



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 3/26/2021

ORM Number: NWS-2021-180

Associated JDs: NA

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: Washington City: Vancouver County/Parish/Borough: Clark

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 45.693973 Longitude -122.560345

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
- There are “navigable waters of the United States” within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- There are “waters of the United States” within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³			
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):			
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):			
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):			
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District’s list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴			
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Wetland A	1.3 acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	Wetland A meets the definition of a wetland but does not abut an (a)(1) through (a)(3) water; is not inundated by flooding from an (a)(1) through (a)(3) water in a typical year; is not physically separated from a paragraph (a)(1) through (3) water by a natural berm, bank, dune, or similar natural feature or by an artificial dike, barrier, or similar artificial structure that allows for a direct hydrologic surface connection between the wetlands and the paragraph (a)(1) through (a)(3) water in a typical year. See Section III.C for additional details

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

- Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: [Wetlands and Waters Delineation Report, NE 99th Street – NE 94th Avenue to Vicinity of NE 117th Avenue \(SR-503\) Project, dated 10 February 2020.](#)

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: [N/A or describe rationale for insufficiency \(including partial insufficiency\).](#)

- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)
- Photographs: [Select. Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)
- Corps site visit(s) conducted on: [Date\(s\).](#)
- Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): [ORM Number\(s\) and date\(s\).](#)
- Antecedent Precipitation Tool: [provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.](#)
- USDA NRCS Soil Survey: <https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx>
- USFWS NWI maps: <https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/mapper.html>
- USGS topographic maps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	Clark County: https://gis.clark.wa.gov/maponline/index.cfm?
Other Sources	Google Earth

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

- B. Typical year assessment(s):** Typical year assessment is not applicable due to the exclusion criteria of the wetlands.
- C. Additional comments to support AJD:** On 4 March 2021, I conducted a virtual assessment of wetlands on the subject property (Review Area), which consists of two tax parcels (Tax Parcel number 200221336 and 200221364). This assessment was conducted through review of applicant provided documents, historical aerials from Google Earth, and Clark County MapsOnLine website.

Site Description: The Review Area is located within the City of Vancouver, immediately northwest of the intersection of Northeast 99th Street and Northeast 112th Place (Figure 1). The 3.5-acre site is bounded on the north and west by residential properties, the east by Northeast 112th Place, and to the south by Northeast 99th Street. The property is vacant, dominated by herbaceous species, and had been used for agriculture until early-2000 when adjacent land was subdivided for residential development and construction of Northeast 110th Avenue was completed. Topography of the site shows that the land slopes toward the center of the property, forming a depression with Wetland A being contained within the depression (Figures 2, 3, and 4).

Wetland A is a 56,522 square foot (sf), palustrine emergent seasonally flooded/saturated depressional wetland located near the center of the review area (Figures 3 and 4). Hydrology for Wetland A is provided by surface sheet flow from adjacent uplands, a seasonally high groundwater table, and direct precipitation. Wetland A has no observed hydrologic inlet or outlet; therefore, Wetland A does not contribute to or receive surface water from an (a)(1) through (a)(3) water. The nearest potential water of the U.S. is Curtin Creek, located 1.28 miles west of the review area. There is no natural feature or artificial structure present that would allow for a hydrologic connection between Wetland A and Curtin Creek. The potential for a direct hydrologic connection between Wetland A and Curtin Creek is unlikely given the presence of impervious surfaces including roads and residential developments between the wetland and creek. Therefore, Wetland A does not meet the definition of an adjacent wetland and is not jurisdictional under 33 CFR 328.3(b)(1).