

US Army Corps of Engineers. Seattle District

#### DRAFT PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, SEATTLE DISTRICT: THE WASHINGTON STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER: AND THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION FOR THE LEVEE **REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM UNDER PUBLIC LAW 84-99**

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Planning, Environmental and Cultural **Resources Branch** Seattle, WA 98134

Public Notice Date: January 7, 2022 Expiration Date: March 11, 2022 Reference: PMP-21-07 4735 East Marginal Way South Bldg 1202 Name: PL 84-99 Programmatic Agreement

Interested parties are hereby notified that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District (Corps) has prepared, pursuant to the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), a Draft Programmatic Agreement (PA) for the Public Law (PL) 84-99 Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program in Washington State. The PA is intended to streamline the Section 106 process for PL 84-99 undertakings. The purpose of this notice is to solicit comments from interested persons, groups, and agencies on the Corps' proposed action under NHPA.

#### **COMMENT SUBMISSION**

Submit comments to this office, Attn: Planning, Environmental, and Cultural Resources Branch, 4735 East Marginal Way South Bldg 1202, Seattle, WA, 98134, no later than 30 days after the posting of this notice to ensure consideration.

In addition to sending comments via mail to the above address, comments may be e-mailed to Jennifer.k.bertolani@usace.army.mil. This Notice and the Draft PA can be found online at the link below.

Project Name: Programmatic Agreement Among the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District; the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer; and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 http://www.nws.usace.army.mil/Missions/Environmental/Environmental-Documents/

Posting Date: January 7, 2022 End of Comment Period: March 11, 2022

AMONG THE           U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, SEATTLE DISTRICT, THE           WASHINGTON STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER, ADD           THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION FOR           THE LEVEE REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM UNDER PUBLIC LAW 84-99           WHEREAS, Congress passed Public Law (PL) 84-99 Emergency Flood Protection Act of 1965, as amended, authorizing preparedness, response, and recovery from natural disasters; and           WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Seattle District (USACE) administers the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL 84-99 Program), within their area of responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and safety; and           WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and           WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- Federal entities in Washington State and therefore applicability of this program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 100 of the National Plistoric Properties, "codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and.           WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and           WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99	1	PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT
4         THE           5         WASHINGTON STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER, AND           6         AND           7         THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION FOR           9         THE LEVEE REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM UNDER PUBLIC LAW 84-99           10         WHEREAS, Congress passed Public Law (PL) 84-99 Emergency Flood Protection Act of 1965, as amended, authorizing preparedness, response, and recovery from natural disasters; and           11         WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District (USACE) administers the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL 84-99 Program, Within their area of responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and safety; and           12         WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program, provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Nisk Management Projects and Sponsors); and           25         WHEREAS, he USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects on the L 84- 99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA) following its execution; and           36         WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and           37         WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration o	2	AMONG THE
5       WASHINGTON STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER, AND         6       AND         7       THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION FOR         8       FOR         9       THE LEVEE REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM UNDER PUBLIC LAW 84-99         11       WHEREAS, Congress passed Public Law (PL) 84-99 Emergency Flood Protection Act of 1965, as amended, authorizing preparedness, response, and recovery from natural disasters; and         11       WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District (USACE) administers the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL) 84-99 Program), within their area of responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and safety; and         10       WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Challam, Grant, Grays Harbor, King, Kittina, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokanc, Thurston, Whalcom, and Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and         14       WHEREAS, the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84- 99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA) following its execution; and         13       WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and         14       WHEREAS, the USACE PL 99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events	3	U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, SEATTLE DISTRICT,
6       AND         7       THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION         9       THE LEVEE REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM UNDER PUBLIC LAW 84-99         10       WHEREAS, Congress passed Public Law (PL) 84-99 Emergency Flood Protection Act of 1965, as amended, authorizing preparedness, response, and recovery from natural disasters; and         11       WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Scattle District (USACE) administers the Levee         12       Rchabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL 84-99 Program), within their area of responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and safety; and         12       WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays         13       Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and         14       WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management projects to the PL 84-99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA) following its execution; and         15       WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and         16       WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-Federal entities in Washington State in all 30 counties; and         17       WHEREAS, th		
7       THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION FOR         8       FOR         9       THE LEVEE REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM UNDER PUBLIC LAW 84-99         10       WHEREAS, Congress passed Public Law (PL) 84-99 Emergency Flood Protection Act of 1965, as amended, authorizing preparedness, response, and recovery from natural disasters; and         11       WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District (USACE) administers the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL 84-99 Program), within their area of responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and safety; and         12       WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and         24       WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance of flood risk management projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84- 99 Program within Washington State in all 39 counties; and         25       WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and         26       WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section		
<ul> <li>FOR</li> <li>THE LEVEE REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM UNDER PUBLIC LAW 84-99</li> <li>THE LEVEE REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM UNDER PUBLIC LAW 84-99</li> <li>WHEREAS, Congress passed Public Law (PL) 84-99 Emergency Flood Protection Act of 1965, as</li> <li>amended, authorizing preparedness, response, and recovery from natural disasters; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District (USACE) administers the Levee</li> <li>Rehabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL 84-99 Program), within their area of</li> <li>responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and</li> <li>safety; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood</li> <li>risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays</li> <li>Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and</li> <li>Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management</li> <li>projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84-99</li> <li>Pogram within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA)</li> <li>following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-</li> <li>Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events kings</li> <li>that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review</li> <li>under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C.</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>THE LEVEE REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM UNDER PUBLIC LAW 84-99</li> <li>WHEREAS, Congress passed Public Law (PL) 84-99 Emergency Flood Protection Act of 1965, as amended, authorizing preparedness, response, and recovery from natural disasters; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District (USACE) administers the Levee</li> <li>Rehabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL 84-99 Program), within their area of responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and safety; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagi, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84-99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA) following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE precognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306(180) and its implementing regulations; "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implem</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, Congress passed Public Law (PL) 84-99 Emergency Flood Protection Act of 1965, as amended, authorizing preparedness, response, and recovery from natural disasters; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District (USACE) administers the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL 84-99 Program), within their area of responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and safety; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pieree, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management (PA) following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- federial entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- federial entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE bas determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectivel</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, Congress passed Public Law (PL) 84-99 Emergency Flood Protection Act of 1965, as amended, authorizing preparedness, response, and recovery from natural disasters; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District (USACE) administers the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL 84-99 Program), within their area of responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and safety; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and I61 eligible flood risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays Harbor, King, Kittias, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagi, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84-99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA) following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events kings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800), which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and responsibilites, exclude certain undertakings from Sectio</li></ul>		THE LEVEE REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM UNDER PUBLIC LAW 84-99
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, Congress passed Public Law (PL) 84-99 Emergency Flood Protection Act of 1965, as amended, authorizing preparedness, response, and recovery from natural disasters; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District (USACE) administers the Levee</li> <li>Rehabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL 84-99 Program), within their area of</li> <li>responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and</li> <li>safety; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood</li> <li>risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays</li> <li>Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and</li> <li>Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management</li> <li>projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA)</li> <li>following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. §</li> <li>306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties, codified in 36 C.F.R. §</li> <li>800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimi</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>amended, authorizing preparedness, response, and recovery from natural disasters; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District (USACE) administers the Levee</li> <li>Rehabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL 84-99 Program), within their area of</li> <li>responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and</li> <li>safety; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood</li> <li>risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays</li> <li>Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and</li> <li>Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management</li> <li>projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84-99</li> <li>Pogram within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA)</li> <li>following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-</li> <li>Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings</li> <li>that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review</li> <li>under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. §</li> <li>800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and</li> <li>efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program</li> <li>specific programmat</li></ul>		WHEREAS Commence I Dublis Long (DL) 94.00 Encourses Election Act of 1065 or
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District (USACE) administers the Levee</li> <li>Rehabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL 84-99 Program), within their area of</li> <li>responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and</li> <li>safety; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood</li> <li>risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays</li> <li>Harbor, King, Kittias, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and</li> <li>Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management</li> <li>projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84-99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA)</li> <li>following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-</li> <li>Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306(108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800, 14(b)(2), to stipulate</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District (USACE) administers the Levee</li> <li>Rehabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL 84-99 Program), within their area of</li> <li>responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and</li> <li>safety; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood</li> <li>risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays</li> <li>Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and</li> <li>Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management</li> <li>projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84-99</li> <li>99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA)</li> <li>following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-</li> <li>Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings</li> <li>that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review</li> <li>under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. §</li> <li>306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. §</li> <li>800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and</li> <li>efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program</li> <l< th=""><td></td><td>amended, authorizing preparedness, response, and recovery from natural disasters, and</td></l<></ul>		amended, authorizing preparedness, response, and recovery from natural disasters, and
<ul> <li>Rehabilitation and Inspection Program under PL 84-99 (PL 84-99 Program), within their area of</li> <li>responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and</li> <li>safety; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood</li> <li>risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays</li> <li>Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and</li> <li>Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management</li> <li>projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84-99</li> <li>99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA)</li> <li>following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-</li> <li>Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and.</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment and re</li></ul>		WHEREAS the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Seattle District (USACE) administers the Levee
<ul> <li>responsibility (AOR) and provides emergency assistance during flood events to protect human life and safety; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84-99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA) following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306(108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and resolution, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>safety; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84- 99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA) following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 review, establish protocols for consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99 Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood</li> <li>risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays</li> <li>Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and</li> <li>Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management</li> <li>projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84-</li> <li>99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA)</li> <li>following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-</li> <li>Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings</li> <li>that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review</li> <li>under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. §</li> <li>800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and</li> <li>efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program</li> <li>specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and</li> <li>responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and</li> <li>efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program</li> <li>specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and</li> <li>responsibil</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84- 99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA) following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment and resolution of adverse effects; and</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84- 99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA) following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99 Program and when the USACE is the o</li></ul>		WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides assistance to 54 sponsors and 161 eligible flood
<ul> <li>Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management projects to the PL 84-</li> <li>99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA)</li> <li>following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-</li> <li>Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings</li> <li>that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review</li> <li>under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99 Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>	21	risk management projects in Washington State in the following counties: Chelan, Clallam, Grant, Grays
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84-99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA) following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and resolution, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99 Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>	22	Harbor, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Okanogan, Pierce, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, sponsors are responsible for the ongoing repair and maintenance of flood risk management projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84-99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA) following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99 Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>	23	Yakima, (Appendix A, Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors); and
<ul> <li>projects and the USACE, may add or remove sponsors and flood risk management projects to the PL 84-99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA) following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99 Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>99 Program within Washington State and therefore applicability of this programmatic agreement (PA) following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99 Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>following its execution; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-</li> <li>Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings</li> <li>that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review</li> <li>under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. §</li> <li>306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. §</li> <li>800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and</li> <li>efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program</li> <li>specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and</li> <li>responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for</li> <li>consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment</li> <li>and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non- Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99 Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE PL 84-99 Program provides emergency assistance during flood events to non-</li> <li>Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings</li> <li>that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review</li> <li>under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. §</li> <li>306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. §</li> <li>800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and</li> <li>efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program</li> <li>specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and</li> <li>responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for</li> <li>consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment</li> <li>and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		following its execution; and
<ul> <li>Federal entities in Washington State in all 39 counties; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings</li> <li>that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review</li> <li>under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. §</li> <li>306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. §</li> <li>800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and</li> <li>efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program</li> <li>specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and</li> <li>responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for</li> <li>consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment</li> <li>and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		WHEDEAS the USACE DI 84.00 Program provides amarganey assistance during flood events to non
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99 Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings</li> <li>that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review</li> <li>under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. §</li> <li>306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. §</li> <li>800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and</li> <li>efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program</li> <li>specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and</li> <li>responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for</li> <li>consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment</li> <li>and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		rederar entities in washington state in an 39 counties, and
<ul> <li>that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 C.F.R. § 800) which are therefore subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. § 800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99 Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		WHEREAS the USACE recognizes the administration of the PL 84-99 Program entails undertakings
<ul> <li>under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended (54 U.S.C. §</li> <li>306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. §</li> <li>800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and</li> <li>efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program</li> <li>specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and</li> <li>responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for</li> <li>consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment</li> <li>and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>306108) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," codified in 36 C.F.R. §</li> <li>800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and</li> <li>efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program</li> <li>specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and</li> <li>responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for</li> <li>consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment</li> <li>and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>800, as amended; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and</li> <li>efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program</li> <li>specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and</li> <li>responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for</li> <li>consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment</li> <li>and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE has determined that its Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and</li> <li>efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program</li> <li>specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and</li> <li>responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for</li> <li>consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment</li> <li>and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>efficiently implemented and delays to the granting of USACE permissions minimized if a program</li> <li>specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and</li> <li>responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for</li> <li>consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment</li> <li>and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>	38	
<ul> <li>specific programmatic approach is used, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2), to stipulate roles and</li> <li>responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for</li> <li>consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment</li> <li>and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>	39	
<ul> <li>responsibilities, exclude certain undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for</li> <li>consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment</li> <li>and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>	40	
<ul> <li>43 consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment</li> <li>44 and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>45</li> <li>46 WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>47 pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>48</li> <li>49 WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>50 Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>and resolution of adverse effects; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, the USACE consulted with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</li> <li>pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		and resolution of adverse effects; and
<ul> <li>47 pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2); and</li> <li>48</li> <li>49 WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>50 Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		WHEDEAS the USACE computed with the Weshington State Historic Preservation Officer (SUDO)
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>WHEREAS, this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-99</li> <li>Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead</li> </ul>		pursuant to 50 C.F.K. $\S$ 800.14(0)(2), and
50 Program and when the USACE is the only Federal agency involved or has been designated the lead		WHEREAS this PA applies only to undertakings related to the USACE's administration of the PL 84-00

Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 1 of 37

WHEREAS, the USACE has invited the, Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, 53 54 Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation, Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, 55 Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Cowlitz Indian Tribe, Hoh Indian Tribe, Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe of Washington, Kalispel Indian Community of the Kalispel Reservation, Lower Elwha 56 Tribal Community, Lummi Tribe of the Lummi Reservation, Makah Indian Tribe of the Makah Indian 57 Reservation, Muckleshoot Indian Tribe of the Muckleshoot Reservation, Nisqually Indian Tribe of the 58 59 Nisqually Reservation, Nooksack Indian Tribe of Washington, Port Gamble Indian Community of the 60 Port Gamble Reservation, Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation, Quileute Tribe of the Quileute Reservation, Quinault Tribe of the Quinault Reservation, Samish Indian Tribe, Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe 61 of Washington, Shoalwater Bay Tribe of the Shoalwater Bay Indian Reservation, Skokomish Indian Tribe 62 63 of the Skokomish Indian Reservation, Snoqualmie Tribe, Spokane Tribe of the Spokane Reservation, Squaxin Island Tribe of the Squaxin Island Reservation, Stillaguamish Tribe of Indians of Washington, 64 Suquamish Indian Tribe of the Port Madison Reservation, Swinomish Indian Tribal Community, Tulalip 65 Tribes of the Tulalip Reservation, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe of Washington and the Skagit River 66 Cooperative (collectively the Tribes), City of Aberdeen, City of Ellensburg, City of Hoquiam, King 67 68 County Historic Preservation Program, Pierce County, Snohomish County, City of Spokane, City of Yakima: and historic societies Chehalis Historical Society, Chelan Historical Society, Clallam Historical 69 Society, Grant County Historical Society, Grant County Historical Museum, Kittitas County Historical 70 71 Museum, Lewis County Historical Museum, Snohomish County Historical Society, Tacoma Historical 72 Museum, Tukwila Historical Museum, Whatcom County Historical Museum to be Consulting Parties, participate in the development of this PA, and to sign their concurrence with this PA; and 73 74 75 WHEREAS, the following Tribes, Local and Certified Governments have participated in the development of the PA and include the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Cowlitz Indian 76 77 Tribe, Hoh Indian Tribe, Quinault Tribe of the Quinault Reservation, Samish Indian Nation, Squaxin Island Tribe of the Squaxin Island Reservation, Stillaguamish Tribe of Indians of Washington, Swinomish 78 79 Indian Tribal Community, City of Aberdeen, City of Ellensburg, and the City of Yakima; and 80 81 WHEREAS, for the review of specific Undertakings under this PA, USACE may invite other agencies, 82 organizations, and individuals to participate as Consulting Parties; and 83 84 WHEREAS, the terms of this PA shall not apply to Undertakings on or affecting Tribal lands without prior execution of the PA by the affected Tribe(s); and 85 86 87 WHEREAS, the USACE has provided the public with an opportunity to comment on this PA via the 88 USACE public website; and 89 NOW, THEREFORE, the USACE, SHPO, and ACHP agree that future undertakings affecting historic 90 91 properties shall be administered in accordance with the following stipulations in order to take into account the effects of undertakings by the PL 84-99 Program. 92 93 **STIPULATIONS** 94 95 96 To the extent of its legal authority, and in coordination with other Signatories, the USACE shall ensure that

- 96 To the extent of its legal authority, and in coordination withouter signatories, the OSACE shall ensure that 97 the following measures are implemented and shall not authorize an individual PL 84-99 undertaking until 98 Section 106 review is completed pursuant to this DA:
- 98 Section 106 review is completed pursuant to this PA:

# 99 I. APPLICABILITY OF THIS PA

Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 2 of 37

- A. This PA applies to undertakings in the USACE Seattle District's AOR resulting from authority
   under the PL 84-99 Program within Washington State. Potential effects to historic properties by
   the PL 84-99 Program are covered by this PA. This includes historic properties on public as well
   as private lands where the USACE provides federal funding and support.
- B. Repair and rehabilitation projects that constitute undertakings within the PL 84-99 Program
  include, but are not limited to: riprap armoring placement, culvert replacement, installation of toe
  drains, replacement of launchable toes, replacement of displaced levee fill, mitigation plantings,
  placing sandbag/supersack/hesco walls, sandbag ring dikes, earth fill capping, placement of
  visqueen on levee slope to prevent overtopping erosion, and jetty rock repair or construction for
  coastal rehabilitation (see Appendix B(a) and (b) for definitions of each repair and rehabilitation
  activity and other key terms); and
- C. The USACE shall determine an area of potential effects (APE) on an individual case by case
   basis for each PL 84-99 repair or rehabilitation project. The APE shall include the following, if
   applicable: the vertical and horizontal depth of disturbance, staging, access, and environmental
   mitigation areas, and audio and visual intrusions. The scope for PL 84-99 repair and rehabilitation
   projects is limited to the lands and real property required for the repair and rehabilitation projects
   and those adjacent areas that are directly or indirectly affected.
- 117 D. The USACE shall maintain professional cultural resources staffing consistent with United States Office of Personnel Management (OPM) guidance and Section 112 of the National Historic 118 119 Preservation Act. The USACE shall ensure that cultural resources management recommendations under the PA are performed by the USACE Cultural Resources Specialist (CRS) at a GS-9 or 120 higher who meet the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI) Professional Qualification Standards or the 121 122 OPM qualifications for cultural resources professionals in the following series: Archaeologist GS-0193 series, Anthropologist GS-0190 series, or Historian GS-0170 series. Staff at or below 123 the GS-7 level are considered to be performing duties in a developmental or technician capacity. 124 The USACE CRS shall oversee and review any work conducted by GS-7 or lower graded staff 125 when the USACE implements any portion of the PA. All Contractors completing cultural 126 127 resources work for the USACE shall meet the SOI Professional Qualification Standards.

# 128 II. IDENTIFICATION, EVALUATION, AND RESOLUTION OF ADVERSE 129 EFFECT

For every applicable undertaking reviewed under this PA, the USACE shall make a reasonable and good 130 131 faith effort to identify historic properties that may be affected by an undertaking, as described in 36 CFR § 800.4 (b)(1). The USACE shall utilize an expedited process to meet their Section 106 responsibilities. 132 133 The USACE CRS shall complete the following step-by-step procedures to identify, evaluate, and resolve 134 adverse effects when completing a Section 106 review under the PL 84-99 Program. Field identification 135 and evaluation will be limited to the APE for which the USACE has legal access. If budget allows and information is available to evaluate linear resources extending outside of the APE, the USACE CRS shall 136 137 make a determination of eligibility for the entire resource.

- 138A. Establish the Undertaking (36 CFR § 800.3)
- i. When a project is received, the USACE CRS shall determine if the project is an undertaking as defined at 36 CFR § 800.16(y).
- 141 1. If the project is an undertaking, the USACE CRS shall proceed to Stipulation II.B.
- 142
  143
  2. If the USACE CRS determines the project is not an undertaking, then USACE has fulfilled its Section 106 responsibility and may proceed with the activity.
- B. Identification of Historic Properties (36 CFR § 800.4)

145	i.	The USACE CRS shall determine the undertaking's APE per Stipulation I.C.
146 147	ii.	The USACE CRS shall determine if the undertaking meets an excluded activity as specified in Appendix C, Repair and Rehabilitation Activities Excluded from Further Review.
148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155		1. If the undertaking is excluded from further review, the USACE CRS shall prepare a memorandum for record (MFR). The MFR shall describe at a minimum: project description, specific action excluded from further review, identify any avoidance/protective measures taken, results of literature review, map illustrating location and total work area of the activity, and reviewer and date reviewed. The USACE CRS shall file the MFR with the district office and report on the use of Appendix C in the annual report as provided in Appendix D(a). Once filed, the USACE has satisfied its Section 106 responsibility and may proceed with the undertaking.
156 157 158	iii.	If the undertaking is not an activity excluded from further review, the USACE CRS shall review existing information on historic properties and determine if a field inventory is needed. The USACE CRS shall:
159 160 161		1. Seek information from Indian Tribes likely to have knowledge of or concerns with historic properties, particularly historic properties of ceremonial, spiritual, religious, or cultural significance to Indian Tribes, located within the APE; and
162		2. Determine if the APE or portion of the APE was previously inventoried.
163 164 165 166		a. If the APE was previously inventoried in the last 10-years and the methodology meets the level of effort required for the USACE CRS to complete their Section 106 review of the undertaking, the USACE CRS may elect to adopt the previous inventory and proceed to Stipulation II.C, with the following provisions:
167 168 169		i. Built environment structures or other above ground resources which were not 50 years old at the time of the previous survey, but which are now 50 years or older would be assessed for eligibility.
170 171 172 173 174 175		ii. If previously recorded cultural resources, determined eligible or ineligible for listing on the National Register exist within the APE, the USACE CRS shall review records to determine if new information is available that would alter the previous determination. If new information is identified, the USACE shall apply the National Register criteria, in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.4 (c)(1).
176 177 178 179 180 181 182		<ul> <li>b. Where field inventory is required or deemed appropriate, the USACE CRS shall conduct project-specific surveys or other historic property identification efforts in accordance with appropriate professional standards, including the Secretary of Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Federal Agency Historic Preservation Programs Pursuant to the National Historic Preservation Act (48 FR 44716) and specific guidance provided in Appendix E, USACE Survey Methodology and Standards. Before proceeding to Stipulation II.C, the CRS shall:</li> </ul>
183 184 185 186 187 188 189		<ul> <li>Document archaeological resources, Traditional Cultural Properties (TCP), or Historic Properties of Religious and Cultural Significance to Indian Tribes (HPRCSITs) in accordance with the SHPO standards and the standards outlined in Appendix E, and document findings in a short report in accordance with the outline provided in Appendix F; unless the affected Tribe raises concerns about confidentiality, the USACE shall adhere to 36 CFR § 800.11(c).</li> </ul>

190 191	a. The USACE CRS shall upload the short report via WISAARD for the SHPO to review or submit directly to the THPO if the undertaking is on
	SHPO to review or submit directly to the THPO if the undertaking is on
192	reservation lands for a 30-calendar day review period. The USACE
193	shall take into consideration comments made and incorporate changes in
194	the final document. If the USACE does not receive comments within
195	the 30-day review period, the USACE may proceed to Stipulation II.C.
100	
196	ii. Complete Historic Property Inventory (HPI) forms for each PL 84-99 flood
197	risk management project that is older than 50 years or will turn 50 years old
198	by the time of an undertaking's implementation. HPI forms shall be
199	submitted through WISAARD, if applicable, for review and concurrence by
200	the SHPO/THPO and recorded in the Annual Report in accordance with
201	Stipulation IV.A and Appendix D(a) and D(b).
202	3. If no cultural resources are identified within the project APE during identification efforts,
202	
	the USACE CRS shall document this information in the Annual Report as provided in
204	Appendix D(b). Once documented, the USACE has satisfied its Section 106
205	responsibility and may proceed with the undertaking.
206	C. Evaluate Historic Significance (36 CFR § 800.4(c))
207	i. All cultural resources discovered or recorded within the APE during an inventory shall be
208	evaluated for inclusion in the National Register unless avoided by the undertakings proposed
209	work. The USACE CRS shall evaluate eligibility in accordance with the National Park
210	Service's Guidelines How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation and the
211	National Register Bulletin 15, 36 CFR § 60.4 before proceeding to Stipulation II.D.
212	1. If the USACE CRS cannot make a determination of eligibility due to limited information
213	or the scope of the project does not allow a review of the entire flood risk management
214	project, the CRS shall treat the cultural resource as eligible for listing on the National
215	Register.
216	2. The USACE CRS shall document and submit their determination to the applicable
217	SHPO/THPO and applicable consulting parties for review. The SHPO/THPO and
218	consulting parties shall have 15-calendar days to review and provide comment on the
210	determination.
220	a. If the SHPO/THPO concurs with the USACE determination of eligibility the USACE
221	CRS shall continue to Stipulation II.D.
222	b. If the SHPO/THPO does not concur with the determination and/or seeks further
223	information, the USACE CRS shall continue consultation on eligibility to achieve
224	concurrence.
225	i. In cases of disputes on eligibility where the USACE and the SHPO/THPO do
226	not concur on the eligibility of a cultural resource, the USACE CRS shall
227	request a formal determination of eligibility from the Secretary pursuant to 36
228	CFR 63.
229	D. Results of Identification and Evaluation (36 CFR § 800.4(d))
230	i. <i>No historic properties affected</i> : If the USACE determines that no historic properties are
231	present or that historic properties are present but that the proposed undertaking shall have no
232	effect on the historic property as defined in 36 CFR § 800.4(d)(1); then the USACE CRS
233	shall document and report its determination and findings in the Annual Report (Appendix
234	D(b)). Once documented, the USACE has satisfied its Section 106 responsibility and may
235	proceed with the undertaking.

Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 5 of 37

236 237		ii.	<i>Historic properties affected:</i> In the event historic properties are present and will be affected by the proposed undertaking, the USACE CRS shall proceed to Stipulation II.E.
238	Е.	As	sessment of Adverse Effect (36 CFR § 800.5)
239 240 241		i.	The USACE CRS shall apply the criteria of adverse effect in consultation with the appropriate SHPO/THPO and applicable consulting parties as provided at 36 CFR § 800.5(a)(1).
242 243 244 245 246			1. No adverse effect. If the USACE determines that the proposed undertaking will result in no adverse effect to historic properties, USACE shall document and report its determination and findings in the Annual Report Appendix D(b). Once documented, the USACE has satisfied its Section 106 responsibility and may proceed with the undertaking.
247 248 249 250 251			2. Adverse effect. If the USACE determines a project will have an adverse effect to historic properties, as defined at 36 CFR § 800.5(1), the CRS shall consult with the appropriate SHPO/THPO and applicable consulting parties to resolve for an adverse effect in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.6 and Stipulation II.F. The USACE shall document and report its determination and findings in the Annual Report Appendix D(b).
252	F.	Re	solution of Adverse Effects (36 CFR § 800.6)
253 254 255		i.	To resolve for adverse effects the USACE CRS shall consult with the SHPO/THPO and other consulting parties, to seek ways to avoid, minimize or mitigate the adverse effect. The USACE CRS shall:
256 257 258 259 260			1. Submit a consultation letter to the appropriate SHPO/THPO and applicable consulting parties that provides a description of the APE, undertaking, identification results, the USACE's determination of adverse effect, proposed mitigation ideas (as provided in Stipulation III) and seeks comment on the appropriate mitigation to resolve for the adverse effect.
261			a. SHPO/THPO and consulting parties have 15 days to provide comment.
262 263 264 265 266 267 268			2. The USACE CRS shall prepare a mitigation plan form (Appendix G) based on the responses received during consultation with the SHPO/THPO(s) and consulting parties. A mitigation form shall be developed to resolve for adverse effects to historic properties and will not require the execution of a memorandum of agreement (MOA) or Project-Specific PA. The mitigation form will contain descriptions of background information, the historic property, NRHP eligibility, adverse effect, proposed treatment, and how the proposed treatment will address the adverse effect.
269 270 271			a. The USACE CRS shall distribute the draft mitigation form to the SHPO/THPO and consulting parties for review. SHPO/THPO and consulting parties have 30 days to provide comments on the form.
272 273 274 275			b. The USACE CRS shall incorporate comments received during consultation and shall provide a revised mitigation form to the SHPO/THPO and consulting parties for review. This process may continue until concurrence is received by the SHPO/THPO and consulting parties.
276 277 278 279			i. If the SHPO/THPO and consulting parties concur with the proposed mitigation, then all parties shall sign the mitigation form. Once signed, the USACE has satisfied its Section 106 responsibility and may proceed with the undertaking.

<ul> <li>ii. If the SHPO/THPO and consulting parties do NOT concur with the proposed mitigation, then the USACE CRS shall seek comment from the ACHP. The ACHP shall provide USACE comment on the proposed mitigation within 30- calendar days of receiving adequate documentation.</li> </ul>
a. The USACE CRS shall prepare a written response that takes into account any timely advice or comments regarding mitigation from the ACHP, SHPO/THPO and consulting parties, and provide them with a copy of this written response. The USACE shall then proceed according to its final decision.
<ul> <li>b. If the ACHP does not provide its advice regarding the dispute within the 30-day time period, the USACE may make a final decision on the dispute and proceed accordingly. Prior to reaching such a final decision, USACE shall prepare a written response that takes into account any timely comments regarding the dispute from the SHPO/THPO and consulting parties and provide them and the ACHP with a copy of such written response.</li> </ul>
Emergency Flood Events (36 CFR § 800.12)
i. Emergency flood events declared under the PL 84-99 Program to preserve life or property are exempt from the provisions of section 106 under 36 CFR § 800.12(d).
ii. Within 24 hours of the USACE declaring an emergency flood event the USACE CRS shall:
1. Notify the SHPO, THPOs, and appropriate Tribal cultural resources staff daily for the duration of a flood event and provide at a minimum the following information: Location of flood event, proposed flood fighting actions (if any), contact details for further information and seek information from affected Tribes on the presence of known historic properties within the flood event repair footprint.
MITIGATION
The USACE shall consult with the SHPO/THPO and appropriate consulting parties to identify an appropriate mitigation measure to resolve for adverse effects to historic properties. A list of proposed mitigation measures is provided below; however, this list is meant to assist the consultation process and is in no way exhaustive. Proposed mitigation measures include but are
not limited to:
i. Historic Context Statements

325 326		appropriate to identify the topic, audience, framework of the oral history mitigation, and format for the final deliverable.
327		iii. Georeferencing of Historical Maps and Aerial Photographs
328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335		1. Historical maps and aerial photographs could be georeferenced into a digital format for use in a geographic information system (GIS). Georeferenced materials may focus on areas associated with levee development or changes to rivers and river systems with the construction of levees. If georeferencing is chosen as a mitigation measure the USACE shall consult with the SHPO/THPO, Tribes, and other consulting parties, as appropriate, to identify the historical maps and/or aerial photographs for scanning and geo-referencing and what the final package would look like including draft, final format, metadata, and type of file format (i.eTIFF).
336		iv. Historic Property Inventory
337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344		1. Historic property inventory may be directed toward the resurvey of previously designated historic properties, per 36 CFR § 800.4(1), which have undergone change or lack sufficient documentation, or the survey of new historic properties and/or districts that lack formal designation. The proposed mitigation measure shall describe the boundaries of the survey area and the data collection method in keeping with the Washington SHPO guidance for conducing history property inventories. If historic property inventory (-ies) is chosen as a mitigation measure the USACE shall consult with the SHPO/THPO, Tribes, and other consulting parties, as appropriate.
345 346		v. Educational or Public Interpretation
347 348 349 350 351 352		<ol> <li>Create historical markers, signs, displays, educational pamphlets, websites, workshops, videos, articles, reports, story maps, and other similar mechanisms to educate the public on historic properties within the local community, state, or region. If educational or public interpretation is chosen as a mitigation measure the USACE shall consult with the SHPO/THPO, Tribes, and other consulting parties, as appropriate to, identify the topic, audience, framework of the interpretation, and format for the final deliverable.</li> </ol>
353		vi. Ethnographic Overview/Study
354 355 356 357 358		1. The ethnographic overview/study may focus on a single topic or may provide a general overview of information. If ethnographic overview/study is chosen as a mitigation measure, the USACE shall consult with the SHPO/THPO, Tribes, and other consulting parties, as appropriate to identify the topic, audience, framework of the ethnographic statement/study including oral history component.
359	IV.	REPORTING
360 361 362 363	А.	<u>Annual Report</u> : By 1 April, the USACE shall send consulting parties copies of the Annual Report summarizing actions excluded from further review, the status of mitigation forms completed, as well as any amendments to this PA, appendix modifications, or disputes within the previous fiscal year (FY) (1 October – 31 September).
364 365		i. The Annual Report will be provided in table format as provided in Appendix D(a) and D(b) with appropriate attachments.
366 367 368	B.	<u>Annual Meeting</u> : The USACE shall hold an annual meeting to share information, monitor progress of mitigation measures, and discuss common issues. All signatories and consulting parties will be invited to the annual meeting. The annual meeting shall be held every year by the

- USACE Seattle District any time between April and September, in a format made available to in person and virtual attendees following the effective date of this PA.
- 371 V. THRESHOLDS FOR ACHP NOTIFICATION
- A. The USACE shall invite the ACHP to participate in the consultation process when:
  - i. Undertakings that the USACE determines are highly controversial;
- ii. Undertakings that will have an adverse effect to historic properties when the USACE and
   SHPO/THPO are unable to reach concurrence on a proposed mitigation;
- iii. The ACHP reserves the right to participate on its own initiative or at the request of the
  SHPO/THPO or consulting party. In this event, the ACHP will notify the USACE in
  accordance with 36 CFR § 800. 2(b).

#### 379 VI. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

373

- A. Should any signatory or concurring party to this PA object at any time to any actions proposed or
   the manner in which the terms of this PA are implemented, the USACE shall consult with such
   party to resolve the objection. If the USACE determines that such objection cannot be resolved,
   the USACE shall:
- i. Forward all documentation relevant to the dispute, including the USACE's proposed
  resolution, to the ACHP. The ACHP shall provide the USACE with its advice on the
  resolution of the objection within thirty (30) calendar days of receiving adequate
  documentation. Prior to reaching a final decision on the dispute, the USACE shall prepare a
  written response that takes into account any timely advice or comments regarding the dispute
  from the ACHP, signatories, and concurring parties and provide them with a copy of this
  written response. The USACE shall then proceed according to its final decision.
- ii. If the ACHP does not provide its advice regarding the dispute within the thirty (30) calendar days, the USACE may make a final decision on the dispute and proceed accordingly. Prior to reaching such a final decision, the USACE shall prepare a written response that takes into account any timely comments regarding the dispute from the signatories and concurring parties to the PA, and provide them and the ACHP with a copy of such written response.
- B. The USACE's responsibility to carry out all other actions subject to the terms of this PA that are not the subject of the dispute remain unchanged.

## 398 VII. AMENDMENTS

- A. This PA may be amended when such an amendment is agreed to in writing by all signatories. All proposed amendments will be sent to all consulting parties to review for thirty (30) calendar days.
  If needed, the signatories may meet to discuss the proposed amendment and any comments received. The amendment will be effective on the date a copy signed by all signatories is filed with the ACHP.
- 404 B. If the USACE determines an amendment to an Appendix is needed, or if another signatory or concurring party requests an amendment to an Appendix, the USACE shall notify all signatories 405 of the intent to modify the Appendix. If the USACE determines the amendment is necessary, they 406 will provide a draft of the updated Appendix to all signatories and concurring parties. If no 407 objections are received within thirty (30) calendar days of the proposed amendment, the USACE 408 409 shall date the amended Appendix and provide a copy to all signatories and concurring parties. The signatories and concurring parties may meet to discuss the proposed amendment and any 410 comments received or discuss why the USACE selected not to amend the appendices. The 411

- amendment shall go into effect on the date the USACE sends the amendment to all signatoriesand it is filed with the ACHP.
- C. A Tribe who is a sponsor of a flood risk management project may request in writing, to be a
  signatory to this PA at any time. The Tribe will submit their request to the USACE who in turn,
  will notify all signatories and consulting parties. If no objections are received within fifteen (15)
  calendar days of the proposed amendment, the Tribe shall sign onto this PA as a signatory party.

# 418 VIII. TERMINATION

- A. Any signatory to this PA may initiate termination by providing written notice to the other
  Consulting Parties of their intent. After notification by the initiating party, the Consulting Parties
  shall have 30 days (or another length of time agreed to by all signatories) to consult to seek
  agreement on amendments or any other actions that would address the issues and avoid
  termination. If such consultation fails, the PA will terminate at the end of the 30-day period,
  unless all Signatories agree to a longer period.
- B. In the event of termination, USACE shall comply with 36 CFR § 800 regarding individual undertakings covered by this PA or with regard to ongoing actions under this PA.

# 427 IX. DURATION

A. Unless terminated in accordance with Stipulation VIII, this PA shall remain in effect for a period 428 of 5 years after the date it takes effect. Ninety days prior to the conclusion of the five-year period, 429 430 the USACE shall notify all parties in writing. If there are no objections from signatories, the terms of the PA will automatically extend for another five years. The 5<sup>th</sup> year annual meeting will 431 be held no less than ninety days prior to the expiration date. The 5<sup>th</sup> year annual meeting will be 432 433 used to review the PA, discuss any objections in extending the PA and proposed amendments. If any party objects to extending the PA, or proposes amendments, the USACE shall consult with 434 435 the parties to consider amendments or other actions to avoid termination. If agreement cannot be reached by the termination date then this PA will remain in effect until agreement is reached or 436 the PA is terminated in accordance with Stipulation VIII. 437

# 438 X. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- A. This PA shall become effective on the date that it has been signed by the USACE, the SHPO, and the ACHP. USACE shall ensure that each consulting party is provided a copy of the fully executed PA.
- B. For any undertaking involving a National Historic Landmark this PA does not apply and the USACE shall follow 36 CFR 800.10.
- C. Anti-Deficiency Act: The USACE's obligations under this PA are subject to the availability of appropriated funds, and the stipulations of this PA are subject to the provisions of the
  AntiDeficiency Act. The USACE shall make reasonable and good faith efforts to secure the
  necessary funds to implement this PA in its entirety. If compliance with the Anti-Deficiency Act
  alters or impairs the USACE's ability to implement the stipulations of this PA, the USACE shall
  consult in accordance with the amendment procedures found at Stipulation VII and termination
  procedures found at Stipulation VIII.
- D. Execution of this PA by the USACE, the SHPO, and the ACHP, and implementation of
   its terms is evidence that the USACE has taken into account the effects of the USACE
   Public Law 84-99 Program upon historic properties in Washington State and afforded the
   ACHP an opportunity to comment.

455	PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT
456	BETWEEN
457	THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, SEATTLE DISTRICT
458	AND
459	WASHINGTON STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER
460	AND
461	ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION
462	FOR
463	THE LEVEE REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY
464	<b>REPAIRS FOR LEVEES AND REPAIRS LOCATED IN WASHINGTON STATE</b>
465	
466	SIGNATORIES:
467	
468	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District
469	
470	
	Colonel Alexander L. Bullock Date
	District Commander
471	

474	PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT
475	BETWEEN
476	THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, SEATTLE DISTRICT
477	AND
478	WASHINGTON STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER
479	AND
480	ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION
481	FOR
482	THE LEVEE REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY
483	<b>REPAIRS FOR LEVEES AND REPAIRS LOCATED IN WASHINGTON STATE</b>
484	
485	SIGNATORIES:
486	
487	Washington State Historic Preservation Officer
488	
489	
	Allyson Brooks, Ph.D. Date
	State Historic Preservation Officer
490	
491	

494	PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT
495	BETWEEN
496	THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, SEATTLE DISTRICT
497	AND
498	WASHINGTON STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER
499	AND
500	ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION
501	FOR
502	THE LEVEE REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY
503	<b>REPAIRS FOR LEVEES AND REPAIRS LOCATED IN WASHINGTON STATE</b>
504	
505	SIGNATORIES:
506	
507	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
508	
509	
	Jordan E. Tannenbaum Date
	Vice Chairman
510	

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT
BETWEEN
THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, SEATTLE DISTRICT
AND
WASHINGTON STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER
AND
ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION
FOR
THE LEVEE REHABILITATION AND INSPECTION PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY
<b>REPAIRS FOR LEVEES AND REPAIRS LOCATED IN WASHINGTON STATE</b>
SIGNATORIES:
SIGNAIORIES.
Name/Title Date



# **APPENDIX** A

Flood Risk Management Projects and Sponsors \*Located on Tribal Trust or Reservation Lands \*\* Spelling per Levee National Database

LEVEE SYSTEM NAME	LEVEE SEGMENT NAME	SYSTEM TYPE	COUNTY	LEVEE SPONSOR	River
Cashmere Segment 1	Cashmere Seg 1	Levee System	Chelan	City of Cashmere	Wenatchee River
Cashmere Segment 2	Cashmere Seg 2	Levee System	Chelan	City of Cashmere	Wenatchee River
Cashmere Segment 3 Treatment Plant	Cashmere Seg 3 Sewage Treatment Plant	Levee System	Chelan	City of Cashmere	Wenatchee River
Elwha Authorized	Elwha*	Levee System	Clallam	Lower Klallam Elwha Tribe	Elwha River
Elwha Treatment Plant	Elwha Treatment Plant	Levee System	Clallam	City of Port Angeles	Elwha River
Dungeness Authorized	Dungeness River	Levee System	Clallam	Clallam County	Dungeness River
Palmer	Palmer	Levee System	Clallam	Clallam County	Dungeness River
Dungeness Meadows	Dungeness Meadows	Levee System	Clallam	Dungeness Meadows Homeowner	Dungeness River
Wilson Creek	WilsonCreek_BNSFRailroad_NPS	Levee System	Grant	None	Wilson Creek
Wilson Creek	Wilson Creek	Levee System	Grant	Town of Wilson Creek,	Wilson Creek
Hoquiam	Hoquiam	Levee System	Grays Harbor	City of Hoquiam,	Hoquiam River
Aberdeen Authorized	Aberdeen_CharleyCreekRoad_NPS	Levee System	Grays Harbor	None	Chehalis River
Aberdeen Authorized	Aberdeen	Levee System	Grays Harbor	City of Aberdeen	Chehalis River
n/a	Quinault*	Coastal Revetment	Grays Harbor	Quinault Nation	Olympic Peninsula
Cedar Authorized Right Bank	Cedar Authorized Right Bank	Levee System	King	City of Renton	Cedar River
Cedar Authorized Left Bank	Cedar Authorized Left Bank	Levee System	King	City of Renton	Cedar River
Tukwila Authorized	Tukwila	Levee System	King	City of Tukwila	Green River
Aldair	Aldair	Levee System	King	King County	Snoqualmie River
Alquist	Alquist	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Arcadia	Arcadia	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Bendigo / Prairie / Reif Road - Left Bank	Bendigo Left Lower	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River

Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 15 of 37



LEVEE SYSTEM NAME	LEVEE SEGMENT NAME	SYSTEM TYPE	COUNTY	LEVEE SPONSOR	River
Bendigo / Prairie / Reif Road - Left Bank	Bendigo Left Upper	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River
Bendigo / Prairie / Si View - Right Bank	Bendigo Right Lower	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River
Bendigo / Prairie / Si View - Right Bank	Bendigo Right Upper	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River
Lower Green Right Bank	Boeing	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Brassfield	Brassfield	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Buck's Curve	Buck's Curve	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Byer's Curve	Byer's Curve	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Cavanaugh	Cavanaugh	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Cook-Jefferies	Cook-Jefferies	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Lower Green Right Bank	Desimone-Briscoe School	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Lower Dorre-Don / Dorre-Don	Dorre-Don	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Reddington / Galli's / Dykstra	Dykstra	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Edenholm	Edenholm	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Elliot Bridge	Elliot Bridge	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Elliot Park	Elliot Park	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Fenster	Fenster	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Folt Right Bank	Frew	Levee System	King	King County	Tolt River
Reddington / Galli's / Dykstra	Galli's	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Getchman	Getchman	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Folt Left Bank	Girl Scout Camp	Levee System	King	King County	Tolt River
Hammakami	Hammakami	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Herzman	Herzman	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Γolt Right Bank	Holberg	Levee System	King	King County	Tolt River
Holstien Extension	Holstein Extension	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River
Horseshoe Bend Authorized	Horseshoe Bend	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
mhoff	Imhoff	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
lan's Curve	Jan's Curve	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Lower Green Right Bank	Kent Shops-Narita	Levee System	King	King County	Green River

 $\label{eq:programmatic} Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 16 of 37$ 



LEVEE SYSTEM NAME	LEVEE SEGMENT NAME	SYSTEM TYPE	COUNTY	LEVEE SPONSOR	River
Loans	Loans	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Lower Bain Road	Lower Bain Road	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Lower Dorre-Don / Dorre-Don	Lower Dorre-Don	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Lower Green Right Bank	Lower Russell Road Holiday Kennel	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Mason Thorson Ells	Mason Thorson Ells	Levee System	King	King County	Middle Fork Snoqualmie
Mason Thorson Extension	Mason Thorson Extension	Levee System	King	King County	Middle Fork Snoqualmie
McConkey	McConkey	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River
McElhoe Pearson	McElhoe Pearson	Levee System	King	King County	Snoqualmie River
Lower Green Right Bank	Meyer's Golf	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Monk	Monk	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Muellen	Muellen	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Neeley	Neeley	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Orchard Grove	Orchard Grove	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Orting Hill	Orting Hill	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Pleasant Hill	Pleasant Hill	Levee System	King	King County	Snoqualmie River
Potter	Potter	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Bendigo / Prairie / Reif Road - Left Bank	Prairie Acres LB	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River
Bank	Prairie Acres RB	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River
Raging River Left Bank	Raging River Bridge to Bridge Left Bank	Levee System	King	King County	Raging River
Raging River Right Bank	Raging River Bridge to Bridge Right Bank	Levee System	King	King County	Raging River
Raging River Left Bank	Raging River Bridge to Mouth Left Bank	Levee System	King	King County	Raging River
Raging River Right Bank	Raging River Bridge to Mouth Right Bank	Levee System	King	King County	Raging River
Rainbow Bend	Rainbow Bend	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Reddington / Galli's / Dykstra	Reddington	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Bendigo / Prairie / Reif Road - Left Bank	Reif Road	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River
Tolt Left Bank	Remlinger	Levee System	King	King County	Tolt River
Ricardi	Ricardi	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
River Bend	River Bend	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River

Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 17 of 37



LEVEE SYSTEM NAME	LEVEE SEGMENT NAME	SYSTEM TYPE	COUNTY	LEVEE SPONSOR	River
Scott Indian	Scott Indian	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Bendigo / Praire / Si View - Right Bank	Si View	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River
Bendigo / Praire / Si View - Right Bank	Si View Park	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River
Lower Green Right Bank	Signature Point	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Stanly Carlin	Stanly Carlin	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River
Stossel Bridge Left Bank	Stossel Bridge LB	Levee System	King	King County	Snoqualmie River
Stossel Bridge Right Bank	Stossel Bridge RB	Levee System	King	King County	Snoqualmie River
Tolt Right Bank	Tolt Bridge to Mouth	Levee System	King	King County	Tolt River
Tolt Left Bank	Tolt Hwy to Bridge	Levee System	King	King County	Tolt River
Tolt Left Bank	Tolt Hwy to Mouth	Levee System	King	King County	Tolt River
Turley	Turley	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Lower Green Right Bank	Upper Russell Road Somes-Dolan	Levee System	King	King County	Green River
Upstream Cedar Falls	Upstream Cedar Falls	Levee System	King	King County	South Fork Snoqualmie River
WPA	WPA	Levee System	King	King County	Cedar River
Reddington / Galli's / Dykstra	Reddington_Trail_NPS	Levee System	King	None	Green River
Lower Green Right Bank	Signature Pointe_WValleyHighway_NPS	Levee System	King	None	Green River
Potelco	Potelco	Levee System	King, Pierce	Pierce County	White River
Park	Park	Levee System	Kittitas	City of Ellensburg	Yakima River
Reecer Creek	Reecer Creek	Levee System	Kittitas	City of Ellensburg	Yakima River
Charter Road	Charter Road	Levee System	Kittitas	Kittitas County	Yakima River
Klocke / City Well	City Well	Levee System	Kittitas	Kittitas County	Yakima River
Fireman Park	Fireman Park	Levee System	Kittitas	Kittitas County	Yakima River
Jeffries	Jeffries	Levee System	Kittitas	Kittitas County	Yakima River
Jensen	Jensen	Levee System	Kittitas	Kittitas County	Yakima River
Klocke / City Well	Klocke	Levee System	Kittitas	Kittitas County, Kittitas County	Yakima River
Reecer	Reecer	Levee System	Kittitas	Kittitas County	Yakima River
Schaake	Schaake	Levee System	Kittitas	Kittitas County	Yakima River
Teanaway	Teanaway	Levee System	Kittitas	Kittitas County	Teanaway River

Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 18 of 37



LEVEE SYSTEM NAME	LEVEE SEGMENT NAME	SYSTEM TYPE	COUNTY	LEVEE SPONSOR	River
Town Ditch	Town Ditch	Levee System	Kittitas	Kittitas County	Yakima River
Klocke / City Well	City Well_SR10_NPS	Levee System	Kittitas	None	Yakima River
Park	Park_I-90_NPS	Levee System	Kittitas	None	Yakima River
Reecer Creek	Reecer Creek_DolarwayRoad_NPS	Levee System	Kittitas	None	Yakima River
Reecer Creek	Reecer Creek_I-90_NPS	Levee System	Kittitas	None	Yakima River
Reecer	Reecer_UmptanumRoad_NPS	Levee System	Kittitas	None	Yakima River
Town Ditch	Town Ditch_Intake_NPS	Levee System	Kittitas	None	Yakima River
Town Ditch	Town Ditch_SR10_NPS	Levee System	Kittitas	None	Yakima River
Chehalis-Centralia Airport	Chehalis-Centralia Airport Levee	Levee System	Lewis	Chehalis-Centralia Airport	Chehalis River
Skookumchuck	Skookumchuck	Levee System	Lewis	City of Centralia	Skookumchuck River
Elbe	Elbe	Levee System	Lewis	Lewis County	Nisqually River
Salzer Creek	Salzer Creek	Levee System	Lewis	Lewis County	Salzer Creek
Long Road Authorized	Long Road	Levee System	Lewis	Long Road Diking District	Chehalis River
Chehalis-Centralia Airport	Chehalis-Centralia Airport_Road_NPS	Levee System	Lewis	None	Chehalis River
Long Road Authorized	Long Road_I-5_NPS	Levee System	Lewis	None	Chehalis River
Long Road Authorized	Long Road_Railroad_NPS	Levee System	Lewis	None	Chehalis River
Salzer Creek	Salzer Creek_BNSFRailroad_NPS	Levee System	Lewis	None	Salzer Creek
Salzer Creek	Salzer Creek_NationalAve_NPS	Levee System	Lewis	None	Salzer Creek
Nisqually Park	Nisqually Park	Levee System	Lewis, Pierce	Pierce County	Nisqually River
-	Shoalwater Berm*	Coastal Revetment	Pacific	Shoalwater Bay Tribe	
Omak Authorized Left Bank	Omak Left Bank*	Levee System	Okanogan	City of Omak	Okanogan River
Jasmine	Jasmine	Levee System	Okanogan	City of Omak	Okanogan River
Omak Authorized Right Bank	Omak Right Bank	Levee System	Okanogan	City of Omak	Okanogan River
Oroville Authorized	Oroville	Levee System	Okanogan	City of Oroville	Similkameen River
Mazama	Mazama	Levee System	Okanogan	Okanogan County	Methow River
Okanogan Segment 1 Oak Street North	Oak Street North	Levee System	Okanogan	Okanogan County	Okanogan River
Twisp	Twisp	Levee System	Okanogan	Okanogan County	Methow River
Riverside Right Bank	Riverside Segment 1	Levee System	Okanogan	Riverside Diking District	Okanogan River
Riverside Right Bank	Riverside Segment 2	Levee System	Okanogan	Riverside Diking District	Okanogan River

Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 19 of 37



LEVEE SYSTEM NAME	LEVEE SEGMENT NAME	SYSTEM TYPE	COUNTY	LEVEE SPONSOR	River
Riverside Left Bank	Riverside Segment 3	Levee System	Okanogan	Riverside Diking District	Okanogan River
Riverside Left Bank	Riverside Segment 4	Levee System	Okanogan	Riverside Diking District	Okanogan River
Elmway	Elmway	Levee System	Okanogan	Town of Okanogan	Okanogan River
Okanogan Segment 2	Okanogan Segment 2	Levee System	Okanogan	Town of Okanogan	Okanogan River
Okanogan Segment 3 Treatment Plant	Okanogan Sewage Treatment	Levee System	Okanogan	Town of Okanogan	Okanogan River
Horseshoe Trailer Park	Horseshoe Trailer Park	Levee System	Okanogan	Town of Twisp	Twisp River
Twisp City Park	Twisp City Park	Levee System	Okanogan	Town of Twisp	Twisp River
Puyallup Authorized RB / North Levee Road	North Levee Road*	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
River Road - Puyallup	River Road (Puyallup)*	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
Puyallup Authorized LB	Puyallup Authorized Project, Left Bank*	Levee System	Pierce	USACE	Puyallup River
Puyallup Authorized RB / North Levee Road	Puyallup Authorized Project, Right Bank*	Levee System	Pierce	USACE	Puyallup River
Alward Segment No. 1	Alward Segment No. 1	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Carbon River
Alward Segment No. 2	Alward Segment No. 2	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Carbon River
Bower-Parker	Bower-Parker	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
Bowman Hilton / Sportsman	Bowman-Hilton	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
Bridge Street / Orting Treatment / Riddell	Bridge Street	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Carbon River
High Cedars / Calistoga / Jones	Calistoga	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
Ford	Ford	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
Greenwater	Greenwater	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	White River
Guy West	Guy West	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Carbon River
High Cedars / Calistoga / Jones	High Cedars	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
High Cedars / Calistoga / Jones	Jones	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
Leach Road / Soldiers Home	Leach Road	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
Lindsay	Lindsay	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Carbon River
McAbee	McAbee	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
McMillin	McMillin	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
Neadham Road	Neadham Road	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
Old Cannery	Old Cannery	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River

Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 20 of 37



LEVEE SYSTEM NAME	LEVEE SEGMENT NAME	SYSTEM TYPE	COUNTY	LEVEE SPONSOR	River
Bridge Street / Orting Treatment / Riddell	Orting Treatment Plant	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Carbon River
Bridge Street / Orting Treatment / Riddell	Riddell	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Carbon River
River Grove	River Grove	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
Riverside	Riverside	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
Leach Road / Soldiers Home	Soldiers Home	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
Bowman Hilton / Sportsman	Sportsman	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Puyallup River
Water Ski	Water Ski	Levee System	Pierce	Pierce County	Carbon River
Skagit County Dike District No. 9 / 1 / 12	Skagit County Dike District No. 1*	Levee System	Skagit	Skagit County Dike District No. 1	Skagit River
1/12	Skagit County Dike District No. 12*	Levee System	Skagit	Skagit County Dike District No. 12	_
Skagit County Dike District No. 9 / 1 / 12	Skagit County Dike District No. 9*	Levee System	Skagit	Skagit County Dike District No. 9	Skagit River
Lyman	Lyman	Levee System	Skagit	City of Lyman	Skagit River
Skagit County Dike District No. 3 - Fisher LB / Tom Moore / Snohomish	SCDD3 Tom Moore Slough_Railroad_NPS	Levee System	Skagit	None	Skagit River
Skagit County Dike District No. 17	Skagit County Dike District No. 17	Levee System	Skagit	Skagit County Dike District No. 17	Skagit River
Skagit County Dike District No. 22	Skagit County Dike District No. 22	Levee System	Skagit	Skagit County Dike District No. 22	Skagit River
Skagit County Dike District No. 3 - Fisher LB / Tom Moore / Snohomish	Skagit County Dike District No. 3 - Fisher Slough LB	Levee System	Skagit	Skagit County Dike District No. 3	South Skagit River
Skagit County Dike District No. 3 - Main / Hill Ditch / Fisher RB	Skagit County Dike District No. 3 - Fisher Slough RB	Levee System	Skagit	Skagit County Dike District No. 3	South Skagit River
Skagit County Dike District No. 3 - Main / Hill Ditch / Fisher RB	Skagit County Dike District No. 3 - Hill Ditch	Levee System	Skagit	Skagit County Dike District No. 3	South Skagit River
Skagit County Dike District No. 3 - Main / Hill Ditch / Fisher RB	Skagit County Dike District No. 3 - Main	Levee System	Skagit	Skagit County Dike District No. 3	Skagit River
Cockreham Island	Cockreham Island	Levee System	Skagit	Skagit County	Skagit River
Big Indian Slough	Big Indian Slough	Levee System	Skagit		Big Indian Slough
Big Indian Slough	Big Indian Slough DrainageDistrict19 NPS	Levee System	Skagit		Big Indian Slough



LEVEE SYSTEM NAME	LEVEE SEGMENT NAME	SYSTEM TYPE	COUNTY	LEVEE SPONSOR	River
Skagit County Dike District No. 3 - Fisher LB / Tom Moore / Snohomish	Skagit County Dike District No. 3 - Tom Moore Slough	Levee System	Skagit, Snohomish	Skagit County Dike District No. 3	South Fork Skagit River
Skagit County Dike District No. 3 - Fisher LB / Tom Moore / Snohomish	Tom Moore Slough (Snohomish)	Levee System	Skagit, Snohomish	Snohomish County	South Fork Skagit River
Stanwood Sewage Treatment Plant	Stanwood Sewage Treatment Plant	Levee System	Snohomish	City of Stanwood	Stillaguamish River
French Slough Flood Control District	Lord Hill (French Slough)	Levee System	Snohomish	French Slough Flood Control District	Snohomish River-French Slough
French Slough Flood Control District	Pilchuck (French Slough)	Levee System	Snohomish	French Slough Flood Control District	Snohomish River-French Slough
Marshland Flood Control District	Marshland	Levee System	Snohomish	District	Snohomish River
Marshland Flood Control District	Marshland_Railroad_NPS	Levee System	Snohomish	None	Snohomish River
Startup Authorized Primary	Startup, Primary_Railroad_NPS	Levee System	Snohomish	None	Skykomish River
Union Slough - Diking District No. 5	Union Slough_Highway529_NPS	Levee System	Snohomish	None	Snohomish River-Union Slough
Ebey Slough Upper	Ebey Slough, Upper	Levee System	Snohomish	Snohomish County	Snohomish River-Ebey Slough
Oso	Oso	Levee System	Snohomish	Snohomish County	Stillaguamish River
Startup Authorized Primary	Startup, Primary Levee	Levee System	Snohomish	Snohomish County	Skykomish River
Startup Authorized Training	Startup, Training Levee	Levee System	Snohomish	Snohomish County	Skykomish River
Ebey Island - Diking Improvement District No. 1	Ebey Island D.I.D. No. 1	Levee System	Snohomish	Snohomish D.I.D. No. 1	Snohomish River/Ebey Slough
Snohomish Drainage Improvement District No. 13	Snohomish D.I.D. No. 13	Levee System	Snohomish	Snohomish D.I.D. No. 13	Snohomish River-Ebey Slough
5	Union Slough (D.I.D. No. 5)	Levee System	Snohomish	Snohomish D.I.D. No. 5	Snohomish River/Union Slough
Ebey Slough Middle / Lundvall - Diking District No. 2	Ebey Slough, Middle (DD No. 2)	Levee System	Snohomish	No. 2	Snohomish River/Ebey Slough
Ebey Slough Middle / Lundvall - Diking District No. 2	Lundvall (DD No. 2)	Levee System	Snohomish	No. 2	Snohomish River/Ebey Slough
Ebey Slough Lower - Diking District No. 4	Ebey Slough, Lower (DD No. 4)	Levee System	Snohomish	No. 4	Snohomish River/Ebey Slough
Church Creek Left Bank	Church Creek LB	Levee System	Snohomish	Stillaguamish Flood Control District	Church Creek
Church Creek Right Bank	Church Creek RB	Levee System	Snohomish	Stillaguamish Flood Control District	
Florence / Hat / Thomle / Sea / South Pass	Florence	Levee System	Snohomish	Stillaguamish Flood Control District	Stillaguamish River

Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 22 of 37



LEVEE SYSTEM NAME	LEVEE SEGMENT NAME	SYSTEM TYPE	COUNTY	LEVEE SPONSOR	River
Florence / Hat / Thomle / Sea / South Pass	Hat Slough	Levee System	Snohomish	District	Stillaguamish River/Hat Slough
Hat Slough Left Bank	Hat Slough LB	Levee System	Snohomish	District	Stillaguamish River/Hat Slough
Hat Slough Upper / Miller Left Bank	Hat, Upper	Levee System	Snohomish	District	Stillaguamish River/Hat Slough
Hat Slough Upper / Miller Left Bank	Miller LB	Levee System	Snohomish	Stillaguamish Flood Control District	
Miller RB / Norman / Stillaguamish Seg 10	Miller RB	Levee System	Snohomish	Stillaguamish Flood Control District	_
Miller RB / Norman / Stillaguamish Seg 10	Norman Road	Levee System	Snohomish	Stillaguamish Flood Control District	
South Pass	South Pass	Levee System	Snohomish	Stillaguamish Flood Control District	Stillaguamish River
Florence / Hat / Thomle / Sea / South Pass	South Pass Side	Levee System	Snohomish	Stillaguamish Flood Control District	_
Miller RB / Norman / Stillaguamish Seg 10	Stillaguamish Segment No. 10	Levee System	Snohomish	Stillaguamish Flood Control District	Stillaguamish River
Florence / Hat / Thomle / Sea / South Pass	Thomle Road	Levee System	Snohomish	Stillaguamish Flood Control District	Stillaguamish River
Qwuloolt Authorized	Qwuloolt Authorized	Levee System	Snohomish		Ebey Slough
Hangman Creek	Hangman Creek	Levee System	Spokane	City of Spokane	Hangman Creek
Rock Creek - Rockford	Rock Creek	Levee System	Spokane	Town of Rockford	Coeur d'Alene River
Nisqually Segment 3	NisquallySeg3_Road_NPS	Levee System	Thurston	None	Nisqually River
Nisqually Segment 3	Nisqually Segment 3	Levee System	Thurston	Thurston County	Nisqually River
Red River	Red River_SeaLevee_NPS*	Levee System	Whatcom	None	Nooksack River
Ferndale / WTP / Sigardson / Rayhorst / Rainbow Slough	Rainbow Slough*	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Red River	Red River*	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Deming / Sande-Williams / Lee	Deming*	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Everson	Everson	Levee System	Whatcom	City of Everson	Nooksack River
Ferndale / WTP / Sigardson / Rayhorst / Rainbow Slough	Ferndale	Levee System	Whatcom	City of Ferndale	Nooksack River
Blysma / Polinder / Hannegan / Abbott	Abbott_Levee_NPS	Levee System	Whatcom	None	Nooksack River
Blysma / Polinder / Hannegan / Abbott	Hannegan_Levee_NPS	Levee System	Whatcom	None	Nooksack River
Bamboo Willie	Bamboo Willie	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County DD No. 2	Nooksack River

Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 23 of 37



LEVEE SYSTEM NAME	LEVEE SEGMENT NAME	SYSTEM TYPE	COUNTY	LEVEE SPONSOR	River
Blysma / Polinder / Hannegan / Abbott	Abbott	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Appel - Whatcom County Dike District 3	Appel	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Lynden / River Road / Bertrand Creek LB	Bertrand Creek LB	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Bertrand Creek Right Bank	Bertrand Creek RB	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Blysma / Polinder / Hannegan / Abbott	Bylsma	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Dean Foods	Dean Foods	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Deboer	Deboer	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Appel - Whatcom County Dike District 3	Devries	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Ferndale / WTP / Sigardson / Rayhorst / Rainbow Slough	Ferndale Water Treatment Plant	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Glacier Creek	Glacier Creek	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Glacier Creek
Glacier Springs	Glacier Springs	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Canyon Creek
Hampton Lower / Hampton Upper / Timon Road / Notter Road	Hampton, Lower	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Hampton Lower / Hampton Upper / Timon Road / Notter Road		Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Blysma / Polinder / Hannegan / Abbott	Hannegan	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Hawley	Hawley	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Hovander Park	Hovander Park	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Kaam Creek	Kaam Creek	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Kaam Creek
Deming / Sande-Williams / Lee	Lee	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Lynden / River Road / Bertrand Creek LB	Lynden Levee	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Mount Baker Rim	Mt. Baker Rim	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack
Appel - Whatcom County Dike District 3	Neevel	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Hampton Lower / Hampton Upper / Timon Road / Notter Road	Notter Road	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Blysma / Polinder / Hannegan / Abbott	Polinder	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Ferndale / WTP / Sigardson / Rayhorst / Rainbow Slough	Rayhorst	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River

Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 24 of 37



LEVEE SYSTEM NAME	LEVEE SEGMENT NAME	SYSTEM TYPE	COUNTY	LEVEE SPONSOR	River
Appel - Whatcom County Dike District 3	Ritter Road	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Lynden/River Road/Bertrand Creek LB	River Road (Nooksack)	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Deming / Sande-Williams / Lee	Sande-Williams	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Ferndale / WTP / Sigardson / Rayhorst / Rainbow Slough	Sigardson	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Appel - Whatcom County Dike District 3	Strickland	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Swift Creek Left Bank	Swift Creek LB	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Swift Creek
Swift Creek Right Bank	Swift Creek RB	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Swift Creek
Hampton Lower / Hampton Upper / Timon Road / Notter Road		Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Twin View	Twin View	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack River
Appel - Whatcom County Dike District 3	Vanderpol	Levee System	Whatcom	Whatcom County	Nooksack
Donald Wrecking Yakima Seg 4	Donald Wrecking Yard*	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Yakima River
Parker Yakima Seg 6	Parker*	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Yakima River
Annie Moses Yakima Seg 5	Annie Moses*	Levee System	Yakima	Yakama Nation	Yakima River
Yakima Tribe Seg 2a**	Yakima Tribe (Toppenish) *	Levee System	Yakima	Yakama Nation	Yakima River
Yakima Tribe Seg 2b**	Yakima Tribe Seg 2b*	Levee System	Yakima	Yakama Nation	Yakima River
Zillah	Zillah*	Levee System	Yakima	Yakama Nation	Yakima River
Cowiche Creek	Cowiche Creek	Levee System	Yakima	City of Yakima	Cowiche Creek
Yakima Wastewater Treatment Plant Seg 8	Yakima Wastewater Treatment Plant	Levee System	Yakima	City of Yakima	Yakima River
Ramblers Park Naches Seg 1	Ramblers Park_PowerhouseRoad_NPS	Levee System	Yakima	None	Naches River
South Naches Seg 9	South Naches_Irrigation_NPS	Levee System	Yakima	None	Naches River
Town of Naches RB Seg 8	Town of Naches RB_Road_NPS	Levee System	Yakima	None	Naches River
Yakima Authorized Right Bank	Yakima RB_County Tie-In_NPS	Levee System	Yakima	None	Yakima River
Yakima Authorized Right Bank	Yakima RB_I-82_NPS	Levee System	Yakima	None	Yakima River
Yakima Authorized Right Bank	Yakima RB_Railroad_NPS	Levee System	Yakima	None	Yakima River
Yakima Wastewater Treatment Plant Seg 8	Yakima WWTP_SR24_NPS	Levee System	Yakima	None	Yakima River
Donald Bridge Yakima Seg 3	Donald Bridge LB	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Yakima River

Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 25 of 37



LEVEE SYSTEM NAME	LEVEE SEGMENT NAME	SYSTEM TYPE	COUNTY	LEVEE SPONSOR	River
Eschbach Park Naches Seg 4	Eschbach Park Naches Seg 4	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Naches River
Eschback Park Naches Seg 5	Eschback Park Naches Seg 5	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Naches River
Incinerator Naches Seg 10	Incinerator Naches Seg 10	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Naches River
Marsh Road Yakima Seg 9	Marsh Road	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Yakima River
McCormick Naches Seg 2	McCormick Naches Seg 2	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Naches River
Moxee Bridge Yakima Seg 7	Moxee Bridge	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Yakima River
Nile Road Naches Seg 13	Nile Road Naches Seg 13	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Naches River
Old River Road Naches Seg 12	Old River Road Naches Seg 12	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Naches River
Ramblers Park Naches Seg 1	Ramblers Park Naches Seg 1	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Naches River
Rock Creek Naches Seg 11	Rock Creek Naches Seg 11	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Naches River
South Naches Seg 9	South Naches Seg 9	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Naches River
Town of Naches LB Seg 7	Town of Naches LB Seg 7	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Naches River
Town of Naches RB Seg 8	Town of Naches RB Seg 8	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Naches River
Town of Naches RB Seg 8b	Town of Naches RB Seg 8b	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Naches River
Water Treatment Plant Naches Seg 6	Water Treatment Plant Naches Seg 6	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Naches River
Yakima Authorized Left Bank	Yakima Authorized, Left Bank	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Yakima River
Yakima Authorized Right Bank	Yakima Authorized, Right Bank	Levee System	Yakima	Yakima County	Yakima River

# **APPENDIX B(a)**

# **Definitions of Repair and Rehabilitation Activities**

Specific Activity	Description	Action Response
Riprap Armoring Placement	Replacing riprap that has been dislodged off the face of the levee during flood/high water event	Repair-in-Kind
Replace Displaced Levee Fill		Repair-in-Kind
Culvert Replacement	Replacement of a culvert that that are part of the levee system, running through the levee. Lynden Levee and Omak levee are examples.	Repair-in-Kind
Install Toe Drain	Drain system installed at landside of levee to collect seepage from levee embankment or in foundation soil at levee toe	Repair-in-Kind
Complete Levee Rebuild (levee breach)	Will occur if a segment of a levee is either completely or partially breached during a flood/high water event.	Repair-in-Kind
Mitigation Plantings	Willow lifts in levee prism installed during a repair project.	Repair-in-Kind
Levee R/S Slope Layback and/or Section Transition	Most slope laybacks are to improve the factor of safety for slope stability. However, a slope layback can also be an environmental lift and has been used to mitigate repair impacts. Occurs on riverward slope.	Limited Extension of Footprint (<15ft)
Seepage Berm / Seepage Blanket Placement	Constructed on the landward side of the levee using impervious soils to reinforce the existing top stratum and reduce under-seepage	Limited Extension of Footprint (<15ft)
Installation of Relief Wells (not common for Seattle District)	Installed on the landward toe of the levee or right behind the levee prism. Relief wells are water wells that intercept water flowing beneath the levee and provide an outlet for seepage. Used to prevent seepage from displacing soil from levee foundation.	Limited Extension of Footprint (<15ft)
Installation of Buried Riprap Toe	Buried Riprapattoe of levee on riverward side of the levee. This toe is intended to stay in place during a flood/high water event	Limited Extension of Footprint (<15ft)
Installation of Launchable Riprap Toe		Limited Extension of Footprint (<15ft)
Install Driven / Vibrated Wood or Steel Piles to Support Levee Foundation	Installed either on landward or riverward slopes of the levee and driven in vertically. Examples: Meadowhurst levee in Idaho and Desimone levee on the Green River.	Limited Extension of Footprint (<15ft)
Off-Site Mitigation Plantings	Vegetation plantings location outside of the levee repair a rea. The mitigation location is as close to the levee repair as possible and type of mitigation (i.e. number of plantings) is determined in consultation with sponsors/services.	
Large Woody Debris with Anchoring	Installing logs and anchoring them to the riverbank. Used to help	Limited Extension of Footprint (<15ft)
Levee Setback (including foundation repair)	Instead of repairing the old levee, moving the levee to a different location	Significant Change in Footprint
Seepage Berm Placement	Placed on the landside slope or toe of an existing levee or just landward of the levee prism	Significant Change in Footprint
Beach Nourishment	Adding sand to beach were sand has eroded a way.	Coastal
Jetty Rock Repair	Repairing/Addingrock to Jetty	Coastal
Jetty Rock Construction	Adjusting the alignment of an existing jetty or repairing jetty to its pre-flood condition	Coastal

Sandbag/Supersack/Hesco	Sandbag/Supersack/Hesco Wall Placement-Sandbags can be used to	Flood Fighting
WallPlacement	prevent overtopping of levees, direct a river current, used as weight on backslope of saturated levees, etc. A supersack is a giant sandbag and a Hesco wall is a steel wire crate lined with plastic canvas and	
	filled with dirt or other material. Used to help augment a levee to prevent overtopping. All three are used during flood flights to protect levees from further damage	
Sandbag Ring Dike	Sandbag Ring Dike for Seepage Boil- a seepage boil is caused by water seepage through levee. Sandbags are placed 2 to 3 feet radius around boil	Flood Fighting
Earth Fill Capping (Import Fill)	Earth Fill Capping Placing fill to cap a levee. Permitted borrow areas are used however, there is occasion during flood fight events where displaced levee material is used to rebuild the levee prism.	Flood Fighting
Earth Fill Capping (Local Borrow)	Placing fill to cap a levee. Permitted borrow areas are used however, there is occasion during flood fight events where displaced levee material is used to rebuild the levee prism.	Flood Fighting
Placement of Visqueen	Placement of Visqueen on Levee Slope to Prevent Overtopping Erosion	Flood Fighting

N

1

Programmatic Agreement for the Levee Rehabilitation and Inspection Program Under Public Law 84-99 Located in Washington State Page 28 of 37

#### **APPENDIX B(b)**

#### **Definitions of Key Terms**

**Cultural Resources**: the term "cultural resources" is a term commonly used to refer to a wide range of cultural property/resource types including but not limited to archaeological sites, buildings, structures, trails, roads, levees, jetties and objects regardless of age or ethnic affiliation. The term may also be used to describe landscape features or geographic areas important to certain ethnics or Tribal groups. The term however, is not one that is defined in statute or regulation. Cultural resources can also include "historic properties" as defined in the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. § 470w-5) and archaeological resources as defined in the Archaeological Resources Protection Act (16 U.S.C. § 470bb-1)

**Cultural Resource Specialists**- A professional cultural resource specialist or CRS is defined as personnel meeting or exceeding the U.S. Office of Personnel Management qualifications for professional archaeologists and historians and classified in the appropriate OPM series (e.g. Series 193 for archaeologist and Series 170 for historians) at grade, GS-9 or higher. Specialists at the or below the GS-7 level are considered to be preforming duties in a trainee/developmental capacity. Reports prepared by GS-7 or lower graded specialists will be reviewed by a professional GS-9 or higher CRS prior to submission to SHPO.

**Elements of Flood Risk Management Projects-** includes Rip rap, culverts, relief wells, structures (pump houses, gates, fences, etc). An element can be considered to either be a direct or indirect component that makes up the flood risk management project

**Federally Authorized Levee:** typically designed and built by the USACE in cooperation with a local sponsor then turned over to a local sponsor to operate and maintain

Jetty- breakwater constructed to protect a harbor or a stretch of the coast

Levee-an earthen embankment, flood wall, or structure along a watercourse whose purpose is flood risk reduction or water conveyance

Non-federal levee: designed, built, and managed by a non-federal entity

**PL 84-99 Built Environment**- This term is used to refer to both a levee, jetty, or other structure under the PL 84-99 Program.

**Previously Disturbed Soil**- Areas that have been previously and completely disturbed by mechanical means to the extent and depth where the probability of finding intact cultural resources is negligible. Previously disturbed areas have both vertical and horizontal limits.

# **APPENDIX C**

### **Repair and Rehabilitation Activities Excluded from Further Review**

The following list of activities is intended for use by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District (USACE) cultural resource specialists (CRS) when activities associated with the undertaking are proposed under the PL 84-99 Program. USACE CRS shall review specific repair and rehabilitation activities implemented under this PA and determine whether they fall within one of the excluded activities defined below.

To make the determination whether a specific activity meets one of the excluded actions listed below the CRS shall review available materials, such as published and archival records, prior reports, and/or maps and photographs. If consultations or investigations have not occurred in the past to determine if TCPs or HPRCSITs might be present, the USACE will coordinate with affected Tribes.

Documentation of an action excluded from further review will include at a minimum: project description, results of the literature review, any avoidance/protective measures taken, map of the location and total project area of the activity and indicate the reviewer and date reviewed. Excluded activities include:

- 1. Rodent and pest control within demonstrated previously disturbed areas.
- 2. Application of soil sterilant, herbicides, and insecticides within demonstrated previously disturbed areas.
- 3. Terrestrial and aquatic weed removal (i.e. knot weed) from within the flood risk management project prism.
- 4. Mowing and brushing within demonstrated previously disturbed areas, and general maintenance of existing landscaping along roads, trails, levees, canals, laterals, ditches, and flood risk management projects.
- 5. Road maintenance within demonstrated previously disturbed areas, including regrading or repaving of existing roads and existing parking areas.
- 6. Maintenance within demonstrated previously disturbed areas of existing trails, walks, paths, and sidewalks.
- 7. Replacement of pipelines, cables, or utility conduit entirely within demonstrated previously disturbed areas where equipment access is also within previously disturbed areas. The USACE must be able to demonstrate that the proposed work is entirely within previously disturbed areas with construction plans, as-built plans, or onsite observations.
- 8. Replacement or restoration of existing rip rap, or placement of additional rip rap on top of existing rip rap, when using a similar type<sup>1</sup> of materials and maintaining original design criteria.
- 9. Excavation within the demonstrated confines of fill material or previously disturbed areas where there are no known historic properties present or where the work will not affect contributing elements to the historic property. The USACE must be able to demonstrate that the proposed work is entirely within fill material or previously disturbed areas with construction plans, as-built plans, photographic evidence, or onsite observations. Excavation below previous ground disturbance may continue if all Holocene and Pleistocene sediments have been removed (e.g., new ground disturbance is within bedrock) and excavation will not extend into any area which contains Holocene and Pleistocene sediments.
- 10. Repair and/or replacement of roadway culverts or utilities (above and/or underground) that are less than 50 years of age or where the work will not affect contributing elements to a historic property within demonstrated previously disturbed areas where equipment access is also within previously disturbed areas. The USACE must be able to demonstrate that the proposed work is entirely within

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A change in rip rap classification of one class (i.e. Class I to Class II).

previously disturbed areas with construction plans, as-built plans, or onsite observations. Culverts built after 1945 will not be surveyed and evaluated per the ACHP "Program Comment for Common Post-1945 Concrete and Steel Bridges" provided the culverts fall within the scope of the Program Comment, i.e., are of the following types: 1) reinforced concrete boxes; 2) concrete box culverts; 3) concrete pipe culverts; or 4) steel pipe culverts.

- 11. Repairs to, and in-kind replacement of, elements of flood risk management projects and within demonstrated previously disturbed areas where equipment access is also within previously disturbed areas and no known historic properties exist. The USACE must be able to demonstrate that the proposed work is entirely within previously disturbed areas with construction plans, as-built plans, or onsite observations.
- 12. Removal of modern materials, trash scatters that are less than 50 years old, or hazardous material that is older than 50 years old, including abandoned motor vehicles, within the prism of the flood risk management project provided removal methods do not involve ground disturbance of native soils.
- 13. Continued use of existing borrow sources where no lateral expansion of the previously excavated area of the borrow pit will occur.
- 14. Maintenance or replacement of existing fence lines and gates that do not require disturbance beyond replacement of posts, gates, or fence materials.
- 15. Use of existing access roads and staging areas that are located on previously used/disturbed locations.
- 16. Onsite mitigation plantings that occur within the existing prism of the flood risk management project.
- 17. Offsite mitigation locations that have been previously surveyed, or within previously disturbed soil and no known historic properties exist.
- 18. Placement of soils where no ground disturbance will occur and where the placement will not affect the character defining features of a historic property (ex. beach nourishment or seepage berm).
- 19. Repairs made during flood fighting events including but not limited to, temporary placement of sandbags, supersacks and/or hesco walls, earth fill capping, levee slope layback, and placement of visqueen on levee slopes to prevent overtopping erosion.

# **APPENDIX D(a)**

# USACE Annual Cultural Resources Report Listing of FY Repair and Rehabilitation Activities Excluded from Further Review under Appendix C

Project Name	Type of Flood Risk Management Project	Applicable Exclusion from Appendix D (Exclusion No.)
		/
		//
		/
		/
	Ad	
	<b></b>	
<u> </u>		
<u>i</u>		

# **APPENDIX D(b)**

# USACE Annual Cultural Resources Report Listing of FY Identification Efforts for Flood Risk Management Projects

Project Name	SHPO Project Number	Type of Flood Risk Management Project	Archaeological Resources/Historic Properties Identified	USACE Determination and Finding	HPI Form Completed (Y/N)
L					
L					
			11		
		· · ·			

#### **APPENDIX E**

#### **USACE Survey Methodology & Standards**

#### **Pedestrian Survey**

1. Conduct a systematic visual survey of the ground surface for cultural materials. USACE CRS shall walk the center line of the flood risk management project and determine if spacing and size of the project allows additional transects.

2. The survey will include all proposed staging areas located either on the flood risk management project or adjacent to. If possible and safe to do so the USACE CRS shall inspect the damaged area of the flood risk management project; however, due to safety and timing of pedestrian survey (i.e. winter or during high flow) it may not be possible.

3. The USACE CRS shall take overview photographs of the repair area and flood risk management project.

4. The USACE CRS shall take field notes that include date and time survey was conducted, weather, condition of flood risk management project, vegetation that may inhibit survey, and any archaeological resources and historic properties observed during the survey.

5. If the off-site mitigation location is on a sand bar or other unstable landform no survey will be conducted.

6. Subsurface testing is not allowed within the flood risk management project prisms due to engineering safety standards.

#### **Subsurface Testing**

1. If determined appropriate, the USACE CRS shall conduct subsurface testing.

2. Subsurface testing shall consist of shovel probes that go to the depth of 50 cm. Shovel probe transect intervals shall be between 15 to 30 meters depending on if the APE is located in a high probability area or not.

3. Shovel test probes shall be no larger than 50 cm in diameter and shall be dug in arbitrary 10cm levels. All soils are screened through a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch hardware mesh at a minimum.

4. The USACE CRS shall take overview photographs of the APE, representative photographs of shovel probes and any archaeological resources that are identified.

5. The USACE CRS shall take field notes that include date and time survey was conducted, weather, condition of APE, vegetation that may inhibit survey, and any archaeological resources and historic properties that are identified during the survey.

6. A GPS point shall be taken of each shovel probe location.

### **APPENDIX F**

#### **Report Template Outline**

- 1 Introduction [Required]
- 2 Description of the Proposed Undertaking [Required]
- 3 Description of Project Area [Required]
- 4 Environmental Setting [Required but scaled to size of project-Brief 1 to 2 paragraphs]
- 4.1 Geology, Geomorphology and Soils [Brief 1 to 2 paragraphs]
- 4.2 Vegetation and Fauna [Brief 1 to 2 paragraphs]
- 5 Cultural and Historical Context [Required but scaled to size of project]
- 5.1 Native American Place Names [to be included if there are documented Native American place names in or near vicinity of project area. In addition, Tribes may provide information during Tribal consultation]
- 5.2 History of Levee Construction along the [Name] River [to be included if known]
- 5.3 Historic Map Review [Put in table format if more than one map] [to be included if there are historic maps]
- 6 Previous Investigations/Literature Review Results from WISAARD Search [Required]
- 6.1 Archaeological Sites
- 6.2 Cultural Resource Reports [Put in table format if more than 3 reports]
- 7 Field Methods and Survey Results [Required]
- 8 Conclusion and Recommendations [Required]
- 9 References [Required]
- 10 APPENDICES
- 10.1 Unanticipated Discovery Plan

# Appendix G

# PL 84-99 Program Mitigation Plan Form

This form documents the actions USACE shall take to resolve for adverse effects to historic properties by the PL 84-99 Program (Stipulation II.F).

#### 1. General

Smithsonian Number:	
Name of Associated PL 84-99 Project:	
Legal Description (Section, Township, Range, County, State):	
Treatment Type (provide a brief description of proposed treatment):	
Date for Implementation of Treatment:	
Narrative Description of the Historic Property:	

**<u>2. National Register Criteria (36 CFR 60.4)</u>** (Describe the documentation supporting the determination that the property(ies) are eligible for inclusion on the National Register or have been listed on the National Register)

Check all that apply:	
Criteria A	
Criteria B	
Criteria C	
Criteria D	
Narrative Description	ι:

**<u>3. Description of the Adverse Effects</u>** (Describe the adverse effects to the historic property(ies) relevant to this treatment)

**<u>4. Details of the Proposed Treatment</u>** (Describe the proposed treatment and attach any maps or plans, as applicable)

<u>5. How Will the Proposed Treatment Address Adverse Effects</u> (Describe how the proposed treatment will address the adverse effects caused by the PL 84-99 Program)

6. References Cited