APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

SECTION I:	BA	.CKGROUN	ID INF	ORMA	TION
------------	----	----------	--------	------	------

A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 17 November 2016
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Seattle District – Crowell, Kimberly, NWS-2016-1042
С.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: WA County/parish/borough: San Juan City: Crane Island Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 48.59439°, Long122.98909° Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 10 N E Name of nearest waterbody: Obstruction Pass Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Wasp Passage Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Strait of Georgia, 17110002 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 17 November 2016 Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re are "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): \[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: feet: width (ft) and/or 1 acres. Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: MHW elevation Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	 Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

TNW 1.

Identify TNW: Wasp Passage

Summarize rationale supporting determination: Project waters are Section 10 navigable tidally influenced waters used for interstate and foreign commerce.

Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

- CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
 - TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: width (ft), Or, 1 acres. NWs: feet ■ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres
 - RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Non-RPWs⁴ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁵
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):6
- F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

SE A.

OTT	ON INC. DATE A COUNCEC				
	ON IV: DATA SOURCES. PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked				
	I requested, appropriately reference sources below):				
	ps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:				
$ ag{}$					
ш	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.				
	Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.				
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.				
닏	Data sheets prepared by the Corps:				
\sqcup	Corps navigable waters' study:				
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:				
	USGS NHD data.				
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.				
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 7.5 Minute Shaw Island Quad.				
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:				
	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:				
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):.				
\Box	FEMA/FIRM maps: .				
\Box	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)				
$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth, 2015.				
_	or Other (Name & Date):				
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:				
Ħ	Applicable/supporting case law:				
Ħ	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .				
H	Other information (please specify):				
	Oner information (please specify).				

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

⁵ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

⁴See Footnote # 3.

⁶ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.