#### APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

# $\frac{\textbf{SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION}}{\textbf{A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): } \underline{12\text{-}14\text{-}15}.$

В.	<b>DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:</b> <u>Seattle District</u> , <u>City of Richland, NWS-2008-674</u> . Name of water being evaluated on this JD form: <u>isolated wetlands</u>				
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: Washington County: Benton City: Richland Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat: 46 19 31 N, Long: 119 17 40 W  Universal Transverse Mercator:  Name of nearest waterbody: Yakima River.  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Yakima River.  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 17030003.  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. List other JDs:				
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date:  Field Determination. Date(s): 5-13-09.				
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.				
	re <b>Pick List</b> "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:				
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.				
The	re Pick List "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]				
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands				
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: linear feet width (ft) and/or acres.  Wetlands: acres.				
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List and Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):				
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): <sup>3</sup> Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The subject wetlands (A through J) were walked around, and were found to have no surface connection to waters of the U.S. There is a drainage ditch leading to the west into which the wetlands could drain during high water events. This ditch crosses under Robertson Road and ends in a vacant field where the water evaporates and percolates				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F. Version 10-23-07 Isolated Only

into the ground. the main hydrologic source for the wetlands is drainage from irrigation of adjacent fields, with some addition from local runoff of precipitation. The wetlands are located over 1.5 miles from the Yakima River with a vertical change of approximately 100 feet. Even during extreme flow events, there could be no surface conection between the wetlands and the Yakima River. The land between the wetlands and the Yakima River are a mix of commercial, residential, agricultural and open space. The open space is vegetated with sage and rabbit brush and dryland grasses. Soils between the wetlands and the Yakima River consist primarily of a mix of Burbank and Quincy, both series being excessively drained with little chance of providing a shallow subsurface connection. The wetlands are not in a floodplain. The wetlands have no value for use by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes, have no potential for fish or shellfish harvests that could be sold in interstate or foreign commerce, and have no industrial uses.

#### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs Not applicable
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS: Not applicable
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION NOT APPLICABLE
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE: NOT APPLICABLE

ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.  from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.  which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.  Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS:  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: A through J for a total of 8.73 acres.

### **SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

F.

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply -** checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked <u>and</u> requested, appropriately reference sources below):

$\leq$	Maps, plans, p	olots or plat subm	itted by or on behalf	f of the applicant/consultant:	
--------	----------------	--------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------------	--

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

$\boxtimes$	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.			
	☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.			
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.			
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps:			
	Corps navigable waters' study:			
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:			
	USGS NHD data.			
	☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.			
	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:			
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:			
	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:			
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):			
	FEMA/FIRM maps: .			
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929			
	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):			
	or Other (Name & Date):			
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:			
	Applicable/supporting case law:			
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:			
$\boxtimes$	Other information (please specify): <u>5-13-09 and 12-4-15 site visits</u> .			

## B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: $\underline{\ }.$