#### APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

### SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): December 12, 2016.
- **B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** <u>Seattle District, Metropolitan Park District of Tacoma</u>, <u>NWS-2016-61</u>. Name of water being evaluated on this JD form: <u>Wetland A-B</u>

## C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Washington County: Pierce City: Tacoma

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat: <u>47.207194</u> N. Long: <u>-122.406781</u> W. Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: <u>Swan Creek</u>.

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: <u>Puyallup River</u>.

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 1711001202.

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. List other JDs: \_\_\_\_\_

## D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: <u>8 Sep 2016</u>.

Field Determination. Date(s): 10 May 2016.

## SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

# A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "*navigable waters of the U.S.*" within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [*Required*]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: \_\_\_\_\_.

### B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

### 1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): <sup>1</sup>
  - TNWs, including territorial seas
  - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
  - Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: \_\_\_\_\_ linear feet \_\_\_\_\_ width (ft) and/or \_\_\_\_\_ acres. Wetlands: \_\_\_\_\_\_ acres.

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction** based on: **Pick List** and **Pick List** Elevation of established OHWM (if known): \_\_\_\_\_\_.

### 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Wetlands A and B do not have a surface water or shallow subsurface connection or ecological connectivity to other navigable or interstate waters of the U.S. or tributaries of waters of the U.S. The subject wetlands are not used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation purposes, have not habitat or resource of special significance which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally"

<sup>(</sup>e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Version 2-8-08 Isolated & Non-Waters Only

would attact interstate or foreign travelers, lacks bird and wildlife species or special significance which would attact interstate or foreign travelers, supports no fish or shellfish which could be taken or sold in interstate or foreign commerce, and are not used for industrial, agricultural, silvicultural activitites involving interstate or foreign commerce. See Section IV.B for additional information.

#### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: NOT APPLICABLE
- R. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS: NOT APPLICABLE
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: NOT APPLICABLE
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE: NOT APPLICABLE
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):4
  - which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
  - from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
  - which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
  - Interstate isolated waters. Explain: \_\_\_\_\_.
  - Other factors. Explain: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: \_\_\_\_\_ linear feet \_\_\_\_\_ width (ft).
  Other non-wetland waters: \_\_\_\_\_ acres.

  - Identify type(s) of waters: .
- Wetlands: \_\_\_\_\_acres.

#### F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS:

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
  - Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: \_\_\_\_\_. Other: (explain, if not covered above): \_\_\_\_\_.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): \_\_\_\_\_ linear feet \_\_\_\_\_ width (ft).
  - Lakes/ponds: \_\_\_\_\_ acres.
  - Other non-wetland waters: \_\_\_\_\_ acres. List type of aquatic resource: \_\_\_\_\_.
- Wetlands: 2.5 acres.

### SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

- A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
  - $\boxtimes$ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: \_\_\_\_\_.
    - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
      - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
      - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
	Corps navigable waters' study:
$\bowtie$	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: <u>NHD</u> .
	USGS NHD data.
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
$\boxtimes$	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Tacoma South 2014
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
$\square$	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: <u>Tacoma South 2014</u> .
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
	FEMA/FIRM maps:
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
$\boxtimes$	Photographs: 🖾 Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth 2016
	or 🛛 Other (Name & Date): <u>Site photos (Figures A1-A4), 2015</u> .
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The seasonally ponded wetlands are depressions with high ground in all directions and no apparent outlets or connection to downstream waters. A catch basin was identified adjacent to wetland B, but the pipe noted on site appears to slope downward, into the wetland. This suggests that water is more likely to drain into Wetland B at this location. Wetland A had no visible connection to Wetland B nor any other outlet. No ditches were identified and potential drainage outlets could be found. There are no drainage features which connect to the nearest water of the U.S, nor any berms that separate these waters from a water of the U.S. The wetlands on this site are approximately located at an elevation of 322-323 feet with surrounding lands rising to 325-330 feet. Soils are not mapped in this area. The basin in which the wetlands are located generally drains to the north to an unamed tributary of the Puyallup River located approximately 0.3 miles north and east of the study area. However, there is no apparent surface water connections between the subject wetlands and this tributary. Swan Creek lies to the east of the study area and is separated by a significant topographic break. The water on this site seems largely driven by precipitation given its depressional landscape position. A nearby stormwater pond is perched at higher elevation and may be contributing sub-surface flow to Wetland B. Natural overland flow from the surrounding higher elevation may also contribute to seasonal inundation. Extreme flood or storm events from the nearest water of the U.S. are not likely to contribute to wetland hydrology on the site. The surrounding landscape is a mix of residential and undeveloped upland forests. The site is situated on a school property.

The subject wetlands do not have a surface water or shallow subsurface connection or ecological connectivity to other navigable or interstate waters of the U. S. or tributaries of waters of the U. S. The wetlands identified on this site do not contribute biologically or hydrologically to downstream waters of the U.S. and do not play a role in capture of contaminants (from local area runoff) that could flow to downstream waters. The subject wetlands are not used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes, have no habitat or resources of special significance which would attract interstate or foreign travelers, lacks bird and wildlife species of special significance which would attract interstate or fish or shellfish which could be taken or sold in interstate or foreign commerce, and are not used for industrial, agricultural, or silvicultural activities involving interstate or foreign commerce. Wetlands A and b are isolated and are not a water of the U.S. under Section 404 jurisdiction.