SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Seattle District – Samish Indian Nation, NWS-2012-54

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: WA  County/parish/borough: Skagit  City: Anacortes
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat: 48.45921°  Long: -122.55736°
Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 10 N E

Name of nearest waterbody: Padilla Bay
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Padilla Bay
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 17110002 (Strait of Georgia)

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 31 March 2016
☒ Field Determination. Date(s): 15 July 2015

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

☒ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
☒ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: .

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.
   a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
      ☑ TNWs, including territorial seas
      ☑ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
      ☑ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☑ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☑ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☑ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☑ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☐ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
      ☑ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

   b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
      Non-wetland waters: 1,490 linear feet total
      Wetlands: 0.78 acres

   c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on:
      Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): 3
   ☑ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

1 Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
2 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
3 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs
The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW
   Identify TNW:
   Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW
   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”:

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
   (i) General Area Conditions:
      Watershed size: HUC 17110002 (Strait of Georgia) 955 square miles
      Drainage area: 411 acres for Subasin 1; 357 acres for Subasin 2
      Average annual rainfall: 26 inches
      Average annual snowfall: 5 inches
   (ii) Physical Characteristics:
      (a) Relationship with TNW:
         □ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
         ☑ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

         Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW.
         Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
         Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

         Identify flow route to TNW:
         On-site ditches 2 and 4 flow into Ditch 1, which flows into a constructed stormwater system north under State Route 20, then eastward along South March Point Road before flowing into Padilla Bay. Ditch 3 flow into a constructed stormwater system north under S. R. 20, then east along South March Point Road before flowing into Fidalgo Bay.
         Tributary stream order, if known: 1.

      (b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):
         Tributary is: □ Natural
         ☑ Artificial (man-made). Explain: Ditch system may have replaced natural drainages in the area.
         □ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:

---

4 Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
5 Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
**Tributary** properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

- Average width: 4-8 feet
- Average depth: 3 feet
- Average side slopes: **2:1 for Ditches 2, 3, and 4; 3:1 for Ditch 1.**

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
- [X] Silts
- [X] Sands
- [ ] Cobbles
- [ ] Gravel
- [ ] Bedrock
- [ ] Vegetation. Type/cover:
- [ ] Concrete
- [ ] Muck
- [ ] Other. Explain:


Tributary geometry: **Relatively straight**

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 2 %

(c) **Flow:**

- Tributaries provides for: **Intermittent but not seasonal flow**

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **11-20**

- Describe flow regime: intermittent (responds to precipitation).

Other information on duration and volume:

- Surface flow is: **Confined.** Characteristics: See additional information below.

- Subsurface flow: **Unknown.** Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributaries have (check all that apply):
- [X] Bed and banks
- [X] OHWM*(check all indicators that apply):
  - [X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - [X] the presence of litter and debris
  - [ ] changes in the character of soil
  - [X] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
  - [ ] shelving (Ditch 3 and 4)
  - [X] the presence of wrack line
  - [ ] vegetation matted down, bent, or absent
  - [ ] scour
  - [ ] sediment deposition
  - [ ] multiple observed or predicted flow events
  - [ ] sediment sorting
  - [ ] abrupt change in plant community
  - [ ] leaf litter disturbed or washed away
  - [ ] water staining
  - [ ] other (list):

Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
- [ ] High Tide Line indicated by:
- [ ] Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

- [ ] oil or scum line along shore objects
- [ ] fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
- [ ] physical markings/characteristics
- [ ] tidal gauges
- [ ] other (list):

(iii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: Water is clear with moderate organic debris and minimal sediment load, general water quality is good, watershed has been extensively developed for commercial, agricultural, and residential uses, downstream waters of Padilla Bay are listed on the WA State 303(d) list.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: Herbicides, fertilizers, petrochemicals (road runoff).

---

*A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody’s flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): herbaceous, 20+ feet.
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: For Ditch 1 and 2 – PEM wetlands extend to edge of ditch.
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:
- Wetland size: 0.78 acres
- Wetland type. Explain: PEM depressional
- Wetland quality. Explain: Category III per the Washington State wetland rating System (based on a scale of I to IV, I being the highest functioning).

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Wetlands outflow into non-RPW during annual rain events.

Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow

Characteristics: .

Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: .

Dye (or other) test performed: .

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

- Directly abutting for DSW-1
- Not directly abutting for DWS-5 and DSW-6
- Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: See additional information section.
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: Slight rise between wetlands and ditch appears to be old sidecast material from ditch cleaning.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 500-year or greater floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water flowing from wetlands is clear, general water quality is good, watershed has been extensively developed for commercial, agricultural, and residential uses, downstream waters of Padilla Bay are on the WA State 303(d) list.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: herbicides, fertilizers.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Emergent (herbaceous) 30-70 feet.
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Emergent (grass species) 100% cover.
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 15-20

Approximately 125.8 acres* in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.
For each wetland, specify the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wetland</th>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSW 1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 6</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*13 wetlands identified by NWI in the subject drainage basin (125 acres estimated)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: see Section C below for summary.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: 

2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Ditch 3 has a significant nexus to downstream TNW.

Ditch 3 is part of a tributary system that drains a 411 acre area (called subbasin 1). The tributary system is comprised of man-made drainage features (ditches) with the exception of two mapped and unnamed streams. The constructed features are believed to have replaced natural drainages that existed prior to development. Water flows from Ditch 3 northward under State Route 20, then westward into Fidalgo Bay. Ditch 3 headwaters area is 0.80 linear miles from Fidalgo Bay. With the exception of the two streams, all tributary channels are vegetated (grass species) and do not provide suitable habitat for fish. Fish passage into the two streams is restricted by barriers (floodgates).

Watershed has been extensively developed for commercial, residential and agricultural uses; downstream waters of the Fidalgo Bay receive stormwater and urban runoff from the 411 acre subject basin. Essential Fish Habitat for Pacific Salmon, groundfish, and coastal pelagic species (designated under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act) occurs in the TNW (Fidalgo Bay). Fish species listed under the Endangered Species Act utilize the waters of the Fidalgo Bay; designated critical habitat for chinook salmon and bull trout exists in the Fidalgo Bay.

The National Wetland Inventory mapping shows 9 wetlands in the subject basin. Map polygons are an approximate 10.5 acres total. Associated wetland functions are minimal to moderate wildlife habitat and habitat diversity, moderate enhanced food web support, moderate floodwater storage/attenuation, and moderate sediment input reduction and toxin removal. The wetlands create and transfer organic carbon which supports the downstream food web of the TNW. Wetlands improve downstream water quality in TNW through sediment and toxin interception. The lengthy vegetated tributary system has the capacity to capture pollutants (road runoff petrochemicals, herbicides, pesticides and sediments) to reduce the amount of pollutants, sediments or flood waters from reaching the TNW. Wetlands attenuates downstream flooding by reducing peak flow in the watershed during major storm events and attenuates erosion by detaining high flows during storms and reduce the duration of erosive flows, thus decreasing downstream erosion in streams.
Ditches 1, 2, and 4 and wetlands DSW 1, SW 5, and SW 6 have a significant nexus to downstream TNW.

Ditch 3 is part of a tributary system that drains a 357 acre area (called subbasin 2). The tributary system is comprised of man-made drainage features (ditches) constructed for stormwater conveyance. The constructed features are believed to have replaced natural drainages that existed prior to development. Ditches 2 and 4 flow into Ditch 1 on the subject site. Water flows from Ditch 1 northward under State Route 20, then eastward into Padilla Bay. Ditch 1 headwaters area is 1.40 linear miles from Padilla Bay. All tributary channels are vegetated (grass species) and do not provide suitable habitat for fish.

Watershed has been extensively developed for commercial, residential and agricultural uses; downstream waters of the Padilla Bay receive stormwater and urban runoff from the 357 acre subject basin. Essential Fish Habitat for Pacific Salmon, groundfish, and coastal pelagic species (designated under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act) occurs in the TNW (Padilla Bay). Fish species listed under the Endangered Species Act utilize the waters of the Padilla Bay; designated critical habitat for chinook salmon and bull trout exists in the Padilla Bay.

The National Wetland Inventory mapping shows 13 wetlands in the subject basin. Map polygons are an approximate 125 acres total. Associated wetland functions are minimal to moderate wildlife habitat and habitat diversity, moderate enhanced food web support, moderate floodwater storage/attenuation, and moderate sediment input reduction and toxon removal. The wetlands create and transfer organic carbon which supports the downstream food web of the TNW. Wetlands improve downstream water quality in TNW through sediment and toxon interception. The lengthy vegetated tributary system has the capacity to capture pollutants (road runoff petrochemicals, herbicides, pesticides and sediments) to reduce the amount of pollutants, sediments or flood waters from reaching the TNW. Wetlands attenuates downstream flooding by reducing peak flow in the watershed during major storm events and attenuates erosion by detaining high flows during storms and reduce the duration of erosive flows, thus decreasing downstream erosion in streams.

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   □ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
   □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   □ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
   □ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Tributaries identified as having continuous flow for 3-6 months. See additional information for details.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   □ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
   □ Other non-wetland waters: acres.
   Identify type(s) of waters:

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   ☒ Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
   ☒ Tributary waters: 1,490 linear feet total
   □ Other non-wetland waters: acres.
   Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   □ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
   □ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above.

⁸See Footnote # 3.
Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 8.6 acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   □ Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: .

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   □ Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.78 acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. 9
   As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
   □ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
   □ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
   □ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10
   □ which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
   □ from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
   □ which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
   □ Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
   □ Other factors. Explain: .

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   □ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
   □ Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
   □ Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   □ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
   □ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
   □ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
   □ Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
   □ Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):
   □ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
   □ Lakes/ponds: acres.
   □ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
   □ Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.
Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
Lakes/ponds: acres.
Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- [x] Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland delineation report dated May 2006.
- [x] Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- [x] Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- [ ] Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- [ ] Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- [ ] Corps navigable waters’ study: .
- [x] USGS NHD data.
- [ ] USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- [ ] U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Anacortes South Quad
- [ ] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: .
- [ ] National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: .
- [x] State/Local wetland inventory map(s): WA State Department of Ecology, 2001
- [ ] FEMA/FIRM maps: .
- [x] 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- [x] Photographs: [ ] Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth 2015.
  or [ ] Other (Name & Date): .
- [ ] Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
- [ ] Applicable/supporting case law: .
- [ ] Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- [ ] Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Site Visit
15 July 2015
10:00 – 12:30
Weather – Slightly overcast, breezy. No significant rainfall during month.

Randel Perry, Devon Clemens (Corps)
Leslie Eastwood (Samish Tribe)
Marc Beccio (Wetland Consultant)

Site Description: The 14.84 acre site is bounded by State Route 20 to the north, developed property to the northeast, undeveloped property to the southeast, Stephenson Road to the south and Thompson Road to the west. The overall slope of the site trends generally from southwest to northeast. Topography within the study area consists of flat to gently sloping terrain with an elevation ranging from approximately 70 to 84 feet above mean sea level. The entire site is open field with scattered shrubs and saplings. The site was historically developed for agricultural and residential uses with resulting significant manipulations to the topography and soils, particularly the eastern and western 1/3’s of the site. Historic aerials show a forested area in the central 1/3 of the site. Several remnants of past development remain; dirt roads, graded driveways, and housing pads.

There are four primary drainage features (ditches) on the site identified as Ditch 1 (approx. 920 feet), Ditch 2 (approx. 372 feet), Ditch 3 (approx. 220 feet) and Ditch 4 (approx. 60 feet). Ditch 4 conveys water from SR 20 into Ditch 1. Ditch 3 conveys water northward along the western edge of the site and into the SR 20 roadside system. Ditch 2 parallels Stevenson road along the southeast edge of the site and flows into Ditch 1. Ditch 1 flows north through the eastern 1/3 of the site, then turns east along the north portion and flows to the property boundary. Water from Ditch 1 flows into a conveyance on the adjacent eastern property, under S. R. 20, then east through a ditch system before flowing into Padilla Bay.

Delineation: A wetland delineation was conducted by Analytical Environmental Services in 2009, 2010 and 3 July 2015. Eight separate wetlands were identified by the consultant (See Discussion/Observation section below).

Soils: Mapped soils are: Coveland gravelly loam (0-3% slopes), hydric, over majority of site; Bow gravelly loam (0-3% slopes), hydric, in NW corner; Bow gravelly loam (3-8% slopes), hydric, in SE corner.

Observed soil colors are:
Wetlands:
10YR 2/1 clay loam from 0 to 14 inches with 2.5Y 6/8 redox features (common, distinct) from 4 to 14 inches.
Uplands:
7.5YR 3/2 clay loam from 0” – 16; no redox features

Vegetation:
Wet
Common (soft) rush (Juncus effusus), FACW
Reed canary grass (Phalaris arundinacea), FACW
Red fescue (Festuca rubra), FAC
Velvet grass (Holcus lanatus), FAC
Nootka rose (Rosa nutkana), FAC

Upland
Red fescue (Festuca rubra), FAC
Canadian thistle (Cirsium arvense), FACU
Himalayan blackberry (Rubus armeniacus), FACU
Soft brome (Bromus hordeaceus), FACU
Orchard grass (Dactylis glomerata), FACU
White clover (Trifolium repens), FAC
Lesser herb robert (Geranium robertianum), FACU

Observations/Discussion:
Corps personnel walked around the identified boundaries of all wetlands and followed the apparent flow paths offsite. Wetlands have been disturbed by previous vegetation (tree) removal and agricultural practices (mowing for hay). The flagged wetland boundaries appeared to accurately delineate the wetland edges. The Corps requested that the wetland consultant re-sample the subject area. On 3 July 2015 the consultant provided a revised delineation with supporting data sheets.

The site exhibits signs of previous disturbance through human use and development. Most areas sampled had a compacted layer of gravels and clay-silt at depths from 2 inches to 6 inches. In some areas, the layer was too dense to penetrate with a standard shovel. The Corps followed the flow paths of the onsite ditches and documented their connections. Ditches 1 and 2 had been recently excavated (cleaned out) to maintain conveyance.

Ditch 1 starts at the culvert outlet on the north side of Stevenson Road and conveys water north then east through the eastern portion of the study area. Ditch 1 exits the northeast corner of the study area and flows into a ditch on an adjacent parcel (boat storage yard) where it continues northward under SR-20, flows northward under South March point Road, then flows then eastward in a ditch between the road and railroad tracks until eventually draining to Padilla Bay. Ditches 1, 2, and 4 are man-made conveyances that have a discernible bed, bank, and ordinary high water line. The ditches convey ephemeral flow only with no continuous flow exceeding 3 continuous months.

Ditch 3 drains to the southeast corner of the intersection of Thompson Road and SR20 where it enters an 18-inch diameter concrete culvert. This culvert flows north to a drainage structure in the intersection of Thompson Road and SR20. The ditch is grassed but has a distinguishable bed, bank, and ordinary high water line. This ditch conveys ephemeral flow only with no continuous flow exceeding 3 continuous months.

Wetland acreage identified for this determination: 0.78 acres
Wetland acreage to be filled: Unknown

Wetland DSW-1 abuts Ditch 2. Wetlands SW-5 and SW-6 are adjacent to Ditch 1 and are connected by surface sheetflow and shallow subsurface flow.

Additional wetlands occur on the site. A separate jurisdictional determination has been made for Wetlands DSW-2, DSW-3, DSW-7, DSW-8, and SW-4 (see Form 2 of 2 - Isolated Wetland).

Jurisdictional Determination:
Wetlands DSW-1, SW-5, and SW-6 are considered adjacent, per the definition found at 33 CFR 328.2(c), to Tributaries DCH 1, DCH 2, and DCH4. These tributaries, along with DCH 3, have a direct connection (via other unnamed tributaries) to Padilla Bay and Fidalgo Bay, both Section 10 navigable waterbodies used for interstate and foreign commerce. These tributaries and wetlands have a significant nexus to downstream traditional navigable waters and are jurisdictional waters of the U. S.