones on Time	1	2	3	4	5 N/A
6.	Managed the Project Effectively (including adequate Cost Controls)	1	2	3	4	5 N/A
7.	Managed their Work Force Effectively (including Subcontractors)	1	2	3	4	5 N/A
8.	Effectiveness of Quality Control Program	1	2	3	4	5 N/A
9.	Provided Warranty Support	1	2	3	4	5 N/A

