

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES	
				J	1	2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 15-Jul-2004	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. W68MD9-4013-7819		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY USA ENGINEER DISTRICT, SEATTLE ATTN: CENWS-CT 4735 EAST MARGINAL WAY SOUTH SEATTLE WA 98134-2329		CODE W912DW	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W912DW-04-R-0015	
				X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 16-Jun-2004	
					10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
					10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE				
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended.						
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.						
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)						
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.						
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.						
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).						
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:						
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)						
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.						
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Solicitation No. W912DW-04-R-0015 Amendment No. R0003 Title: JP-8 Bulk Fuel Tanks McChord AFB, Washington SEE CONTINUATION PAGE						
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.						
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
				TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED	
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)			BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		15-Jul-2004	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

This **Amendment R0003** to Solicitation No. W912DW-04-R-0015 entitled "JP-8 Bulk Fuel Tanks at McChord Air Force Base, Washington," issued as follows.

A) PROPOSAL DUE DATE & TIME:

The Proposal due date and time have been extended to: 27 July 2004, NLT 2:00:00 PM Local Time.

B) Section 00110-Proposal Submission and Evaluation has been revised and is replaced in its entirety.

C) This amendment provides for the following technical revisions/changes:

- (1) Revisions to Index of Drawings under section 00800 Attachment A to add revision number.
- (2) Revisions to drawings by notation after the Index of Drawings (Section 00800, Attachment A).
- (3) Revisions to contract drawings, sheet nos. 32, 34, and 68.

D) Seattle District Corps of Engineers anticipates an approximate award of 15 September 2004 with Notice to Proceed following in approximately 10 days or around 25 September 2004.

E) A brief **second Site Visit** has been scheduled Wed July 21 at 10:00AM. Please see attached site-visit information sheet for details.

F) NOTICE TO OFFEROR'S:

Offerors must acknowledge receipt of this amendment by number and date on Standard Form 1442 block 19 submitted with proposal or by telegram.

G) The attached revised specification sections supersede and replace the corresponding specification sections. Specification changes are generally identified, for convenience, by strikeout for deletions, and underlining of text for additions. All portions of the revised or new pages shall apply whether or not changes have been indicated.

H) All other terms and conditions of the solicitation remain unchanged.

Enclosures:

- 1) Revised Standard Form 1442
- 2) Revised Section 00110-Proposal Submission and Evaluation
- 3) Revised Index of Drawings under section 00800 Attachment A
- 4) Revisions to drawings by notation after the Index of Drawings (Section 00800, Attachment A).
- 5) Revised Contract Drawings, sheet nos. 32, 34, and 68.
- 6) Site Visit Information Sheet

SOLICITATION, OFFER, AND AWARD <i>(Construction, Alteration, or Repair)</i>	1. SOLICITATION NUMBER W912DW-04-R-0015	2. TYPE OF SOLICITATION <input type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID (IFB) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED (RFP)	3. DATE ISSUED 16 June 2004	PAGE OF PAGES 1
	IMPORTANT - The "offer" section on the reverse must be fully completed by the offeror.			

4. CONTRACT NUMBER	5. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUEST NUMBER W68MD9-4013-7819	6. PROJECT NUMBER
--------------------	---	-------------------

7. ISSUED BY Seattle District, Corps of Engineers ATTN: CENWS-CT-CB-MU PO Box 3755 Seattle, WA 98124-3755	CODE W68MD9	8. ADDRESS OFFER TO Seattle District, Corps of Engineers PO Box 3755 ATTN: CENWS-CT-CB-MU Seattle, WA 98124-3755 HAND CARRY: Seattle District Corps of Engineers Contracting Division 4735 East Marginal Way South Seattle, WA 98134-2329
---	-----------------------	--

9. FOR INFORMATION CALL	A. NAME KEVIN MULVHILL	B. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (NO COLLECT CALLS) 206-764-6805
-------------------------	----------------------------------	---

SOLICITATION

NOTE: In sealed bid solicitations "offer" and "offeror" mean "bid" and "bidder".

10. THE GOVERNMENT REQUIRES PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK DESCRIBED IN THESE DOCUMENTS (Title, identifying number, date):
Furnish all labor, materials and equipment and perform all work for JP-8 Bulk Fuel Tanks McChord Air Force Base, Washington in accordance with the attached Contract Clauses, Special Clauses, Technical Specifications and Drawings.

11. The Contractor shall begin performance within 10 calendar days and complete it within _____ calendar days after receiving award, notice to proceed. This performance period is mandatory, negotiable. (See * Paragraph SC-1, 00800 .)

12A. THE CONTRACTOR MUST FURNISH ANY REQUIRED PERFORMANCE PAYMENT BONDS? <i>(If "YES," indicate within how many calendar days after award in Item 12B.)</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	12B. CALENDAR DAYS 10
---	---------------------------------

13. ADDITIONAL SOLICITATION REQUIREMENTS:

A. Sealed offers in original and 2 copies to perform the work required are due at the place specified in Item 8 by 2:00 p.m. (hour) local time 27 July 2004 (date). If this is a sealed bid solicitation, offers will be publicly opened at that time. Sealed envelope containing offers shall be marked to show the offeror's name and address, the solicitation number, and the date and time offers are due.

B. An offer guarantee is, is not required.

C. All offers are subject to the (1) work requirements, and (2) other provisions and clauses incorporated in the solicitation in full text or by reference.

D. Offers providing less than 120 calendar days for Government acceptance after the date offers are due will not be considered and will be rejected.

OFFER (Must be fully completed by offeror)

14. NAME AND ADDRESS OF OFFEROR (Include ZIP Code) Tax ID No: _____ DUNS No: _____ eMail: _____ CODE _____ FACILITY CODE _____	15. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) Fax No.: _____ 16. REMITTANCE ADDRESS (Include only if different than Item 14)
---	---

17. The offeror agrees to perform the work required at the prices specified below in strict accordance with the terms of this solicitation, if this offer is accepted by the Government in writing within _____ calendar days after the date offers are due. (Insert any number equal or greater than the minimum requirement stated in 13D. Failure to insert any number means the offeror accepts the minimum in Item 13D.)

AMOUNTS See Page 00010-5

18. The offeror agrees to furnish any required performance and payment bonds.

19. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF AMENDMENTS
 (The offeror acknowledges receipt of amendments to the solicitation - give number and date of each)

AMENDMENT NO.										
DATE										

20A. NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SIGN OFFER (Type or print)	20B. SIGNATURE	20C. OFFER DATE
--	----------------	-----------------

AWARD (To be completed by Government)

21. ITEMS ACCEPTED

22. AMOUNT	23. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA
------------	---------------------------------------

24. SUBMIT INVOICES TO ADDRESS SHOWN IN (4 copies unless otherwise specified)	ITEM 26	25. OTHER THAN FULL AND OPEN COMPETITION PURSUANT TO <input type="checkbox"/> 10 U.S.C. 2304(c) () <input type="checkbox"/> 41 U.S.C. 253(c) ()
--	---------	--

26. ADMINISTERED BY CODE _____ USACE - Seattle District Northwest Area Office PO Box 92146 Tillicum, WA 98492-0146	27. PAYMENT WILL BE MADE BY US Army Corps of Engineers Finance Center CEFC-AO-P 5722 Integrity Drive Millington, TN 38054-500
--	---

CONTRACTING OFFICER WILL COMPLETE ITEM 28 OR 29 AS APPLICABLE

<input type="checkbox"/> 28. NEGOTIATED AGREEMENT (Contractor is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.) Contractor agrees to furnish and deliver all items or perform all work requirements identified on this form and any continuation sheets for the consideration stated in this contract. The rights and obligations of the parties to this contract shall be governed by (a) this contract award, (b) the solicitation, and (c) the clauses, representations, certifications, and specifications incorporated by reference in or attached to this contract.	<input type="checkbox"/> 29. AWARD. (Contractor is not required to sign this document.) Your offer on this solicitation is hereby accepted as to the items listed. This award consummates the contract, which consists of (a) the Government solicitation and your offer, and (b) this contract award. No further contractual document is necessary.
---	--

30A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTOR OR PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SIGN (Type or print)	31A. NAME OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)
30B. SIGNATURE	31B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
30C. DATE	BY _____
	31C. AWARD DATE

SECTION 00110 – PROPOSAL SUBMISSION AND EVALUATION

1. INTRODUCTION:

1.1 Your firm is invited to submit a proposal in response to Request for Proposals (RFP) No. **W912DW-04-R-0015** entitled **Construct JP-8 Bulk Fuel Tanks at McChord Air Force Base, Washington**. This RFP establishes project requirements and provides procedures, format, and other data to assist offerors in preparing their proposals. **It is the intent of the Government to make award based upon initial offers, without further discussions or additional information.** A contract will be awarded to the firm submitting the proposal that conforms to the RFP, is considered to provide the most advantageous offer in terms of the evaluation factors, including price, and is determined to be in the best interest of the Government.

1.2 This project consists of the construction of two JP-8 Jet Fuel Storage tanks. Each new tank will have a capacity of 80,000 barrel (bbl) on reinforced concrete, with rigid roof, floating internal pan, automatic tank gauging, associated dikes, fencing, access road, and supporting facilities. Pump house is to include fire detection, electrical and mechanical systems, and pre-wiring to accommodate required information and communication services. Work also includes product recovery tank, distribution piping, utility connections (jack-and-bore under railroad tracks), cathodic protection, emergency generator, exterior lighting and demolition of pavements, tank A-1 and associated secondary containment. Period of Performance for this project is 540 calendar days. Proposed procurement will result in award of a Firm Fixed Price (FFP) Contract.

2. EVALUATION FACTORS:

2.1 Proposals will be evaluated on the basis of two criteria, **TECHNICAL** and **PRICE**. Award will be based upon evaluation of the technical criteria listed below, and price proposals.

2.2 **TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The technical criteria, listed in **descending order of importance**, are as follows:

1. Proposed Construction Contractor Team Experience
2. Key Personnel Qualifications and Construction Experience
3. Past Performance of the Prime
4. Project Schedule
5. Extent of Small Business Participation

2.3 **RELATIVE IMPORTANCE DEFINITIONS:** For this evaluation, the following terms will be used to establish the relative importance of the technical criteria:

- **More Important:** The criterion is (two) times more important in value to the Government than another criterion.

2.4 SUMMARY OF ORDER OF IMPORTANCE for Technical Criteria:

- Criterion 1, 2, and 3 are equal to each other and are each more important than criterion 4.
- Criterion 4 is more important than criterion 5.

2.5 **EVALUATION STANDARDS.** Evaluation criteria will be rated using the following adjectival descriptions.

OUTSTANDING - Information submitted demonstrates offeror's potential to significantly exceed performance or capability standards. The offeror has clearly demonstrated an understanding of all aspects of the requirements to the extent that timely and the highest quality performance are anticipated. Has exceptional strengths that will significantly benefit the Government. The offeror convincingly demonstrated that the RFP requirements have been analyzed, evaluated, and synthesized into approaches, plans, and techniques that, when implemented, should result in outstanding, effective, efficient, and economical performance under the contract. Significantly exceeds most or all solicitation requirements. **VERY HIGH PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS.**

ABOVE AVERAGE - Information submitted demonstrates offeror's potential to exceed performance or capability standards. Has one or more strengths that will benefit the Government. The areas in which the offeror exceeds the requirements are anticipated to result in a high level of efficiency or productivity or quality. The submittal contains excellent features that will likely produce results very beneficial to the Government. Fully meets all RFP requirements and significantly exceeds many of the RFP requirements. Disadvantages are minimal. **HIGH PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS.**

SATISFACTORY (Neutral) - Information submitted demonstrates offeror's potential to meet performance or capability standards. An acceptable solution is provided. Either meets all RFP requirements for the criterion or contains weaknesses in some areas that are offset by strengths in other areas. A rating of "Satisfactory" indicates that, in terms of the specific criterion (or sub-criterion), the offeror has a reasonable probability of success, as there is sufficient confidence that a fully compliant level of performance will be achieved. The proposal demonstrates an adequate understanding of the scope and depth of the RFP requirements. No significant advantages or disadvantages. Equates to neutral. **REASONABLE PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS.**

MARGINAL – The submittal is not adequately responsive or does not address the specific criterion. The offeror's interpretation of the Government's requirements is so superficial, incomplete, vague, incompatible, incomprehensible, or incorrect as to be considered deficient. Proposal does not meet some of the minimum requirements. The assignment of a rating within the bounds of "Marginal" indicates that mandatory corrective action would be required to prevent significant deficiencies from affecting the overall project. The offeror's plans or approach will likely result in questionable quality of performance, which represents a moderate level of risk to the Government. Low probability of success although the submittal has a reasonable chance of becoming at least acceptable. Significant disadvantages. **LOW PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS.**

UNSATISFACTORY – Fails to meet performance or capability standards. Unacceptable. Requirements can only be met with major changes to the submittal. There is no reasonable expectation that acceptable performance would be achieved. The proposal contains many deficiencies and/or gross omissions; fails to provide a reasonable, logical approach to fulfilling much of the Government’s requirements; and/or fails to meet most or all of the minimum requirements. Very significant disadvantages. **VERY LOW PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS.**

2.6 DEFINITIONS OF STRENGTH, WEAKNESS AND DEFICIENCY:

Strength: A substantive aspect, attribute, or specific item in the proposal that exceeds the solicitation requirements and enhances the probability of successful contract performance.

Weakness: A flaw in the proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance (i.e., meets the RFP requirements, but may have an impact on schedule or quality requirements). A *weakness need not be corrected* for a proposal to be considered for award, but *may* affect the offeror’s rating.

Deficiency: A material failure of a proposal to meet the Government requirement or a combination of significant weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of contract performance at an unacceptable level. A deficiency *must be corrected* for a proposal to be considered for award.

3. PROPOSAL CONTENTS: Proposals shall be submitted in two parts: (a) Technical proposal and (b) Price proposal. Each part shall be submitted in a separate envelope/package, with the type of proposal (i.e., Technical or Price) clearly printed on the outside of the envelope/package. **For ease of evaluation, submit the proposal following the same organization and title format as specified in paragraph 4.3.6 SUMMARY OF TECHNICAL PROPOSAL FORMAT (for the technical proposal) and paragraph 5.1 SUMMARY OF PRICE PROPOSAL FORMAT (for the price proposal).**

4. TECHNICAL PROPOSAL:

4.1 A **COVER LETTER** should be the **first page** of the technical proposal and should include **(do not put this in the price proposal):**

- (a) Solicitation number.
- (b) Name, address, and telephone and facsimile numbers of the firm signing the SF 1442 (and electronic address).

(c) Names, titles and telephone and facsimile numbers (and electronic addresses) of persons authorized to negotiate on the firm's behalf with the Government in connection with this solicitation.

(d) Name, title, and signature of the person authorized to sign the proposal.

(e) A statement specifying agreement with all terms, conditions, and provisions included in the solicitation and agreement to furnish any and all items upon which prices are offered at the proposed item prices.

(f) **FINAL PROPOSAL REVISION:** If required to submit a Final Proposal Revision, the accompanying cover letter must identify all changes made to the firm's initial proposal.

4.2 GENERAL TECHNICAL PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS: Offerors submitting proposals for this project should limit submissions to data essential for evaluation of proposals so that a minimum of time and monies will have been expended in preparing information required herein. Elaborate artwork, expensive paper and bindings, and expensive/extensive visual and other presentation aids are unnecessary. However, in order to be effectively and equitably evaluated, the proposals must include information sufficiently detailed to clearly describe the offeror's experience and management capabilities to successfully complete the project. Any deviations from requirements should be clearly noted and justified in the proposal. The requirements specified in the solicitation are considered minimum requirements. Proposal clarity, organization (as defined in this solicitation) and cross referencing are mandatory. Submitted material incorporated by reference will not be evaluated. The offeror should submit in the proposal the requested information specified below.

4.3 MINIMUM SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS FOR TECHNICAL PROPOSAL:

4.3.1 Proposed Construction Contractor Team Experience

Submittal Requirements: Provide three (3) projects for the Proposed Construction Contractor Team demonstrating relevant experience in the range of \$5,000,000 to \$10,000,000 or higher.

The makeup Construction Contractor Team includes the Prime Contractor and Key Subcontractors (ie: Mechanical, Electrical and Steel Fabricating Subcontractor). "Relevant experience" is defined as experience constructing facilities similar to the project in this solicitation in nature, scope, complexity, magnitude and dollar value, such as hydrant fuel construction on a completed system and successful start-up of a military or civilian Aircraft Fuel Storage System with features ~~such as a 55,000 BBL or larger similar to those of this project, to include~~ aboveground fuel storage tanks with fixed roof and floating pans. ~~Only relevant projects currently in progress (after successful start up) or completed within the past five (5) years shall be given more consideration.~~ Start with the most recent and relevant projects and work backwards in time. The projects selected should clearly demonstrate the construction capabilities of the Team in one or more of the areas described in this paragraph. Absolutely no changes in to the makeup of the Proposed Construction Contractor Team shall be allowed

without the express written consent of the Contracting Officer. Include the following information:

Project Title & Location
Project Type (e.g., design-build (DB), design (D), construction (C))
Dollar Value (design \$; construction \$)
Start & Completion Dates (Month/Year)
Role of Firm(s) (e.g., prime, sub) (address type of work performed and percentage of work by the proposed team, as applicable)
Brief Description of Project (address how this relates to solicitation project)
Customer Point of Contact (i.e., name, relationship to project, agency/firm affiliation, city, state, current phone no.)
Awards or recognition received (if applicable)
Firms on the proposed team that performed this project

Evaluation Method: This criterion will be evaluated for the quantity and quality of experience demonstrated by the proposed team. The greater the relevance and the more recent the prior project experience, the higher the rating assigned during evaluations. Demonstration of experience in completing projects that had the unique characteristics of the proposed project will be evaluated favorably. Projects involving construction and completed start-up with attributes similar to those specified above will be evaluated favorably. Projects involving the construction of bulk fuel tanks 55,000 BBL or larger in size and/or volume to those in this solicitation that have been in satisfactory operation for at least two (2) years may be given more consideration. Relevant projects currently in progress (after successful start-up) or completed within the past five (5) years shall be given more consideration. Prior Government or Corps of Engineers project experience is not required to meet the minimum requirements of this criteria, however, it will be favorably considered.

4.3.2 **Key Personnel Qualifications and Construction Experience:**

Submittal Requirements: The Offeror must demonstrate specific project experience (minimum of one completed project, similar to this project, within the last 5 years) of each of its Key Personnel (Construction Project Manager, Project Superintendent and Contractor Quality Control (CQC) System Manager) that are assigned to this project. The offeror should submit the names and resumes for key construction personnel that will be assigned to this project and time period associated with the project. In addition, provide a summary of the duties and responsibilities of these individuals that clearly indicate separate duties and responsibilities for each individual. Note that each of the listed personnel must have separate and distinct responsibilities – no single person shall be designated as performing the duties of more than one position. The proposal should clearly present the credentials of each person and show that each meets the requirements listed below. Absolutely no changes to the Proposed Key Personnel for this project shall be allowed without the express written consent of the Contracting Officer. Each resume should include examples of project experience (including what capacity the individual served on each project), as well as the dates (month and year) employed on each project in that capacity, and the monetary size of each project cited as experience. Resumes should be listed in reverse chronological order, with the latest experience listed first, and all time gaps on each resume fully

explained. In addition, the educational qualifications of the proposed personnel should be submitted. Resumes should be no more than two (2) pages per individual and submitted in a format similar to the one below and a minimum, the following information showing similar experience of its Key Personnel for each project shall be provided:

RESUME FORMAT

Name and Title

1. Proposed Duties/Functions for this project

2. Firm Affiliation and Years Affiliated

3. Years of Experience performing duties/functions as proposed for this project.

4. Education – School attended, Degree, Certification, Year, and Specialization

5. List Active Registrations (Professional or Technical Licenses/Certifications)

6. Describe Specific Qualifications for this project

7. List Projects worked on to Include:

Project Title & Location

Scope, Size and Complexity

Duties/Functions

Date of project

8. Demonstrate how each project submitted is relevant to the project to be constructed under this solicitation

9. Current primary POC for the customer (name, relationship to project, agency/firm affiliation, city and state, and phone number).

Offerors must provide documentation that demonstrates compliance with required qualifications of the proposed Construction Project Manager, Project Superintendent, and Contractor Quality Control (CQC) System Manager. This documentation may include resumes or other statements demonstrating the experience of these individuals. For project experience, listed under qualifications, provide the same information as described in 4.3.1 above.

a. Construction Project Manager.

The proposed Construction Project Manager shall possess a Bachelor's Degree in Engineering or Sciences, with a minimum of 5 years experience in Construction Project Management. A minimum of 2 of those 5 years as experience, as a Construction Project Manager, in construction and installation of Aircraft Fuel Storage System for completed systems.

b. Contractor Quality Control (CQC) System Manager.

The CQC System Manager must be either:

Possess a Bachelor's Degree in Engineering or Sciences and also must have a minimum of 2 years experience as a Construction CQC. The CQC experience must be specifically in the construction and installation of Aircraft Fuel Storage Systems;

or

Have a minimum of 8 years total construction experience as a Construction CQC and/or Superintendent, with a minimum of 2 years experience as a Construction CQC specifically in the construction and installation of Aircraft Fuel Storage Systems.

c. On-Site Project Superintendent.

The proposed On-Site Project Superintendent shall have a minimum of 5 years construction related experience as a superintendent on industrial construction projects with a minimum of 2 of those 5 years as experience, as a Construction Superintendent, ~~with the construction of the installation of Hydrant Fueling Systems~~ in construction and installation of Aircraft Fuel Storage Systems for completed systems.

Evaluation Method: The more recent, and the greater the extent and relevance, of the team members' qualifications, prior project experience, and active registrations, the higher the rating assigned for this criterion during evaluations. Only one individual for each of the key personnel categories listed above will be evaluated. The overall evaluation will be based on the least qualified individual proposed for each key personnel category listed above. In addition, the proposed personnel will be reviewed to ensure the requirements for the position identified are met. Evaluation ratings may be reduced for those not meeting the requirements identified above for this factor, and for resumes not providing the information requested. Prior experience on military construction projects and projects of this type and size is preferred and will be evaluated more favorably.

4.3.3 Past Performance of the Prime

Submittal Requirements: The Government will utilize performance evaluations contained in the Construction Contract Administration Support System (CCASS) to evaluate this criterion. All performance ratings for the past five (5) years shall be considered. If an offeror does not have past performance available in CCASS or wishes to augment the CCASS system ratings, the offeror may ask customers to submit the Customer Satisfaction Survey form found at the end of this section.

For each project constructed for Private Industry, provide a completed Customer Satisfaction Survey for each applicable project that is currently under construction (after successful start-up) or that was completed within the last five (5) years. No more than five (5) customer satisfaction surveys will be considered for the prime firm for work not listed in the CCASS system. All Customer Satisfaction Surveys must be submitted to the Government from the customer or agency that is providing the information. Further instructions are found on the Customer Satisfaction Survey.

Submit a list of all customers (including current Point of Contact, phone number, and electronic address) who were requested to provide Customer Satisfaction Surveys.

Should offerors want to review the performance evaluation ratings contained in the Corps of Engineers CCASS Database, they may request the information by fax on company letterhead at the following number: (503) 808-4596.

Evaluation Method. The Government will evaluate the relative merits of each offeror's

past performance. The Government reserves the right to consider all aspects of an offeror's performance history but will first evaluate the performance of those projects listed in 4.3.1 and 4.3.2. which pertain to the Prime Contractor. Projects involving the construction of bulk fuel tanks 55,000 BBL or larger size to those in this solicitation that have been in satisfactory operation for two (2) years may be given more consideration. The Government reserves the right to contact the evaluators on previous Government or Private Sector work to verify the offeror's construction experience. In the case of an offeror without a record of past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available, the offeror **may not be evaluated as favorable or unfavorable** on past performance (See FAR 15.305(a)(2)(iv)).

4.3.4 Project Schedule

Submittal Requirements: The contractor shall provide an outline of the plan for construction in the form of milestone scaled (Gantt Chart) summary network diagram and shall graphically indicate sequences proposed to accomplish each milestone work operation and appropriate interdependencies between various milestone events. The chart shall be prepared in different color codes or graphic symbologies to differentiate base and option events. Identify critical elements of construction that could delay the entire project. The chart shall show the starting and completion times of all major events on a linear horizontal time scale beginning with the notice to proceed with the base contract items and indicating calendar days to completion of all options. **The offeror must state the total number of calendar days proposed from receipt of initial notice to proceed through completion of construction of all options.** Offerors should base their schedule on the information provided in the following Sections of the RFP: Section 00800, SC-1 Commencement, Prosecution and Completion of Work. Limit the activities to those critical to timely overall completion of the project. For the purposes of this criterion assume a notice to proceed date of 25 September 2004.

Evaluation Method: Consideration will be given for a schedule that identifies all critical elements that could delay the entire project. A schedule that is complete, reasonable, and realistic for this project may be given more consideration.

4.3.5 Extent of Small Business

Submittal Requirements: No submittal is required for this criterion. The Government will utilize performance evaluations contained in the CCAS System to evaluate this criterion.

Evaluation Method: Firms will be evaluated for the success and extent of their small business participation in their subcontracting with small and disadvantaged business concerns. Firms will be evaluated based on the ratings received for item entitled "Implementation of Subcontracting Plan" on their past performance evaluations retrieved from the CCAS System. Firms without any evaluations in CCASS, or for which this item was not evaluated (i.e., N/A), will be assigned a neutral rating of satisfactory. Firms that receive a rating below satisfactory for this item in one or more CCASS evaluations will receive a rating of marginal for this criterion.

4.3.6 SUMMARY OF TECHNICAL PROPOSAL FORMAT: As a minimum, each copy of the technical proposal should contain the following general format for the volumes specified in the table below. It is preferred that pages be numbered consecutively throughout the technical

proposal. However, giving each page a unique identifier within sections is acceptable (i.e., A-1 through A-5, then B-1 through B-5, etc).

Technical Proposal Format (original and 6 copies required)

- Technical Proposal Cover Letter
- Table of Contents. (List all sections of the technical proposal)
- Relevant Experience of the Prime
- Qualifications of Key Team Members
- Past Performance of the Prime
- Project Schedule

5. PRICE PROPOSAL

5.1 SUMMARY OF PRICE PROPOSAL FORMAT:

Price Proposal Format (original and (1) copy required)

- Standard Form 1442 front and back
- Corporate Certificate (use the certificate for joint venture if applicable)
- Pricing Schedule (all pages)
- Section 00600, Representations and Certifications
- Bank and Bonding Points of Contact
- 20% Bid Bond
- Small and Small Disadvantaged Business Subcontracting Plan (large businesses only)
- Joint Venture Information (if applicable)

NOTE: Price proposal and bonds are DUE AT SAME TIME as technical proposals.

5.2 The price proposal must be signed by an official authorized to bind the organization. Prices must be provided for all line items on the pricing schedule. Note that the Standard Form 1442, Block 13D, states the minimum number of calendar days after the date offers are due for Government acceptance of the offer. All amendments must be acknowledged on Standard Form 1442 BACK by date and number in Block 19 or by telegram.

5.3 Provide the name, point of contact, phone number, and address for bank and bonding company of firm signing the SF 1442.

5.4 **Bid Bonds** must be accompanied by a **Power of Attorney containing an original signature from the surety**, which must be affixed to the Power of Attorney after the Power of Attorney has been generated. Computer generated and signed Powers of Attorney will only be accepted if accompanied by an original certification from a current officer of the surety attesting to its authenticity and continuing validity. Performance and payment bonds have the same requirement.

5.5 Small Business Subcontracting. Large businesses are required to submit a subcontracting plan (See FAR Clause 52.219-9 Alt II, Small Business Subcontracting Plan, Jan 2002) with initial price proposals. Award will not be made under this solicitation without an approved subcontracting plan. See the "Notice to Large Business Firms" located in the front of this solicitation.

5.6 Joint Ventures. No contract may be awarded to a joint venture that is not registered in the Central Contractor Register (CCR). Joint ventures may register in the following way:

(a) The firm that will be the recipient of payments should be registered in the CCR and have a DUNS number. This firm is considered in the CCR to be the "mother firm." If no money is to go to any other firm in the joint venture, the mother firm may make the other firm in the joint venture a "child." This child will be assigned the mother firm's CCR number with an additional four (4) numbers attached. Since the child firm is not receiving any payments, they do not need to get a DUNS number. HOWEVER, in order to cover all possibilities, it might be advisable to have each firm registered in the CCR.

(b) Call the CCR at 1-888-227-2423, choose option "0" to get the mother-child relationship set up. DUN & Bradstreet phone number is 1-800-333-0505.

(c) If the joint venture has a newly created name, then it must have its own DUNS number and register as such in the CCR.

5.6.1 In the cover letter of your proposal, provide the complete names, addresses, and phone and fax numbers of the two firms in the joint venture.

5.6.2 Signature requirements: SF 1442, SOLICITATION, OFFER, AND AWARD (pages 00010-1 and 00010-2), Block 20 requires that the name and title of the person authorized to sign the offer for the joint venture be provided.

5.6.3 Corporate certificate: Ensure that joint-venture portion is completed by both firms.

5.6.4 In the case of a joint venture, the following is required: A contract with joint venturers may involve any combination of individuals, partnerships, or corporations. The contract shall be signed by each participant in the joint venture in the manner prescribed below for each type of participant. When a corporation is participating, the Contracting Officer shall verify that the corporation is authorized to participate in the joint venture.

(a) Individuals. A contract with an individual shall be signed by that individual. A contract with an individual doing business as a firm shall be signed by that individual, and the signature shall be followed by the individual's types, stamped, or printed name and the words "an individual doing business as" [insert name of firm].

(b) Partnerships. A contract with a partnership shall be signed in the partnership name. Before signing for the Government, the Contracting Officer shall obtain a list of all partners and ensure that the individual(s) signing for the partnership have authority to bind the partnership.

(c) Corporations. A contract with a corporation shall be signed in the corporate name, followed by the word “by” and the signature and title of the person authorized to sign. The Contracting Officer shall ensure that the person signing for the corporation has authority to bind the corporation.

5.6.5 In addition to the requirements stated above, and to assure a single point of contact for resolution of contractual matters and payments, the Contracting Officer shall obtain a certificate signed by each participant in the joint venture as follows: In the proposal include the following statement:

“The parties hereto expressly understand and agree as follows:

a. **(name, title, and company)** is the principal representative of the joint venture. As such, all communications regarding the administration of the contract and the performance of the work thereunder may be directed to him or her. In the absence of **(same name, title, and company)**, **(enter name, title, and company of alternate)** is the alternate principal representative of the joint venture.

b. Direction, approvals, required notices, and all other communications from the Government to the joint venture, including transmittal of payments by the Government, shall be directed to **(enter name, title, and company of principal)**, principal representative of the joint venture.”

5.6.6 The bid bond form, Block “Principal” requires that the name and title of the person authorized to sign for the joint venture be included.

5.6.7 After award, the performance and payment bonds, and the insurance certificate(s) provided shall be in the name of the joint venture.

6. MAGNITUDE OF CONSTRUCTION AND SERVICES: The dollar magnitude of the construction portion of this solicitation is between **(\$5 million and \$10 million.)**

7. EVALUATION PROCEDURES

7.1 **TECHNICAL EVALUATION:** Technical proposals will be evaluated by a Technical Evaluation Team (TET) comprised of representatives of the Corps of Engineers and the Using Agency. Pricing data will not be considered during this evaluation. Criteria for the technical evaluation are set forth elsewhere in the solicitation and will be the sole basis for determining the technical merit of proposals. The TET shall utilize the relative importance definitions and technical merit ratings described earlier in this section of the solicitation to perform their technical evaluation. To be considered for award, proposals must conform to the terms and conditions contained in the RFP. No proposal will be accepted that does not address all criteria specified in this solicitation or which includes stipulations or qualifying conditions unacceptable to the Government.

7.2 PRICE EVALUATION: Price is of secondary importance to the technical criteria. Pricing will be independently evaluated to determine reasonableness and to aid in the determination of the firm's understanding of the work and ability to perform the contract. Financial capacity and bonding ability will be verified.

8. SELECTION AND AWARD: Subject to provisions contained herein, award of a firm fixed-price contract shall be made to a single firm. The Government will select the best-value offer based on technical merit and price.

8.3.1 BEST VALUE ANALYSIS. The Government is more concerned with obtaining superior technical features than with making award at the lowest overall cost to the Government. In determining the best value to the Government, the tradeoff process of evaluation will be utilized. The tradeoff process permits tradeoffs among price and technical factors, and allows the Government to consider award to other than the lowest priced offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror. You are advised that greater consideration will be given to the evaluation of technical proposals rather than price. It is pointed out, however, that should technical competence between offerors be considered approximately the same, the cost or price could become more important in determining award.

8.3.2 SELECTION AND AWARD WITHOUT DISCUSSIONS: It is the intent of the Government to make award based upon initial offers, without further discussions or additional information Therefore, initial proposals should be submitted based on the most favorable terms from a price and technical standpoint. Do not assume there will be an opportunity to clarify, discuss or revise proposals. If award is not made on initial offers, a competitive range will be established and discussions conducted as described below.

8.3.3 COMPETITIVE RANGE: If it is not in the Government's best interest to make award on initial offers, the Contracting Officer will establish a competitive range of one or more offers and conduct discussions with those firms. When determining the competitive range, the Contracting Officer will consider the technical ratings and prices offered.

8.3.4 DISCUSSIONS: Discussions are usually conducted in writing, but may also be by telephone or in person. Discussions are tailored to each offeror's proposal and are only conducted with offeror(s) in the competitive range. The primary objective of discussions is to maximize the Government's ability to obtain the best value, based on the requirement and the evaluation criteria set forth in this solicitation. If a firm's proposal is eliminated or otherwise removed from consideration for award during discussions, no further revisions to that firm's proposal will be accepted or considered. Discussions will culminate in a request for Final Proposal Revision the date and time of which will be common to all remaining firms.

8.3.5 AFTER DISCUSSIONS: Revisions to the proposals submitted during discussions, if any, will be evaluated by the TET and, if warranted, an adjustment made to the rating previously assigned. The Contracting Officer will then perform a best value analysis based on the final prices and technical proposals. Selection will be made on the basis of the responsive,

responsible firm whose proposal conforms to the RFP and represents the most advantageous offer to the Government, subject to availability of funds.

8.3.6 DEBRIEFINGS: Upon written request, unsuccessful firms will be debriefed and furnished the basis for the selection decision and contract award in accordance with FAR 15.505 and FAR 15.506.

8.3.7 PROPOSAL EXPENSES AND PRECONTRACT COSTS: This solicitation does not commit the Government to pay costs incurred in preparation and submission of initial and subsequent proposals or for other costs incurred prior to award of a formal contract.

8.3.8 RELEASE OF INFORMATION: After receipt of proposals and until contract award, source selection information will not be furnished to any firm.

END OF SECTION 00110

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY (PAGE 1 OF 2)

W912DW-04-R-0015, Construct JP-8 Bulk Fuel Tanks McChord Air Force Base, Washington

SECTION 1 -- TO BE COMPLETED BY OFFEROR AND PROVIDED TO REFERENCE

Name of Firm Being Evaluated: _____

Project Title & Location: _____

Project Dollar Value: _____

Year Completed: _____ **Project Manager:** _____

SECTION 2 -- TO BE COMPLETED BY THE CUSTOMER REFERENCE AND MAILED, EMAILED, FAXED OR HAND-DELIVERED DIRECTLY TO:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District
Attn: CENWS-CT-CB-CU Attn: Kevin Mulvihill
P.O. Box 3755
Seattle, WA 98124-3755

FAX: (206) 764-6817
Street Address:
4735 E. Marginal Way S.
Seattle WA 98134-2329

Forms submitted by other than the customer (i.e., by the offeror), may not be considered.

OVERVIEW: The firm shown above has selected you as a customer reference to provide information on the firm's past performance. Your input is important to this firm and responses are required no later than the time and date proposals are due for inclusion in our evaluation.

Name of Individual completing survey: _____

Firm Name: _____ **Phone Number:** _____

Relationship to this Project: _____

The chart below depicts ratings to be used to evaluate this contractor's performance.

O	AA	S	M	U
Outstanding	Above Average	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
Performance met all contract requirements and exceeded expectations. Problems, if any, were negligible, and were resolved in a timely and highly effective manner.	Performance met all contract requirements and exceeded some. There were a few minor problems which the contractor resolved in a timely, effective manner.	Performance met contract requirements. There were some minor problems, and corrective actions taken by the contractor were satisfactory.	Performance did not meet some contractual requirements. There were problems, some of a serious nature, for which corrective action was only marginally effective.	Performance did not meet contractual requirements. There were serious problems, and the contractor's corrective actions were ineffective.

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY (PAGE 2 OF 2)**W912DW-04-R-0015, Construct JP-8 Bulk Fuel Tanks McChord Air Force Base, Washington**

In the following blocks, please indicate your overall level of satisfaction with the work performed by the firm shown in Section 1. Reference the chart outlined on page 1 of this survey.

For any marginal or unsatisfactory rating, please provide explanatory narratives in the remarks block. These narratives need not be lengthy; just detailed. If a question is not applicable, circle N/A. If more space is needed, then go to the end of the questionnaire or attach additional pages. Be sure to identify your continued narration with the respect line number, your name and project name.

	Quality of Work	Circle the appropriate rating using the chart on page 1
A	Quality of Service	O AA S M U N/A
B	Quality Control	O AA S M U N/A
C.	Adequacy of Submittals/Reporting	O AA S M U N/A
D.	Identification/correction of deficient work in a timely manner	O AA S M U N/A
E.	Displayed flexibility in responding to your needs	O AA S M U N/A
F.	Organizational structure/functional relationships of the team including subcontractors	O AA S M U N/A
G.	Response time to your requirements	O AA S M U N/A
H.	Extent of participation of small business concerns as subcontractors under this contract	O AA S M U N/A
I.	Overall rating for this project	O AA S M U N/A
J	How well did the contractor & subcontractors adhere to schedule?	O AA S M U N/A
K.	Would you select this contractor again for future projects?	Yes or No (circle one)

REMARKS: (Discuss strengths and weaknesses of the firm)

Thank you for completing this form. Your assistance in providing this information is appreciated.

INDEX OF DRAWINGS**JP-8 BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS
McCHORD AFB, WA****PN: PQWY033000****Drawing No. AF 411-21-01**

SHEET NUMBER	PLATE NUMBER	TITLE	REVISION NUMBER	DATE
<u>GENERAL</u>				
1	D1.01	Cover Sheet and Index		May 2004
2	D3.02	Legend		May 2004
3	D4.03	Electrical Legend Symbols		May 2004
4	D5.04	Abbreviations		May 2004
5	C1.01	Location Plan And Vicinity Map		May 2004
6	C2.01	Removal Plan		May 2004
7	C3.01	Site Plan		May 2004
8	C4.01	Grading And Drainage Plan (Basic Bid)		May 2004
9	C4.02	Grading and Drainage Plan (Basic Bid Plus Tank Removal)		May 2004
10	C4.03	Grading And Drainage Plan – Pump House Area		May 2004
11	C5.01	Grading Sections		May 2004
12	C5.02	Grading Sections		May 2004
13	C8.01	Joint Layout Plan Tank # 1 and Existing Dikes		May 2004
14	C8.02	Joint Layout Plan Tank # 2		May 2004
15	C9.01	Containment Basin Details		May 2004

SHEET NUMBER	PLATE NUMBER	TITLE	REVISION NUMBER	DATE
16	C9.02	FE7-TR-72 Chain-Link Security Fence Details		May 2004
17	C9.03	Sidewalk Details		May 2004
18	C9.04	Miscellaneous Details		May 2004
19	C9.05	Existing Dike Repair Details		May 2004
20	C9.06	Sign Details		May 2004
21	G1.01	Exploration Logs Plate GT-1		May 2004
22	G1.02	Exploration Logs Plate GT-2		May 2004
23	G1.03	Exploration Logs Plate GT-3		May 2004
24	G1.04	Locations Of Explorations Plate GT-4		May 2004
25	U1.00	Stationing Plan Waste Drain & Forcemain		May 2004
26	U1.01	Utility Plan		May 2004
27	U3.01	Waste Drain, Forcemain & Sanitary Sewer Profile		May 2004
28	U4.01	Forcemain Details		May 2004
29	U4.02	Lift Station Details		May 2004
30	U4.03	Lift Station Control Diagram Details		May 2004
31	U4.04	Water Line Details		May 2004
32	MU.01	Mechanical Site Plan	A	May 2004
33	MU.02	Mechanical Piping Profiles		May 2004
34	MD.01	Details	A	May 2004
35	MD.02	Header And Manifold Details		May 2004
36	EU.01	Electrical Site Plan		May 2004
37	EU.02	Cathodic Protection Site Plan		May 2004

SHEET NUMBER	PLATE NUMBER	TITLE	REVISION NUMBER	DATE
38	EC.01	Above Ground Storage Tank Cathodic Prot. Details		May 2004
39	EC.02	Cathodic Protection Details		May 2004
40	EC.03	Cathodic Protection Details		May 2004
41	EC.04	Fuel Line Cathodic Protection Details		May 2004
42	ED.01	Misc. Details		May 2004
43	ED.02	Tank Details		May 2004
44	ED.03	Misc. Details		May 2004
<u>ARCHITECTURAL</u>				
45	AC.01	Floor Plan, Roof Plan, And Room Schedule		May 2004
46	A3.01	Roof Details		May 2004
47	A4.01	Building Elevations		May 2004
48	A5.01	Wall Sections		May 2004
49	A9.01	Details		May 2004
50	A9.02	Miscellaneous Details		May 2004
<u>STRUCTURAL</u>				
51	S1.01	Design And General Notes		May 2004
52	S2.01	Foundation And Slab Plan		May 2004
53	S2.02	Pipe Support Plan		May 2004
54	S3.01	Roof Framing Plan And Details		May 2004
55	S3.02	Control Room Roof Plan, Sections, And Details		May 2004
56	S4.01	Foundation Details		May 2004
57	S4.02	Pipe Support Sections And Details		May 2004
58	S4.03	Pipe Support Sections And Details		May 2004

SHEET NUMBER	PLATE NUMBER	TITLE	REVISION NUMBER	DATE
59	S5.01	Roof Framing Details		May 2004
60	S5.02	Roof Framing Details		May 2004
61	S8.01	Masonry Details		May 2004
62	S8.02	Masonry Details		May 2004
63	S9.01	Dike Wall Plan		May 2004
64	S9.02	Dike Wall Details		May 2004
65	S9.03	Dike Stair Details		May 2004
<u>MECHANICAL</u>				
66	M1.01	Piping Plan		May 2004
67	M2.01	Mechanical Plan		May 2004
68	M3.01	Flow Diagram And Valve Operation	A	May 2004
69	M3.02	Piping Sections		May 2004
70	M3.03	Details		May 2004
71	M3.04	Details		May 2004
<u>ELECTRICAL</u>				
72	E1.01	Lighting Plan		May 2004
73	E1.02	Lighting Fixture Details		May 2004
74	E2.01	Power Plan		May 2004
75	E3.01	Fire Alarm And Telephone Plan		May 2004
76	E3.02	Fire Alarm Details		May 2004
77	E4.01	Grounding And Lightning Protection Plans		May 2004
78	E5.01	One Line Diagram		May 2004
79	E5.02	Wiring Diagrams		May 2004
80	E6.01	Schedule Sheet		May 2004

SHEET NUMBER	PLATE NUMBER	TITLE	REVISION NUMBER	DATE
81	E7.01	Electrical Details		May 2004
82	E8.01	Control Panel		May 2004
		<u>TANKS</u>		
83	T1.01	Plan And Pan Layout		May 2004
84	T1.02	Roof Plan, Sections, And Details		May 2004
85	T1.03	Sections And Details		May 2004
86	T1.04	Tank Foundations		May 2004
87	T1.05	Tank Stairs		May 2004
88	T1.06	Appurtenances And Fittings		May 2004
89	T1.07	Appurtenances And Fittings		May 2004
90	T1.08	Appurtenances And Fittings		May 2004
91	T1.09	Floating Pan Details		May 2004
92	T1.10	Water Draw-Off And Miscellaneous Details		May 2004

REVISIONS TO DRAWINGS

Plate C2.01, Sheet 6

a. Refer to notation on plan for Tank A-1 removal and change to read “REMOVE TANK A-1, CONCRETE FOUNDATION AND BASIN FLOOR AND CONCRETE DIKE WALLS, INLET AND VALVE BOX (TANK REMOVAL OPTION). SEE NOTE 5.”

b. Refer to Note 1. Change to read “REMOVE AND RELOCATE ONE MONITORING WELL CURRENTLY LOCATED WITHIN THE CONSTRUCTION AREA. COORDINATE WITH BASE ENVIRONMENTAL OFFICE FOR NEW LOCATION. SEE SPECIFICATION SECTION 02522A.”

Plate U4.02, Sheet 29

a. Refer to LIFT STATION DETAIL. Change valve in forcemain noted as “Plug Valve” to read “Gate Valve.”

STANDARD DETAILS BOUND IN THE SPECIFICATIONS

DRAWING NUMBER	SHEET NUMBER	TITLE	DATE
-------------------	-----------------	-------	------

SECTION 01501 - CONSTRUCTION FACILITIES AND TEMPORARY CONTROLS

1	Hard Hat Sign	10SEP90
1 & 2	U.S. Air Force Project Construction Sign	84JUN20

SITE VISIT INFORMATION SHEET

A brief Second Site Visit has been scheduled Wed July 21 at 10:00AM. Contractor(s) wanting to attend the scheduled site visit need to contact Bob Piranio at email (Robert.W.Piranio@nws02.usace.army.mil) or at telephone 253-966-4374 **and provide the following information by Monday 19 July 2004:** Full name of person, date of birth, driver's license number including state of issuance of personnel wanting to attend and the solicitation number of the site visit.

Contractors are to Meet at the JP-8 Fuel Tank Site were they shall be met and escorted unto the site. Directions are:

On the day of the site visit the Contractor will need to provide the following at the main gate in order to obtain a temporary pass: Valid driver's license number, current vehicle registration, valid vehicle insurance certificate (or Rental Car agreement) and give your destination on base (i.e. site visit for the Corps of Engineers JP-8 Bulk Fuel Tanks project at McChord). After obtaining a temporary pass, proceed on Main Street to stop light turn left on A Street, proceed on A Street and Turn left on 1st Street, the project site is straight ahead 0.2 miles.

No questions are to be asked, and no questions will be answered, at the site visit. All questions are to be submitted to Dr. Checks through the Pronet System.